

A note on the ergodicity of Fokker–Planck flows in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Viorel Barbu* Michael Röckner†

Abstract

One proves that the nonlinear semigroups in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 3$, associated with the nonlinear Fokker–Planck equation $u_t - \Delta\beta(u) + \operatorname{div}(Db(u)u) = 0$ in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is ergodic under suitable conditions on the coefficients $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $D : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In particular, this implies the ergodicity of probabilistically weak solutions to the corresponding McKean–Vlasov stochastic differential equations. Such a result completes the asymptotic results established in [7] in the case where the Fokker–Planck flow $S(t)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has not a fixed point.

MSC: 60H15, 47H05, 47J05.

Keywords: nonlinear Fokker–Planck equation, mild solution, stochastic differential equation. ergodic.

1 Introduction

Consider the nonlinear Fokker–Planck equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta\beta(u) + \operatorname{div}(Db(u)u) &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $D : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$, and $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given functions to be made precise in the following.

*Octav Mayer Institute of Mathematics of Romanian Academy, and Al.I. Cuza University, Iași, Romania. Email: vbarbu41@gmail.com

†Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany. Email: roeckner@math.uni-bielefeld.de

This equation describes, in statistical physics and mean field theory, the dynamics of a set of interacting particles or of many body systems in disordered media (the so-called anomalous diffusion). (See, e.g., [13].) In such a situation, for each $t \geq 0$, $u = u(t, \cdot)$ is a probability density for each probability density u_0 . Another source for equation (1.1) is the description of the dynamics of Itô stochastic processes $X(t)$ in terms of their probability densities $u = u(t, x)$. Namely, if $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Schwartz distributional solution to (1.1) such that $t \rightarrow u(t, \cdot)dx$ is weakly continuous and $u(t, \cdot)$ is a probability density, then there is a probabilistically weak solution X to the McKean–Vlasov stochastic differential equation in \mathbb{R}^d

$$dX(t) = D(X(t))b \left(\frac{d\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}}{dx}(X(t)) \right) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta \left(\frac{d\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}}{dx}(X(t)) \right)}{\frac{d\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}}{dx}(X(t))}} dW(t), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}(dx) = u(t, x)dx, \quad t \geq 0,$$

on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, where W is a d -dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) -Brownian motion. Here, $\mathcal{L}_{X(t)}$ is the law of the process $X(t)$ under \mathbb{P} and $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} = u_0 dx$ (see [3] for details).

A function $u : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *mild solution* to (1.1) if $u \in C([0, \infty); L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$u(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} u_h(t) \text{ strongly in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $u_h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the solution to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} (u_h(t) - u_h(t-h)) + A_0 u_h(t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ u_h(t) &= u_0 \quad \text{for } t < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

and A_0 is the operator in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by

$$A_0(y) = -\Delta\beta(y) + \text{div}(Db(y)y) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \forall y \in D(A_0), \quad (1.5)$$

$$D(A_0) = \{y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d); \beta(y) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d), Db(y)y \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d); -\Delta\beta(y) + \text{div}(Db(y)y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\}. \quad (1.6)$$

The existence of a mild solution u to (1.1) was studied under various hypotheses on β, d and b in the works [3]–[7]. The idea, previously used by

M.G. Crandall in the existence theory of entropy solutions to a nonlinear conservation law equation [11], is to represent (1.1) as a Cauchy problem in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\frac{du}{dt} + Au = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0; \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (1.7)$$

where A is an m -accretive operator in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $(I + \lambda A)^{-1}f \in (I + \lambda A_0)^{-1}f, \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \lambda > 0$. Then, by the Crandall & Liggett generation theorem (see, e.g., [1], [2]) there exists

$$S(t)u_0 = u(t, u_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I + \frac{t}{n} A \right)^{-n} u_0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad u_0 \in \overline{D(A)}, \quad (1.8)$$

strongly in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, uniformly in t on bounded intervals. The function $u = u(t, u_0)$ is a mild solution to equation (1.1) in the sense of (1.3)–(1.4) and the mapping $S(t) : \overline{D(A)} \rightarrow \overline{D(A)}, t \geq 0$, is a continuous semigroup of contractions in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on $\overline{D(A)}$ – the closure of the domain $D(A)$ of A in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We call such a semigroup of contraction a *nonlinear Fokker–Planck flow*. It should be emphasized that, in general, such a semigroup $S(t)$ is not unique because its generator A is constructed from A_0 by

$$A(y) = A_0(J_\lambda(y)), \quad \forall y \in D(A) = \{u = J_\lambda(f); f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \lambda > 0\}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $J_\lambda : L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a family of contractions such that $J_\lambda(f) \in (I + \lambda A_0)^{-1}f, \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \lambda > 0$. Since $I + \lambda A_0$ is, in general, not one-to-one, hence $(I + \lambda A_0)^{-1}$ is multivalued, the family $\{J_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 0}$ is not unique, hence so is the operator A . There is an alternative approach to existence theory for nonlinear Fokker–Planck equations developed by J.A. Carrillo [9], G.Q. Chen and B. Perthame [10] in the context of entropy and kinetic solutions, but we shall not pursue this approach in this paper. (In fact, a mild solution to (1.1) is a weaker concept of solution than that of entropy solutions as developed in [9], [10].) The semigroups $S(t)$ represents a section in the class of mild solutions.

Here, we shall consider equation (1.1) under the following hypotheses:

(H1) $\beta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \beta'(r) > 0, \forall r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \beta(0) = 0$, and

$$\mu_1 \min\{|r|^\nu, |r|\} \leq |\beta(r)| \leq \mu_2 |r|, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.10)$$

for $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ and $\nu > \frac{d-1}{d}, d \geq 3$.

(H2) $D \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\text{div } D \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$,
 $D = -\nabla\Phi$, where $\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap W_{\text{oc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x) &\geq 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = +\infty, \\ \Phi^{-m} &\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for some } m \geq 2, \\ \mu_2 \Delta \Phi(x) - b_0 |\nabla \Phi(x)|^2 &\leq 0, \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

(H3) $b \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $b(r) \geq b_0 > 0$ for all $r \in [0, \infty)$.

For instance, any continuous, increasing function $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$\beta(r) = \begin{cases} \mu_1 r |r|^{d-1} & \text{for } |r| \leq r_0, \\ \mu_2 h(r) & \text{for } |r| > r_0, \end{cases}$$

where $r_0 > 0$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$, $|h(r)| \leq L|r|$, $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$, $L > 0$, satisfies (1.10). As regards Hypothesis (H2), an example of such a function Φ is (see [5])

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^2 \log |x| + \mu & \text{for } |x| \leq \delta = \exp\left(-\frac{d+2}{2d}\right), \\ \varphi(|x|) + \eta|x| + \mu & \text{for } |x| > \delta, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu, \eta > 0$ are sufficiently large and φ is as in [5, Appendix]. As a matter of fact, in this case (see [4], [7]) the family $\{J_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ of resolvents which defines the operator A is given by the viscosity approximation scheme

$$J_\lambda(f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} y_\varepsilon \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (1.11)$$

where y_ε is the solution to the equation

$$y_\varepsilon - \lambda \Delta(\beta_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon y_\varepsilon) + \lambda \text{div}(D_\varepsilon b_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) y_\varepsilon) = f, \quad (1.12)$$

where $\beta_\varepsilon, D_\varepsilon$ and b_ε are smooth approximations of β, D and b .

It turns out, however (see [6]), that if one further assumes that, for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$|b(r)r - b(\bar{r})\bar{r}| \leq \alpha |\beta(r) - \beta(\bar{r})|, \quad \forall r, \bar{r} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.13)$$

then $(I + \lambda A_0)^{-1}$ is single-valued and so A is uniquely defined, more precisely $A = A_0$. In the following, we shall consider the semigroup $S(t)$ generated by A , which is given by (1.9), and we shall call it the nonlinear Fokker–Planck flow. This semigroup leaves invariant the set \mathcal{P} of all the probability densities ρ on \mathbb{R}^d , that is,

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d); \rho \geq 0, \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^d; \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Now, consider the orbit $\gamma(u_0) = \{S(t)u_0, t \geq 0\}$ where $u_0 \in C := \overline{D(A)} = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $\beta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. We associate to u_0 the ω -limit set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(u_0) &= \{u_\infty = \lim S(t_n)u_0 \text{ in } L^1 \text{ for some } \{t_n\} \rightarrow \infty\} \\ &= \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq s} S(t)u_0}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\omega(u_0) \neq \emptyset$ and consists of one element u_∞ only, then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S(t)u_0 = u_\infty \text{ in } L^1.$$

In [5], it was proved that, if β is not degenerate in the origin, that is,

$$0 < \gamma_0 \leq \beta'(r) \leq \gamma_1, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.14)$$

(which also implies that $C = L^1$), then, for each $u_0 \in \mathcal{P}$, such that

$$u_0 \ln(u_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \|u_0\| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0(x)\Phi(x)dx < \infty, \quad (1.15)$$

one has $\omega(u_0) = \{u_\infty\}$, where u_∞ is the unique solution in $(L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the stationary equation

$$-\Delta\beta(u) + \operatorname{div}(Db(u)u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

This is an H -theorem type result for the Fokker–Planck equation (1.1) (see, e.g., [14] for physical significance and examples). In [7], the nondegeneracy condition was relaxed to (H1), which along with (H2)–(H3) leads to the conclusion that, if $u_0 \in \mathcal{P} \cap C$ and $\|u_0\| \leq \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$, then $\omega(u_0)$ is nonempty, invariant under $S(t)$, $t \geq 0$, and compact in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which implies that it is an attractor for the trajectory $\gamma(u_0)$. If, in addition, there is $a \in \mathcal{P} \cap C$ such that $\|a\| \leq \eta$ and $S(t)a = a$ for $t > 0$, then $\omega(u_0)$ lies on a ball $\{y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d); |y - a|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = r\}$. In [7], sufficient conditions on β and b for the existence of such a fixed point for $S(t)$ were given. For instance, this happens if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \int_1^r \frac{\beta'(s)}{sb(s)} ds = +\infty \text{ if } \nu \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}, 1\right]$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_1^r \frac{\beta'(s)}{sb(s)} ds = -\infty \text{ if } \nu > 1.$$

Here, no such condition will be imposed and so it is not clear whether the semigroup $S(t)$ has a fixed point a in $\mathcal{P} \cap C$, but the nature of the omega-limit set $\omega(u_0)$ will be made clear from the asymptotic properties of the semigroup $S(t)$. Namely, we shall prove that the flow $t \rightarrow S(t)$ is ergodic in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Theorem 2.1).

Notation. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is the space of real-valued Lebesgue measurable, p -integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^d . The space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is analogously defined and $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the Sobolev space $\{u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d); D_i u_j \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), i = 1, \dots, d; u = (u_j)_{j=1}^d\}$. By $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the corresponding local space. Let $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of continuous and bounded functions on \mathbb{R} and $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ the space of continuously differentiable functions on \mathbb{R} .

An operator $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, where \mathcal{X} is a Banach space, is called m -accretive if $R(I + \lambda A) = \mathcal{X}$, $\forall \lambda > 0$, and

$$\|u_1 - u_2 + \lambda(Au_1 - Au_2)\|_{\mathcal{X}} \geq \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \quad u_1, u_2 \in D(A),$$

where $D(A)$ is the domain of A and $R(I + \lambda A)$ is the range of $I + \lambda A$. (See, e.g., [1], [2].) For each $\eta > 0$, we set

$$\mathcal{M}_\eta := \left\{ u \in L^1; \|u\| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(x)| \Phi(x) dx \leq \eta \right\},$$

where Φ is the potential of D defined in (H2).

2 The main results

Let $S(t) : C \rightarrow C$, $C = \overline{D(A)}$, be the semigroup generated by the operator A given above by (1.9) and let

$$\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{M}_\eta \cap C \cap \mathcal{P}$$

for a given $\eta > 0$. We shall assume that hypotheses (H1)–(H3) hold. Then we have

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{X} be a real Banach space and let $F : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a uniformly continuous mapping. Then, for each $u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, the set $\omega(u_0)$ is compact in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T F(S(t)u_0) dt = \int_{\omega(u_0)} F(\xi) d\xi, \quad (2.1)$$

$\omega(u_0)$ is endowed with its natural commutative group structure (recalled below in the proof of the theorem) and where $d\xi$ is the normalized Haar measure on it.

We recall that a Haar measure μ on a locally compact topological commutative group G is a nonzero Borel measure μ which is invariant on G , that is, $\mu(gS) = \mu(Sg) = \mu(S)$ for any Borel subset $S \subset G$.

An example covered by Theorem 2.1 is $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$F(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)u(x)dx, \quad \forall u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (2.2)$$

where $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, by Theorem 2.1, we obviously have

Corollary 2.2. *Under hypotheses (H1)–(H3), for each $u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ and $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)(S(t)u_0)(x)dx = \int_{\omega(u_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\xi(x) dx d\xi. \quad (2.3)$$

Furthermore, the semigroup $S(t)$ is mean-ergodic, that is,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S(t)u_0 dt = \int_{\omega(u_0)} \xi d\xi \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (2.4)$$

where $d\xi$ is, as above, the normalized Haar measure on $\omega(u_0)$.

By Corollary 2.2 it follows in particular that, under hypotheses (H1)–(H3) it is satisfied for the nonlinear Fokker–Planck flow $t \rightarrow S(t)u_0$ where $u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ the classical *Boltzmann hypothesis* (see, e.g., [16], p. 389) is satisfied with the time average $\int_{\omega(u_0)} \xi d\xi$ which is the mean of the Haar measure $d\xi$.

Now, coming back to the McKean–Vlasov equation (1.2), we get by Corollary 2.2 the following ergodic result for solutions $X(t)$ to (1.2).

Corollary 2.3. *Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Then, under hypotheses (H1)–(H3) there is a probabilistically weak solution X to (1.2), where $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} = u_0 dx$, such that*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[g(X(t))] dt = \int_{\omega(u_0)} \int g(x) \xi(x) dx d\xi, \quad \forall g \in L^\infty. \quad (2.5)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathcal{L}_{X(t)}(dx) dt \rightarrow \int_{\omega(u_0)} \xi(x) dx d\xi$$

in the weak topology on \mathcal{P} a.s. $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 2.4. The case $d = 2$ is singular for the semigroup approach of equation (1.1), namely for the existence of an m -accretive realization A of the operator A_0 and this is the principal motivation to avoid it (see [4], [7]). However, the case $d = 1$ could be treated in a similar way following [4], but we omit the details.

3 Proofs

As shown in [7], Lemma 4.3, under hypotheses (H1)–(H3) for each $u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, the orbit $\gamma(u_0)$ of $S(t)u_0$ is precompact in L^1 . This implies that the ω -limit set $\omega(u_0)$ is compact in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We recall that, for each $t \geq 0$, $\omega(u_0)$ is invariant under $S(t)$ which is an homeomorphism of $\omega(u_0)$ onto $\omega(u_0)$, that is, $S(t)$ is a group on $\omega(u_0)$. Then, $\omega(u_0)$ can be endowed with a topological commutative group structure with the product $g_1 \circ g_2 = S(t_1 + t_2)u_0$, $g_1, g_2 \in \omega(u_0)$, where $g_1 = S(t_1)u_0$, $g_2 = S(t_2)u_0$. Then, by the classical A. Weil theorem (see [15]), there is a unique normalized Haar measure on $\omega(u_0)$ and so, by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem (see [8] and Theorem 1 in [12]) it follows that (2.1) holds for each uniformly continuous mapping $F : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and so Theorem 2.1 follows.

As regards Corollary 2.3, the existence of a weak solution to (1.2) follows from [3]. Furthermore, formula (2.5) follows by (2.3) taking into account that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X(t))] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) (S(t)u_0)(x) dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the DFG through SFB 1283/2 2021-317210226 and by a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS–UEFISCDI project PN-III-P4-PCE-2021-0006, within PNCDI III.

References

- [1] Barbu, V., *Nonlinear Differential Equations of Monotone Type in Banach Spaces*, Springer, Berlin. Heidelberg. New York, 2010.
- [2] Barbu, V., *Semigroup Approach to Nonlinear Diffusion Equations*, World Scientific, London, Singapore, Beijing, Hong Kong, Tokyo, 2021.
- [3] Barbu, V., Röckner, M., From Fokker–Planck equations to solutions of distribution dependent SDE, *Annals of Probability*, 48 (2020), 1902-1920.
- [4] Barbu, V., Röckner, M., Solutions for nonlinear Fokker–Planck equations with measures as initial data and McKean-Vlasov equations, *J. Functional Anal.*, 280 (7) (2021), 1-35.
- [5] Barbu, V., Röckner, M., *The evolution to equilibrium of solutions to nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations*, arXiv:1904.08291, *Indiana University Math. Journal*, 6 (2022).
- [6] Barbu, V., Röckner, M., Uniqueness for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations and for McKean-Vlasov SDEs, The degenerate case, arXiv:2203.00122.
- [7] Barbu, V., Röckner, M., The invariance principle for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations, *J. Diff. Equations*, 315 (2022), 200-221.
- [8] Birkhoff, G., What is the ergodic theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 49 (1942).
- [9] Carrillo, J., Entropy solutions for nonlinear degenerate problems, *Archives Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 147 (1999), 269-361.
- [10] Chen, G., Perthame, B., Well-posedness for nonisotropic degenerate parabolic-hyperbolic equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*, 20 (4) (2003), 645-668.
- [11] Crandall, M.G., The semigroup approach to first order quasi-linear equations in several space variables, *Israel J. Math.*, 12 (1972), 108-122.
- [12] Gutman, S., Pazy, A., An ergodic theorem for semigroups of contractions, *Proceedings Amer. Math. Soc.*, 88 (1983), 254-256.

- [13] Franck, T.D., *Nonlinear Fokker–Planck Equations*, Springer, Berlin. Heidelberg. New York, 2005.
- [14] Franck, T.D., Daffertshofer, A., *H*-theorem for nonlinear Fokker–Planck equations related to generalized thermostatics, *Physica A. Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 295 (2001), 455-474.
- [15] Weil, A., *L’Intégration dans des groupes topologiques et ses applications*, *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles*, vol. 869, Hermann, Paris, 1940.
- [16] Yosida, K., *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin. Heidelberg. New York, 1971.