

A q -analogue of de Finetti's theorem

ALEXANDER GNEDIN
Department of Mathematics
Utrecht University
the Netherlands
A.V.Gnedin@uu.nl

GRIGORI OLSHANSKI *
Institute for Information
Transmission Problems
Moscow, Russia
and Independent University
of Moscow, Russia
olsh2007@gmail.com

Submitted: May 13, 2009; Accepted: June ???, 2009; Published: XX
Mathematics Subject Classification: 60G09; 60J50; 60C05

Abstract

A q -analogue of de Finetti's theorem is obtained in terms of a boundary problem for the q -Pascal graph. For q a power of prime this leads to a characterisation of random spaces over the Galois field \mathbb{F}_q that are invariant under the natural action of the infinite group of invertible matrices with coefficients from \mathbb{F}_q .

1 Introduction

The infinite symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_∞ consists of bijections $\{1, 2, \dots\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$ which move only finitely many integers. The group \mathfrak{S}_∞ acts on the product space $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ by permutations of the coordinates. A random element of this space, that is a random infinite binary sequence, is called *exchangeable* if its probability law is invariant under the action of \mathfrak{S}_∞ . De Finetti's theorem asserts that every exchangeable sequence can be generated in a unique way by the following two-step procedure: first choose at random the value of parameter p from some probability distribution on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, then run an infinite Bernoulli process with probability p for 1's.

One approach to this classical result, as presented in Feller [3, Ch. VII, §4], is based on the following exciting connection with the Hausdorff moment problem. By exchangeability, the law of a random infinite binary sequence is determined by the array $(v_{n,k})$,

*Supported by a grant from the Utrecht University, by the RFBR grant 08-01-00110, and by the project SFB 701 (Bielefeld University).

where $v_{n,k}$ equals the probability of every initial sequence of length n with k 1's. The rule of addition of probabilities yields the backward recursion

$$v_{n,k} = v_{n+1,k} + v_{n+1,k+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (1)$$

which readily implies that the array can be derived by iterated differencing of the sequence $(v_{n,0})_{n=0,1,\dots}$. Specifically, setting

$$u_l^{(k)} = v_{l+k,k}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2)$$

and denoting by δ the difference operator acting on sequences $u = (u_l)_{l=0,1,\dots}$ as

$$(\delta u)_l = u_l - u_{l+1},$$

the recursion (1) can be written as

$$u^{(k)} = \delta u^{(k-1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (3)$$

Since $v_{n,k} \geq 0$, the sequence $u^{(0)}$ must be completely monotone, that is, componentwise

$$\underbrace{\delta \circ \dots \circ \delta}_k u^{(0)} \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

but then Hausdorff's theorem implies that there exists a representation

$$v_{n,k} = u_{n-k}^{(k)} = \int_{[0,1]} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \mu(dp) \quad (4)$$

with uniquely determined probability measure μ . De Finetti's theorem follows since $v_{n,k} = p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$ for the Bernoulli process with parameter p . See [1] for other proofs and extensive survey of generalisations of this result.

The present note is devoted to variations on the q -analogue of de Finetti's theorem, which was briefly outlined in Kerov [10] within the framework of the boundary problem for generalised Stirling triangles. A related result is also contained in Pitman [12] (summary of a talk). The boundary problem for other weighted versions of the Pascal triangle was studied in [4], [7], and for more general graded graphs in [5], [10], [11].

Definition 1.1. Given $q > 0$, let us say that a random binary sequence $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots) \in \{0, 1\}^\infty$ is q -exchangeable if its probability law \mathbb{P} is \mathfrak{S}_∞ -quasiinvariant with a specific co-cycle, which is uniquely determined by the following condition: Denoting by $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ the probability of an initial sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, we have for any $i = 1, \dots, n-1$

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{i-1}, \varepsilon_{i+1}, \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i+2}, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = q^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}} \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n).$$

In words: under an elementary transposition of the form $(\dots, 1, 0, \dots) \rightarrow (\dots, 0, 1, \dots)$, probability is multiplied by q .

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $0 < q < 1$. There is a bijective correspondence $\mathbb{P} \leftrightarrow \mu$ between the probability laws \mathbb{P} of infinite q -exchangeable binary sequences and the probability measures μ on the closed countable set*

$$\Delta_q := \{1, q, q^2, \dots\} \cup \{0\} \subset [0, 1].$$

More precisely, a q -exchangeable sequence can be generated in a unique way by first choosing at random a point $x \in \Delta_q$ distributed according to μ and then running a certain q -analogue of the Bernoulli process indexed by x . Each law \mathbb{P} is uniquely determined by the infinite triangular array

$$v_{n,k} := \mathbb{P}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n < \infty, \quad (5)$$

which in turn is given by a q -version of formula (4), with $[0, 1]$ being replaced by Δ_q (Theorem 3.2). A similar result with switching the roles of 0's and 1's and replacing q by q^{-1} also holds for $q > 1$.

The approach taken in this note is extended to the real-valued random sequences in our forthcoming paper [6].

The rest of the note is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the q -Pascal graph and formulate the q -exchangeability in terms of certain Markov chains on this graph. In Section 3 we find a characteristic recursion for the numbers (5), which is a q -deformation of (1), and we prove the main result, equivalent to Theorem 1.2, using the method of [11]. In Section 4 we discuss three examples: two q -analogues of the Bernoulli process and a q -analogue of Pólya's urn process. Finally, in Section 5, for q a power of a prime number, we provide an interpretation of the theorem in terms of random subspaces in an infinite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q .

2 The q -Pascal graph

For $q > 0$, the q -Pascal graph is a *weighted* directed graph $\Gamma(q)$ on the infinite vertex set

$$\Gamma = \{(l, k) : l, k = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

Each vertex (l, k) has two weighted outgoing edges $(l, k) \rightarrow (l+1, k)$ and $(l, k) \rightarrow (l, k+1)$ with weights 1 and q^l , respectively. The vertex set is divided into levels $\Gamma_n = \{(l, k) : l+k = n\}$, so $\Gamma = \cup_{n \geq 0} \Gamma_n$ with Γ_0 consisting of the sole root vertex $(0, 0)$. For a path in Γ connecting two vertices $(l, k) \in \Gamma_{l+k}$ and $(\lambda, \varkappa) \in \Gamma_{\lambda+\varkappa}$ we define the weight to be the product of weights of edges along the path. For instance, the weight of $(2, 3) \rightarrow (2, 4) \rightarrow (3, 4) \rightarrow (3, 5)$ is $q^5 = q^2 \cdot 1 \cdot q^3$. Clearly, such a path exists if and only if $\lambda \geq l$, $\varkappa \geq k$.

We shall consider certain transient Markov chains $S = (S_n)$, with state-space Γ , which start at the root $(0, 0)$ and move along the directed edges, so that $S_n \in \Gamma_n$ for every $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Thus, a trajectory of S is an infinite directed path in Γ started at the root.

Definition 2.1. Adopting the terminology introduced by Vershik and Kerov (see [10]), we say that a Markov chain S on $\Gamma(q)$ is *central* if the following condition is satisfied for each vertex $(n - k, k) \in \Gamma_n$ visited by S with positive probability: given $S_n = (n - k, k)$, the conditional probability that S follows each particular path connecting $(0, 0)$ and $(n - k, k)$ is proportional to the weight of the path.

Remark 2.2. If we only require the centrality condition to hold for all $(l, k) \in \Gamma_\nu$ for fixed ν , then we have it satisfied also for all (l, k) with $l + k \leq \nu$. From this it is easy to see that the centrality condition *implies* the Markov property of S in reversed time $n = \dots, 1, 0$, hence also implies the Markov property in forward time $n = 0, 1, \dots$

In the special case $q = 1$ Definition 2.1 means that in the Pascal graph $\Gamma(1)$ all paths with common endpoints are equally likely.

Recall a bijection between the infinite binary sequences $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$ and infinite directed paths in Γ started at the root $(0, 0)$. Specifically, given a path, the n th digit ε_n is given the value 0 or 1 depending on whether l or k coordinate is increased by 1. Identifying a path with a sequence $(n - K_n, K_n)$ (where $0 \leq K_n \leq n$), the correspondence can be written as

$$K_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j, \quad \varepsilon_n = K_n - K_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proposition 2.3. *By virtue of the bijection between $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ and the paths in Γ , each q -exchangeable sequence corresponds to a central Markov chain on $\Gamma(q)$, and vice versa.*

Proof. This follows readily from Remark 2.2, Definitions 1.1 and 2.1 and the structure of $\Gamma(q)$. \square

We shall use the standard notation

$$[n] := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}, \quad [n]! := [1] \cdot [2] \cdots [n], \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \frac{[n]!}{[k]![n-k]!}$$

for q -integers, q -factorials and q -binomial coefficients, respectively, with the usual convention that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for $n < 0$ or $k < 0$. Furthermore, we set

$$(x, q)_k := \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - xq^i), \quad 1 \leq k \leq \infty,$$

with the infinite product ($k = \infty$) considered for $0 < q < 1$.

The following lemma justifies the name of the graph by relating it to the q -Pascal triangle of q -binomial coefficients.

Lemma 2.4. *The sum of weights of all directed paths from the root $(0, 0)$ to a vertex $(n - k, k)$, denoted $d_{n,k}$, is given by*

$$d_{n,k} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

More generally, $d_{n,k}^{\nu,\varkappa}$, the sum of weights of all paths connecting two vertices $(n-k, k)$ and $(\nu - \varkappa, \varkappa)$ in Γ is given by

$$d_{n,k}^{\nu,\varkappa} = q^{(\varkappa-k)(n-k)} \begin{bmatrix} \nu - n \\ \varkappa - k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Note that any path from $(0, 0)$ to $(n-k, k)$ has the second component incrementing by 1 on some k edges $(l_i, i-1) \rightarrow (l_i, i)$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $0 \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_k \leq n-k$, thus the sum of weights is equal to

$$d_{n,k} = \sum_{0 \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_k \leq n-k} q^{l_1 + \dots + l_k}. \quad (7)$$

This array satisfies the recursion

$$d_{n,k} = q^{n-k} d_{n-1,k-1} + d_{n-1,k}, \quad 0 < k < n \quad (8)$$

with the boundary conditions $d_{n,0} = d_{n,n} = 1$. On the other hand, it is well known that the array of q -binomial coefficients also satisfies this recursion [9], hence by the uniqueness $d_{n,k}$ is the q -binomial coefficient. In the like way the sum of weights of paths from $(n-k, k)$ to $(\nu - \varkappa, \varkappa)$ is

$$d_{n,k}^{\nu,\varkappa} = \sum_{n-k \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_{k'} \leq \nu - \varkappa} q^{l_1 + \dots + l_{k'}}, \quad k' := \varkappa - k.$$

Comparing with (7) we see that this is equal to $q^{(n-k)k'} \begin{bmatrix} \nu - \varkappa \\ k' \end{bmatrix}$. □

Remark 2.5. Changing (l, k) to (k, l) yields the *dual* q -Pascal graph $\Gamma^*(q)$, which has the same set of vertices and edges as $\Gamma(q)$, but different weights: the edge $(l, k) \rightarrow (l, k+1)$ has now weight 1, and the edge $(l, k) \rightarrow (l+1, k)$ has weight q^k . The sum of weights of paths in Γ^* from $(0, 0)$ to (l, k) is again (6), which is related to another recursion for q -binomial coefficients, $d_{n,k} = d_{n-1,k-1} + q^k d_{n-1,k}$.

Consider the recursion

$$v_{n,k} = v_{n+1,k} + q^{n-k} v_{n+1,k+1}, \quad \text{with } v_{0,0} = 1, \quad (9)$$

which is dual to (8), and denote by \mathcal{V} the set of nonnegative solutions to (9).

Proposition 2.6. *Formula*

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k)\} = d_{n,k} v_{n,k}, \quad (n-k, k) \in \Gamma$$

establishes a bijective correspondence $\mathbb{P} \leftrightarrow v$ between the probability laws of central Markov chains $S = (S_n)$ on $\Gamma(q)$ and solutions $v \in \mathcal{V}$ to recursion (9).

Proof. Let S be a central Markov chain on Γ with probability law \mathbb{P} . Observe that the property in Definition 2.1 means precisely that the one-step *backward* transition probabilities (that is, transition probabilities in the inverse time) are of the form

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_{n-1} = (n-1, k) \mid S_n = (n-k, k)\} = \frac{d_{n-1,k}}{d_{n,k}} = \frac{[n-k]}{[n]} \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_{n-1} = (n-1, k-1) \mid S_n = (n-k, k)\} = \frac{d_{n-1,k-1}q^{n-k}}{d_{n,k}} = q^{n-k} \frac{[k]}{[n]} \quad (11)$$

for every such S .

Introduce the notation

$$\tilde{v}_{n,k} := \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k)\}, \quad (n-k, k) \in \Gamma. \quad (12)$$

Consistency of the distributions of S_n 's amounts to the rule of total probability

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{n,k} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k) \mid S_{n+1} = (n+1-k, k)\} \tilde{v}_{n+1,k} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k) \mid S_{n+1} = (n-k, k+1)\} \tilde{v}_{n+1,k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Rewriting (13), using (10) and (11), and setting

$$v_{n,k} = d_{n,k}^{-1} \tilde{v}_{n,k} \quad (14)$$

we get (9), which means that $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Thus, we have constructed the correspondence $\mathbb{P} \mapsto v$.

Conversely, start with a solution $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and pass to $\tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}_{n,k})$ according to (14). For each n consider the measure on Γ_n with weights $\tilde{v}_{n,0}, \dots, \tilde{v}_{n,n}$. Since the weight of the root is 1, it follows from (9) by induction in n that these are probability measures. Again by (9), the marginal measures are consistent with the backward transition probabilities, hence determine the probability law of a central Markov chain on $\Gamma(q)$. Thus, we get the inverse correspondence $v \mapsto \mathbb{P}$. \square

By virtue of Propositions 2.3 and 2.6, the law of q -exchangeable infinite binary sequence is determined by some $v \in \mathcal{V}$, with the entries $v_{n,k}$ having the same meaning as in (5). In the sequel this law will be sometimes denoted \mathbb{P}_v .

3 The boundary problem

The set \mathcal{V} is a Choquet simplex, meaning a convex set which is compact in the product topology of the space of functions on Γ and has the property of uniqueness of the barycentric decomposition of each $v \in \mathcal{V}$ over the set of extreme elements of \mathcal{V} (see, e. g., [8, Proposition 10.21]).

The *boundary problem* for the q -Pascal graph amounts to describing extreme nonnegative solutions to the recursion (9). Each extreme solution $v \in \mathcal{V}$ corresponds to ergodic

process (S_n) for which the tail sigma-algebra is trivial. In this context, the set of extremes is also known as *the minimal boundary*.

With each array $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $v = (v_{n,k})$, it is convenient to associate another array $\tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}_{n,k})$ related to v via (14). Clearly, the mapping $v \leftrightarrow \tilde{v}$ is an isomorphism of two Choquet simplexes \mathcal{V} and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \{\tilde{v}\}$. Recall that the meaning of the quantities $\tilde{v}_{n,k}$ is explained in (12).

A common approach to the boundary problem calls for identifying a possibly larger *Martin boundary* (see [11], [7], [4] for applications of the method). To this end, we need to consider multistep backward transition probabilities, which by Lemma 6 are given by a q -analogue of the hypergeometric distribution

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{n,k}(\nu, \varkappa) &:= \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n-k, k) \mid S_\nu = (\nu - \varkappa, \varkappa)\} \\ &= q^{(\varkappa-k)(n-k)} \begin{bmatrix} \nu - n \\ \varkappa - k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \varkappa \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and to examine the limiting regimes for $\varkappa = \varkappa(\nu)$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, under which the probabilities (15) converge for all fixed $(n-k, k) \in \Gamma$. If the limits exist, the limiting array

$$\tilde{v}_{n,k} := \lim_{(\nu, \varkappa)} \tilde{v}_{n,k}(\nu, \varkappa)$$

belongs necessarily to $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$.

Suppose $0 < q < 1$ and introduce polynomials

$$\Phi_{n,k}(x) := q^{-k(n-k)} x^{n-k} (x, q^{-1})_k, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{n,k} = d_{n,k} \Phi_{n,k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \quad (16)$$

Obviously, the degree of $\Phi_{n,k}$ is n ; we will consider the polynomial as a function on Δ_q . Observe also that $\Phi_{n,k}(x)$ vanishes at points $x = q^\varkappa$ with $\varkappa < k$, because of vanishing of $(x, q^{-1})_k$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $0 < q < 1$, and let in (15) the indices n and k remain fixed, while $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varkappa = \varkappa(\nu)$ varies in some way with ν . Then the limit of (15) is $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(q^\varkappa)$ if \varkappa is constant for large enough ν . If $\varkappa \rightarrow \infty$ then the limit is $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(0) = \delta_{n,k}$.*

Proof. Assume first $\varkappa \rightarrow \infty$ and show that the limit of (15) is $\delta_{n,k}$. Since the quantities $\tilde{v}_{n,k}(\nu, \varkappa)$, where $k = 0, \dots, n$, form a probability distribution, it suffices to check that the limit exists and is equal to 1 for $k = n$. In this case the right-hand side of (15) becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{[\varkappa - n + i]}{[\nu - n + i]}.$$

Because $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [m] = 1/(1-q)$ for $q < 1$, this indeed converges to 1 provided that $\varkappa \rightarrow \infty$.

Now suppose \varkappa is fixed for all large enough ν . The right-hand side of (15) is 0 for $k > \varkappa$. For $k \leq \varkappa$ using $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [m - j]!/[m]! = (1 - q)^j$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[\nu - n]}{[\varkappa - k]} \Big/ \frac{[\nu]}{[\varkappa]} &= \frac{[\nu - n]!}{[\nu]!} \frac{[\nu - \varkappa]!}{[\nu - \varkappa - (n - k)]!} \frac{[\varkappa]!}{[\varkappa - k]!} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{(1 - q)^k [\varkappa]!}{[\varkappa - k]!} = \tilde{\Phi}_{n,n}(q^{\varkappa}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

□

Part (i) of the next theorem appeared in [10, Chapter 1, Section 4, Corollary 6]. Kerov pointed out that the proof could be concluded from the Kerov-Vershik ‘ring theorem’ (see [5, Section 8.7]), but did not give details.

For μ a measure, we shall write $\mu(x)$ instead of $\mu(\{x\})$, meaning atomic mass at x .

Theorem 3.2. *Assume $0 < q < 1$.*

(i) *The formulas*

$$\tilde{v}_{n,k} = \sum_{x \in \Delta_q} \tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(x) \mu(x), \quad v_{n,k} = \sum_{x \in \Delta_q} \Phi_{n,k}(x) \mu(x)$$

establish a linear homeomorphism between the set $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ (respectively, \mathcal{V}) and the set of all probability measures μ on Δ_q .

(ii) *Given $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, the corresponding measure μ is determined by*

$$\mu(q^{\varkappa}) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{v}_{\nu, \varkappa}, \quad \varkappa = 0, 1, \dots; \quad \mu(0) = 1 - \sum_{\varkappa \in \{0, 1, \dots\}} \mu(q^{\varkappa}).$$

Proof. As in [11], the assertions (i) and (ii) are consequences of the following claims (a), (b) and (c).

(a) For each $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the vertex set Γ_ν is embedded into Δ_q via the map $(\nu, \varkappa) \mapsto q^{\varkappa}$. Observe that, as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, the image of Γ_ν in Δ_q expands and in the limit exhausts the whole set Δ_q , except point 0, which is a limit point. In this sense, Δ_q is approximated by the sets Γ_ν as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$.

(b) The multistep backward transition probabilities (15) converge to $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(q^{\varkappa})$, for $0 \leq \varkappa \leq \infty$, in the regimes described by Lemma 3.1.

(c) The linear span of the functions $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,k}(x)$, $(n - k, k) \in \Gamma$, is the space of all polynomials, so that it is dense in the Banach space $C(\Delta_q)$. □

Note that part (ii) of the theorem can be rephrased as follows: given $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, consider the probability distribution on Γ_n determined by $\tilde{v}_{n, \bullet}$ and take its pushforward under the embedding $\Gamma_\nu \hookrightarrow \Delta_q$. The resulting probability measure on Δ_q weakly converges to μ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 3.3. *For $0 < q < 1$ we have:*

- (i) The extreme elements of \mathcal{V} are parameterised by the points $x \in \Delta_q$ and have the form

$$v_{n,k} = \Phi_{n,k}(x), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \quad (18)$$

- (ii) The Martin boundary of the graph $\Gamma(q)$ coincides with its minimal boundary and can be identified with $\Delta_q \subset [0, 1]$ via the function $v \mapsto v_{1,0}$.

Proof. All the claims are immediate. We only comment on the fact the parameter $x \in \Delta_q$ is recovered as the value of $v_{1,0}$: this holds because $\Phi_{1,0}(x) = x$. \square

Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ we have a phase transition: the discrete boundary Δ_q becomes more and more dense and eventually fills the whole of $[0, 1]$ at $q = 1$.

As is seen from (16), the polynomial $\Phi_{n,k}(x)$ can be viewed as a q -analogue of the polynomial $x^{n-k}(1-x)^k$, so that (18) is a q -analogue of (4). Keep in mind that $x = q^z$ is a counterpart of $1-p$, the probability of $\varepsilon_1 = 0$. The following q -analogue of the Hausdorff problem of moments emerges. Introduce a modified difference operator acting on sequences $u = (u_l)_{l=0,1,\dots}$ as

$$(\delta_q u)_l = q^{-l}(u_l - u_{l+1}), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots$$

Corollary 3.4. Assume $0 < q < 1$. A real sequence $u = (u_l)_{l=0,1,\dots}$ with $u_0 = 1$ is a moment sequence of a probability measure μ supported by $\Delta_q \subset [0, 1]$ if and only if u is ‘ q -completely monotone’ in the sense that for every $k = 0, 1, \dots$ we have componentwise

$$\underbrace{\delta_q \circ \dots \circ \delta_q}_k u \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Proof. Using the notation $v_{l+k,k} = u_l^{(k)}$ as in (2), we see that the recursion (9) is equivalent to $u^{(k)} = \delta_q u^{(k-1)}$, cf. (3). Then we use the fact that $\Phi_{n,0}(x) = x^n$ and repeat in the reverse order the argument of Section 1. \square

The case $q > 1$.

This case can be readily reduced to the case with parameter $0 < \bar{q} < 1$, where $\bar{q} := q^{-1}$. It is convenient to adopt a more detailed notation $[n]_q$ for the q -integers.

Lemma 3.5. For every $q > 0$, $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$, the backward transition probabilities (10), (11) for the graph $\Gamma(q)$ and the dual graph $\Gamma^*(\bar{q})$ are the same.

Proof. Indeed, by virtue of (10), (11), this is reduced to the equality

$$\frac{[n-k]_q}{[n]_q} = \bar{q}^k \frac{[n-k]_{\bar{q}}}{[n]_{\bar{q}}}.$$

\square

The lemma implies that the boundary problem for $q > 1$ can be treated by passing to $q^{-1} < 1$ and changing (l, k) to (k, l) . In terms of the binary encoding of the path, this means switching 0’s with 1’s.

Kerov [10, Chapter 1, Section 2.2] gives more examples of ‘similar’ graphs, which have different edge weights but the same backward transition probabilities.

4 Examples

A q -analogue of the Bernoulli process.

Our first example is a description of the extreme q -exchangeable infinite binary sequences.

With each infinite binary sequence we associate some T -sequence (T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots) of nonnegative integers, where T_j is the length of j th run of 0's. That is to say, T_0 is the number of 0's before the first 1, T_1 is the number of 0's between the first and second 1's, T_2 is the number of 0's between the second and third 1's, and so on. Clearly, this is a bijection, i.e. a binary sequence can be recovered from its T -sequence as

$$(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{T_0}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{T_1}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{T_2}, 1, \dots).$$

If $q = 1$, then the Bernoulli process with parameter p has a simple description in terms of the associated random T -sequence: all T_i are independent and have the same geometric distribution with parameter $1 - p$.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume $0 < q < 1$. For $x \in \Delta_q$, let $v(x) = (v_{n,k}(x))$ be the extreme element of \mathcal{V} corresponding to x . Consider q -exchangeable infinite binary sequence $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$ under the probability law $\mathbb{P}_{v(x)}$ and let (T_0, T_1, \dots) be the associated random T -sequence.*

(i) *If $x = q^\varkappa$ with $\varkappa = 1, 2, \dots$ then $T_0, \dots, T_{\varkappa-1}$ are independent, $T_\varkappa \equiv \infty$, and T_i has geometric distribution with parameter $q^{\varkappa-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \varkappa - 1$.*

(ii) *If $x = 1$ then $T_0 \equiv \infty$, which means that with probability one ε is the sequence $(0, 0, \dots)$ of only 0's.*

(iii) *If $x = 0$ then $T_0 \equiv T_1 \equiv \dots \equiv 0$, which means that with probability one ε is the sequence $(1, 1, \dots)$ of only 1's.*

Proof. Consider the central Markov chain $S = (S_n)$ corresponding to the extreme element $v(q^\varkappa)$. Computing the forward transition probabilities, from (18) and (10), for $0 \leq k \leq \varkappa$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{S_{n+1} = (n+1-k, k) \mid S_n = (n-k, k)\} \\ = \frac{(q^{n+1-k} - 1)}{(q^n - 1)} \frac{d_{n+1,k} \Phi_{n+1,k}(q^\varkappa)}{d_{n,k} \Phi_{n,k}(q^\varkappa)} = q^{\varkappa-k}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

This implies (i) and (ii). In the limit case $x = 0$ corresponding to $\varkappa \rightarrow +\infty$, the above probability equals 0, which entails (iii). \square

The analogy with the Bernoulli process is evident from the above description of the binary sequence $\varepsilon(q^\varkappa)$. Moreover, the Bernoulli process appears as a limit. Indeed, fix $p \in (0, 1)$ and suppose \varkappa varies with q , as $q \uparrow 1$, in such a way that

$$\varkappa \sim \frac{-\log(1-p)}{1-q}.$$

In this limiting regime, $q^{\varkappa-k} \rightarrow 1-p$ for every k , hence (T_0, T_1, \dots) weakly converges to an infinite sequence of i.i.d. geometric variables with parameter $1-p$, and the random binary sequence $\varepsilon(q^{\varkappa})$ converges in distribution to the Bernoulli process with the frequency of 0's equal to $1-p$.

Another q -analogue of Bernoulli process.

Following [10], another q -analogue of Bernoulli process is suggested by the q -binomial formula (see [9])

$$(-\theta, q)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \theta^k.$$

For $\theta \in [0, \infty]$ we define a probability law \mathbb{P}_{w^θ} for $S = (S_n)$ by setting

$$w_{n,k}^\theta := \frac{\theta^k q^{k(k-1)/2}}{(-\theta, q)_n}, \quad \mathbb{P}_{w^\theta}\{S_n = (n-k, k)\} := d_{n,k} w_{n,k}^\theta, \quad (n, k) \in \Gamma. \quad (20)$$

Checking (9) is immediate. Computing forward transition probabilities,

$$\mathbb{P}_{w^\theta}\{S_{n+1} = (n+1-k, k) \mid S_n = (n-k, k)\} = 1/(1+\theta q^n),$$

shows that under \mathbb{P}_{w^θ} the process $S_n = (n-K_n, K_n)$ has independent inhomogeneous increments, with probability $\theta q^{n-1}/(1+\theta q^{n-1})$ for increment $K_n - K_{n-1} = 1$. For $q = 1$ we are back to the ergodic Bernoulli process, but for $0 < q < 1$ the process is not extreme. To obtain the barycentric decomposition of w^θ over extremes,

$$w^\theta = \sum_{0 \leq \varkappa \leq \infty} v^\varkappa \mu(q^\varkappa),$$

we can apply Theorem 3.2(ii) to compute from (20)

$$\mu(q^\varkappa) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{w^\theta}\{S_n = (n-\varkappa, \varkappa)\} = \frac{1}{(-\theta, q)_\infty} \frac{q^{\varkappa(\varkappa-1)/2} \theta^\varkappa}{(1-q)^\varkappa [\varkappa]!}.$$

This measure μ may be viewed as a q -analogue of the Poisson distribution.

A q -analogue of Pólya's urn process.

The conventional Pólya's urn process is described in [3, Section 7.4]. Here we provide its natural deformation.

Fix $a, b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$. Consider the Markov chain (S_n) on Γ with the forward transition probabilities from $(n-k, k)$ to $(n+1-k, k)$ and from $(n-k, k)$ to $(n-k, k+1)$ given by

$$\frac{[b+n-k]}{[a+b+n]} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{[a+k]}{[a+b+n]} q^{n-k+b},$$

respectively. Then the distribution at time n is

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n - k, k)\} = \binom{n}{k} q^{bk} \times \frac{[a][a+1] \cdots [a+k-1][b][b+1] \cdots [b+n-k-1]}{[a+b][a+b+1] \cdots [a+b+n-1]}. \quad (21)$$

Checking consistency (9) is easy. The conventional Pólya's urn process appears in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$. The corresponding probability measure μ is computable from Theorem 3.2(ii) as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_n = (n - \varkappa, \varkappa)\}$$

For $a = 1$, the limit distribution of the coordinate \varkappa is geometric with parameter $1 - q^b$. For general a, b we obtain a measure on Δ_q

$$\mu(q^\varkappa) = \frac{(q^a, q)_\varkappa (q^b, q)_\infty}{(q, q)_\varkappa (q^{a+b}, q)_\infty} q^{\varkappa b}, \quad q^\varkappa \in \Delta_q,$$

which may be viewed as a q -analogue of the beta distribution on $[0, 1]$.

5 Grassmannians over a finite field

For q a power of a prime number, let \mathbb{F}_q be the Galois field with q elements. Define V_n to be the n -dimensional space of sequences (ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots) with entries from \mathbb{F}_q , which satisfy $\xi_i = 0$ for $i > n$. The spaces $\{0\} = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots$ comprise a complete flag, and the union $V_\infty := \cup_{n \geq 0} V_n$ is a countable, infinite-dimensional space over \mathbb{F}_q .

By the *Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ we mean the set of all vector subspaces $X \subseteq V_\infty$. Likewise, for $n \geq 0$ let $\text{Gr}(V_n)$ be the set of all vector subspaces in V_n , with $\text{Gr}(V_0)$ being a singleton. Consider the projection $\pi_{n+1, n} : \text{Gr}(V_{n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(V_n)$ which sends a subspace of V_{n+1} to its intersection with V_n .

Lemma 5.1. *There is a canonical bijection $X \leftrightarrow (X_n)$ between the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ and the set of sequences $(X_n \in \text{Gr}(V_n), n \geq 0)$ satisfying the consistency condition $X_n = \pi_{n+1, n}(X_{n+1})$ for each n .*

Proof. Indeed, the mapping $X \mapsto (X_n)$ is given by setting $X_n = X \cap V_n$ for each n , while the mapping $(X_n) \mapsto X$ is defined by $X = \cup X_n$. \square

The lemma shows that $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ can be identified with a projective limit of the finite sets $\text{Gr}(V_n)$, the projections being the maps $\pi_{n+1, n}$. Using this identification we endow $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ with the corresponding topology, in which $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ becomes a totally disconnected compact space. For $X \in \text{Gr}(V_\infty)$, a fundamental system of its neighborhoods is comprised of the sets of the form $\{X' \in \text{Gr}(V_\infty) : X'_n = X_n\}$, where $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_n = GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ be the group of invertible linear transformations of the space V_n , realised as the group of transformations of V_∞ which may only change the first n

coordinates. We have then $\{e\} = \mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \dots$ and we define $\mathcal{G}_\infty := \cup \mathcal{G}_n$. The countable group \mathcal{G}_∞ consists of infinite invertible matrices (g_{ij}) , such that $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ for large enough $i + j$. The group \mathcal{G}_∞ acts on V_∞ hence also acts on $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$.

A probability distribution on $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ defines a random subspace of V_∞ . We look at random subspaces of V_∞ whose distribution is invariant under the action of \mathcal{G}_∞ . Observe that the action of \mathcal{G}_n splits $\text{Gr}(V_n)$ into orbits

$$G(n, k) = \{X \in \text{Gr}(V_n), \dim X = k\}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

where $\#G(n, k) = d_{n,k}$ is the number of k -dimensional subspaces of V_n . Therefore, a probability distribution on $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ is \mathcal{G}_∞ -invariant if and only if the conditional distribution on each $G(n, k)$ is uniform.

It must be clear that this setting of ‘ q -exchangeability’ of linear spaces is analogous to the framework of de Finetti’s theorem: exchangeability of a random binary sequence means that the conditional measure is uniform on sequences of length n with k 1’s. See [1], [2] for more on symmetries and sufficiency.

Lemma 5.2. *Formula*

$$\tilde{v}_{n,k} = P\{X \in \text{Gr}(V_\infty) : X \cap V_n \in G(n, k)\}, \quad (n, k) \in \Gamma$$

establishes a linear homeomorphism between $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and \mathcal{G}_∞ -invariant probability measures on the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$.

Proof. We first spell out more carefully the remark before the lemma. Consider projections

$$\pi_{\infty,n} : \text{Gr}(V_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(V_n), \quad X \mapsto X \cap V_n, \quad X \in \text{Gr}(V_\infty), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

If P is a Borel probability measure on the space $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$, then, for any n , the pushforward $P_n := \pi_{\infty,n}(P)$ is a probability measure on $\text{Gr}(V_n)$, and the measures P_n are consistent with respect to the projections $\pi_{n+1,n}$, that is,

$$P_n = \pi_{n+1,n}(P_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Conversely, if a sequence (P_n) of probability measures is consistent, then it determines a probability measure P on $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$. Moreover, P is \mathcal{G}_∞ -invariant if and only if each P_n is \mathcal{G}_n -invariant. Next, observe that if P_n is a \mathcal{G}_n -invariant probability measure, then it assigns the same weight to each k -dimensional space $X_n \in G(n, k)$; let us denote this weight by $v_{n,k}$.

Fix $X_n \in G(n, k)$. We claim that there are precisely $q^{n-k} + 1$ subspaces $X_{n+1} \in \text{Gr}(V_{n+1})$ such that $X_{n+1} \cap V_n = X_n$: one subspace from $G(n+1, k)$ and q^{n-k} subspaces from $G(n+1, k+1)$. Indeed, $\dim X_{n+1}$ equals either k or $k+1$. In the former case $X_{n+1} = X_n$, while in the latter case X_{n+1} is spanned by X_n and a nonzero vector from $V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$. Such a vector is defined uniquely up to a scalar multiple and addition of an

arbitrary vector from X_n . Therefore, the number of options is equal to the number of lines in V_{n+1}/X_n not contained in V_n/X_n , which equals

$$\frac{q^{n+1-k} - 1}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{n-k} - 1}{q - 1} = q^{n-k}.$$

Now, let P be a \mathcal{G}_∞ -invariant probability measure on $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$, with projections (P_n) specified by the corresponding array of weights $v = (v_{n,k})$. Then the relations $P_n = \pi_{n+1,n}(P_{n+1})$ together with the dimension computation imply that v satisfies (9).

Conversely, given $v \in \mathcal{V}$, we can construct a sequence (P_n) of measures such that P_n lives on $\text{Gr}(V_n)$, is invariant under \mathcal{G}_n and agrees with P_{n+1} under $\pi_{n+1,n}$. Since P_0 , which lives on a singleton, is obviously a probability measure, we obtain by induction that all P_n are probability measures. Taking their projective limit we get a \mathcal{G}_∞ -invariant probability measure P on $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$. \square

Rephrasing Theorem 3.2 we have from the lemma

Corollary 5.3. *The ergodic \mathcal{G}_∞ -invariant probability measures on $\text{Gr}(V_\infty)$ are parameterised by $\varkappa \in \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$. For $\varkappa = 0$ the measure is the Dirac mass at V_∞ , for $\varkappa = \infty$ it is the Dirac mass at V_0 , and for $0 < \varkappa < \infty$ the measure is supported by the set of subspaces of V_∞ of codimension \varkappa .*

The following random algorithm describes explicitly the dynamics of the growing space $X_n \in \text{Gr}(V_n)$ as n varies, under the ergodic measure with parameter \varkappa . Recall the notation $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$. Start with $X_0 = V_0$. With probability \bar{q}^\varkappa choose $X_1 = V_1$, and with probability $1 - \bar{q}^\varkappa$ choose $X_1 = X_0$. Suppose $X_n \subseteq V_n$ has been constructed and has dimension $n - k$ with $k \leq \varkappa$. Then let $X_{n+1} = X_n$ with probability $1 - \bar{q}^{\varkappa-k}$, and with probability $\bar{q}^{\varkappa-k}$ choose uniformly at random a nonzero vector $\xi \in V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$ and let X_{n+1} be the linear span of X_n and ξ .

Duality.

We finish with a dual version of our construction. Let V^∞ denote the set of all sequences $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots)$ with entries from \mathbb{F}_q . This is again a vector space over \mathbb{F}_q , strictly larger than V_∞ since we do not require η to have finitely many nonzero entries. That is to say, V^∞ is just the infinite product space $(\mathbb{F}_q)^\infty$, which we endow with the product topology. Let $\text{Gr}(V^\infty)$ denote the set of all *closed* subspaces $Y \subseteq V^\infty$. A dual version of Lemma 5.1 says that such subspaces Y are in a bijective correspondence with the sequences $(Y_n \in \text{Gr}(V_n), n \geq 0)$ such that $Y_n = \pi'_{n+1,n}(Y_{n+1})$, where $\pi'_{n+1,n}$ is induced by the projection map $V_{n+1} \rightarrow V_n$ which sets the $(n+1)$ th coordinate of a vector $\xi \in V_{n+1}$ equal to 0. The branching of $G(n, k)$'s under these projections corresponds to the graph $\Gamma^*(q^{-1})$.

Lemma 5.4. *The operation of passing to the orthogonal complement with respect to the bilinear form*

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i \eta_i, \quad \xi \in V_\infty, \quad \eta \in V^\infty,$$

is a bijection $\text{Gr}(V_\infty) \leftrightarrow \text{Gr}(V^\infty)$.

Proof. First of all, note that the bilinear form is well defined, because the coordinates ξ_i of $\xi \in V_\infty$ vanish for i large enough. This form determines a bilinear pairing $V_\infty \times V^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$. We claim that it brings the spaces V_∞ and V^∞ into duality, where V^∞ is viewed as a vector space with nontrivial topology defined above, and the topology on V_∞ is discrete.

Indeed, it is evident that the pairing is nondegenerate and that any linear functional on V_∞ is given by a vector of V^∞ . A minor reflection also shows that, conversely, any *continuous* linear functional on V^∞ is given by a vector from V_∞ . Thus, the spaces V_∞ and V^∞ are indeed dual to one another. They are also dual as commutative locally compact topological groups: one is discrete and the other is compact.

Using the duality, it is readily checked that if X is an arbitrary subspace in V_∞ , then its orthogonal complement X^\perp is a closed subspace in V^∞ , whose orthogonal complement $(X^\perp)^\perp$ coincides with X . Likewise, starting with a closed subspace $Y \subseteq V^\infty$, we have $Y^\perp \subseteq V_\infty$ and $(Y^\perp)^\perp = Y$. Thus, the operation of taking the orthogonal complement is a bijection. \square

The group \mathcal{G}_∞ acts on both V_∞ and V^∞ and preserves the pairing between these vector spaces. Under the identification $\text{Gr}(V^\infty) = \text{Gr}(V_\infty)$, the group \mathcal{G}_∞ acts by homeomorphisms on this compact space. In the dual picture, the ergodic measures with $\varkappa < \infty$ live on the set of \varkappa -dimensional subspaces of V^∞ . The case $\varkappa = \infty$ corresponds then to the zero subspace in V_∞ (or the full space V^∞). There is a simple explanation why we have to fix codimension in the V_∞ -picture and dimension in the V^∞ -picture, and not vice versa. Namely, the subspaces in V_∞ of fixed nonzero finite dimension form a countable set, which is a single \mathcal{G}_∞ -orbit, and such a \mathcal{G}_∞ -space cannot carry a finite invariant measure.

References

- [1] D. J. Aldous, *Exchangeability and related topics*. In: *École d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIII—1983*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1117** (1985), 1–198. Springer, Berlin.
- [2] P. Diaconis and D. Freedman, *Partial exchangeability and sufficiency*. In: J. K. Ghosh and J. Roy (Eds). *Statistics: Applications and New Directions; Proceedings of the Indian Statistical Institute Golden Jubilee International Conference*; Sankhya A. Indian Statistical Institute, 1984, 205–236.
- [3] W. Feller (1971), *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. II, Wiley, NY.
- [4] A. Gnedin and G. Olshanski, *The boundary of the Eulerian number triangle*. *Moscow Mathematical Journal* **6** (2006), 461–465.
- [5] A. Gnedin and G. Olshanski, *Coherent random permutations with descent statistic and the boundary problem for the graph of zigzag diagrams*. *Intern. Math. Res. Notices* **2006** (2006), Article ID 51968, 1–39.

- [6] A. Gnedin and G. Olshanski, *q-Exchangeability via quasi-invariance*, in preparation.
- [7] A. Gnedin and J. Pitman, *Exchangeable Gibbs partitions and Stirling triangles*. Journal of Mathematical Sciences **138** (2006), 5674–5685.
- [8] K. R. Goodearl, *Partially ordered abelian groups with interpolation*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **20**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1986, xxii + 336 pp.
- [9] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, 2001.
- [10] S. Kerov, *Asymptotic Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group and its Applications in Analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [11] S. Kerov, A. Okounkov, and G. Olshanski, *The boundary of Young graph with Jack edge multiplicities*. Intern. Math. Res. Notices **1998** (1998), no. 4, 173–199.
- [12] J. W. Pitman, *An extension of de Finetti's theorem*, Adv. Appl. Probab. **10** (1978), No. 2, 268–270.