

# Integration by parts on the $\delta$ -d Bessel Bridge, $\delta > 3$ , and related SPDEs

Lorenzo Zambotti  
Scuola Normale Superiore  
Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy  
E-mail: zambotti@cibs.sns.it

## Abstract

We study a white-noise driven semilinear partial differential equation on the spatial interval  $[0, 1]$  with Dirichlet boundary condition and with a singular drift of the form  $c u^{-3}$ ,  $c > 0$ . We prove existence and uniqueness of a non-negative continuous adapted solution  $u$  on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$  for every non-negative continuous initial datum  $x$ , satisfying  $x(0) = x(1) = 0$ . We prove that the law  $\pi_\delta$  of the Bessel Bridge on  $[0, 1]$  of dimension  $\delta > 3$  is the unique invariant probability measure of the process  $x \mapsto u$ , with  $c = (\delta - 1)(\delta - 3)/8$ . An explicit integration by parts formula w.r.t.  $\pi_\delta$  is given for all  $\delta > 3$ .

MSC 2000 subject classification: 60H15, 60H07, 37L40, 31C25, 35K57.

## 1 Introduction

We are concerned with the following white-noise driven SPDE on the spatial interval  $[0, 1]$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u_\delta}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u_\delta}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{(\delta - 1)(\delta - 3)}{8 (u_\delta)^3} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} \\ u_\delta(t, 0) = u_\delta(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \geq 0 \\ u_\delta(0, \xi) = x(\xi), \quad \xi \in [0, 1] \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

where  $x : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, \infty)$  is continuous and satisfies  $x(0) = x(1) = 0$ ,  $W$  is a Brownian sheet and  $\delta > 3$ .

In this paper we prove first that, for all  $\delta > 3$ , there exists a unique continuous non-negative solution  $u_\delta$  of (1) on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$  such that  $(u_\delta)^{-3} \in L^1_{loc}([0, \infty) \times (0, 1))$ , and that  $u_\delta$  is adapted. Notice that the non-linearity in (1) is singular enough to make the standard techniques non-effective.

Secondly, we study the ergodicity of the solution of (1): we prove that the process  $x \mapsto u_\delta$  is symmetric w.r.t. the law  $\pi_\delta$  of the  $\delta$ -dimensional Bessel Bridge on  $[0, 1]$  and that  $\pi_\delta$  is the unique invariant probability measure of  $x \mapsto u_\delta$ .

One of the main tools is the following integration by parts formula w.r.t. the probability measure  $\pi_\delta$ ,  $\delta > 3$ :

$$\int_{K_0} \partial_h \varphi d\pi_\delta = - \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \left( \langle x, h'' \rangle + \frac{(\delta-1)(\delta-3)}{4} \langle x^{-3}, h \rangle \right) \pi_\delta(dx). \quad (2)$$

where  $\varphi : L^2(0, 1) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is Fréchet differentiable with bounded gradient,  $h : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is twice continuously differentiable with compact support in  $(0, 1)$  and  $h''$  is the second derivative of  $h$ ,  $\partial_h \varphi$  is the directional derivative of  $\varphi$  along  $h \in L^2(0, 1)$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the canonical scalar product in  $L^2(0, 1)$ . This result allows to prove also that  $x \mapsto u_\delta$  is a gradient system, i.e. it is the diffusion associated with the symmetric Dirichlet Form with state space  $K_0 := \{x \in L^2(0, 1), x \geq 0\}$ :

$$W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta) \ni \varphi, \psi \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi \rangle d\pi_\delta$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the gradient in the Hilbert space  $H := L^2(0, 1)$ .

Finally, if  $\delta \in \mathbb{N} \cap [4, \infty)$ , we prove that the process  $x \mapsto u_\delta$  is the radial part of the Gaussian process  $Z_\delta$ , solution of the  $\mathbb{R}^\delta$ -valued linear SPDE:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial Z_\delta}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 Z_\delta}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{W}}{\partial t \partial \xi} \\ Z_\delta(t, \overline{x})(0) = Z_\delta(t, \overline{x})(1) = 0, \quad t \geq 0 \\ Z_\delta(0, \overline{x}) = \overline{x} \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

where  $\overline{x} \in L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^\delta)$ ,  $\overline{W} := (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_\delta) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^\delta$ , and  $\{W_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \delta}$  are independent copies of  $W$ . By this, we mean the following: it is well known that

$Z_\delta$  is associated with the Dirichlet Form  $(\Lambda^\delta, W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta}))$  on  $H^\delta = L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^\delta)$ :

$$W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta}) \ni F, G \mapsto \Lambda^\delta(F, G) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{H^\delta} \langle \bar{\nabla} F, \bar{\nabla} G \rangle_{H^\delta} d\mu^{\otimes \delta}$$

where  $\mu$  is the law on  $L^2(0, 1)$  of a Brownian Bridge on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $F, G : H^\delta \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bar{\nabla} F : H^\delta \mapsto H^\delta$  is the gradient of  $F$  in  $H^\delta$ . We set:

$$\Phi_\delta : H^\delta \mapsto K_0, \quad \Phi_\delta(y)(\tau) := |y(\tau)|_{\mathbb{R}^\delta}, \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

Then we prove that  $\mathcal{D}^\delta$  is the image of  $\Lambda^\delta$  under the map  $\Phi_\delta$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta) &= \{\varphi \in L^2(\pi_\delta) : \varphi \circ \Phi_\delta \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta})\}, \\ \mathcal{D}^\delta(\varphi, \psi) &= \Lambda^\delta(\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta, \psi \circ \Phi_\delta), \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta). \end{aligned}$$

In [NP 92], Nualart and Pardoux proved existence and uniqueness of a pair  $(u_3, \eta)$ , where  $u_3$  is a continuous function of  $(t, \xi) \in \mathcal{O} := [0, +\infty) \times [0, 1]$  and  $\eta$  a measure on  $\mathcal{O}$ , solving the SPDE with reflection:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} + \eta \\ u_3(0, \cdot) = x, \quad u_3(t, 0) = u_3(t, 1) = 0 \\ u_3 \geq 0, \quad d\eta \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_3 d\eta = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

see Section 3 below. In [Za 00] and [Za 01], we proved that the process  $x \mapsto u_3$  is symmetric w.r.t. the law  $\pi_3$  of the 3-dimensional Bessel Bridge on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\pi_3$  is the unique invariant probability measure of  $x \mapsto u_3$ , and  $x \mapsto u_3$  is the diffusion associated with the Dirichlet Form  $(\mathcal{D}^3, W^{1,2}(\pi_3))$ :

$$W^{1,2}(\pi_3) \ni \varphi, \psi \mapsto \mathcal{D}^3(\varphi, \psi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi \rangle d\pi_3$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the gradient in  $H$ . One of the key tools was the following integration by parts formula w.r.t. the probability measure  $\pi_3$  on  $L^2(0, 1)$ :

$$\int_{K_0} \partial_h \varphi d\pi_3 = - \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \langle x, h'' \rangle d\pi_3 - \int_0^1 dr h(r) \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \sigma_0(r, dx), \quad (5)$$

where the measure  $\sigma_0(r, \cdot)$  is explicitly defined in terms of two independent 3-d Bessel Bridges, respectively on  $[0, r]$  and on  $[0, 1 - r]$ , glued at  $r \in (0, 1)$ : see (15) below. The last term of (5) was interpreted as a boundary term and applied to characterize  $\eta$  as a family of additive functionals of  $u_3$ . Finally, we proved that  $x \mapsto u_\delta$  is the radial part of the Gaussian process  $Z_3$ , solution of the  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -valued SPDE (3) above with  $\delta = 3$ .

Mueller in [Mu 98] and Mueller and Pardoux in [MP 99] considered the following SPDE with periodic boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}}{\partial \xi^2} + \hat{u}^{-\alpha} + g(\hat{u}) \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi}, & t \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{S}^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \hat{u}(0, \cdot) = \hat{x} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\hat{x} : \mathbb{S}^1 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,  $\inf \hat{x} > 0$  and  $g$  satisfies suitable growth conditions, and proved that  $\alpha = 3$  is the critical exponent for  $\hat{u}$  to hit zero in finite time. More precisely, the following was proved:

1. If  $\alpha > 3$ , then a.s.  $\hat{u}(t, \xi) > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$ .
2. If  $\alpha < 3$ , then with positive probability, there exist  $t > 0$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$ , such that  $\hat{u}(t, \xi) = 0$ .

The results presented above allow to prove that for all continuous  $x : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, \infty)$  with  $x(0) = x(1) = 0$ , for all  $\alpha \geq 3$  and  $C > 0$  the following SPDE admits a unique continuous non-negative adapted solution  $\hat{u}_\alpha$ , being well-defined for all  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\alpha}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\alpha}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{C}{(\hat{u}_\alpha)^\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} \\ \hat{u}_\alpha(t, 0) = \hat{u}_\alpha(t, 1) = 0, & t \geq 0 \\ \hat{u}_\alpha(0, \cdot) = x, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

while for all  $0 \leq \alpha < 3$  and  $C \geq 0$  the following SPDE of Nualart-Pardoux

type admits a unique solution  $(\hat{u}_\alpha, \hat{\eta}_\alpha)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\alpha}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\alpha}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{C}{(\hat{u}_\alpha)^\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} + \hat{\eta}_\alpha \\ \hat{u}_\alpha(0, \cdot) = x, \quad \hat{u}_\alpha(t, 0) = \hat{u}_\alpha(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \geq 0 \\ \hat{u}_\alpha \geq 0, \quad d\hat{\eta}_\alpha \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} \hat{u}_\alpha d\hat{\eta}_\alpha = 0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

and  $\hat{\eta}_\alpha \neq 0$ . Notice that the nonlinearity  $f(y) = C y^{-\alpha}$ , unbounded in a neighbourhood of 0, makes the equation with Dirichlet boundary condition more delicate to handle, then the one with periodic condition.

The family  $(u_\delta)_{\delta \geq 3}$ , defined by (1) and (4), reveal several analogies with the family of Bessel Processes  $(\rho_\delta)_{\delta \geq 1}$ . Indeed, recall that:

1. If  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a linear BM and  $x \geq 0$ , then for all  $\delta > 1$  there exists a unique solution  $(\rho_\delta(t, x))_{t \geq 0}$  of the SDE:

$$d\rho_\delta = \frac{\delta - 1}{2\rho_\delta} dt + dB, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \rho_\delta(0, x) = x, \quad (8)$$

and, for  $\delta = 1$ , there exists a unique pair  $(\rho_1, L)$ , with  $t \mapsto \rho_1(t, x)$  continuous and positive and  $t \mapsto L(t, x)$  continuous and monotone non-decreasing, satisfying:

$$d\rho_1 = dL + dB, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \rho_1(0, x) = x, \quad L(0, x) = 0. \quad (9)$$

For all  $\delta \geq 1$ ,  $\rho_\delta = (\rho_\delta(t, x))_{t \geq 0, x \geq 0}$ , is called the  $\delta$ -dimensional Bessel process.

2. The process  $\rho_\delta$  is the diffusion associated with the Dirichlet Form:

$$W^{1,2}([0, \infty), x^{\delta-1} dx) \ni f, g \mapsto \gamma^\delta(f, g) := \frac{\omega_\delta}{2} \int_0^\infty f'(x) g'(x) x^{\delta-1} dx,$$

where  $\omega_\delta := \pi^{\delta/2} / \Gamma(1 + \delta/2)$ .

3. If  $\delta \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \infty)$  and  $(B_\delta(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $\delta$ -dimensional Brownian Motion, then  $\rho_\delta$  is characterized as the radial part of  $B_\delta$ , i.e. the Dirichlet form  $\gamma^\delta$ , generating  $\rho_\delta$ , is the image of

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^\delta) \ni F, G \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\delta} \langle \nabla F, \nabla G \rangle dx$$

under the map  $\mathbb{R}^\delta \ni y \mapsto |y| \in [0, \infty)$ . Notice that, in this case, it is even true that the law of  $\rho_\delta$  is equal to the law of  $|B_\delta|$ .

4. For all  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $c > 0$  there exists a unique continuous non-negative solution  $\rho$  of the following SDE

$$d\rho = \frac{c}{(\rho)^\alpha} dt + dB, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \rho(0) \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

while for all  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $c \geq 0$  there exists a unique pair  $(\rho, L)$  solving the Skorokhod problem:

$$d\rho = \frac{c}{(\rho)^\alpha} dt + dL + dB, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \rho(0, x) = x, \quad L(0, x) = 0, \quad (11)$$

and  $L \neq 0$ .

5. The following integration by parts formulae hold for the invariant measure  $1_{[0, \infty)}(x)x^{\delta-1}dx$  of  $\rho_\delta$ :

$$\int_0^\infty f'(x) x^{\delta-1} dx = - \int_0^\infty f(x) \frac{\delta-1}{x} x^{\delta-1} dx, \quad \delta > 1, \quad (12)$$

$$\int_0^\infty f'(x) dx = -f(0), \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty([0, \infty)). \quad (13)$$

In particular, in the critical case  $\delta = 1$  a boundary term appears, while for  $\delta > 1$  only a logarithmic-derivative term appears.

Notice that the exponent in the non-linear term of (8) is equal to  $-1$ , i.e. to minus the critical dimension for (10)-(11) and (12)-(13): the same happens for the exponent in the non-linear term of (1), which is equal to  $-3$ , i.e. to minus the critical dimension for (6)-(7) and (2)-(5). Moreover, the maps

$$(1, \infty) \ni \delta \mapsto \frac{\delta-1}{2} \in (0, \infty), \quad (3, \infty) \ni \delta \mapsto \frac{(\delta-1)(\delta-3)}{8} \in (0, \infty)$$

are both increasing and bijective.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove the integration by parts formula (2). Section 3 is devoted to the study of equation (1). In section 4, we study equations (6) and (7).

We fix some notations: we set  $H := L^2(0, 1)$  and we denote the canonical scalar product in  $H$  by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the associated norm by  $\| \cdot \|$ . We set  $K_0 := \{x \in H, x \geq 0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{O} := [0, +\infty) \times [0, 1]$  and

$$C_0 := C_0(0, 1) := \{c : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous, } c(0) = c(1) = 0\},$$

$$A : D(A) \subset H \mapsto H, \quad D(A) := W^{2,2} \cap W_0^{1,2}(0, 1), \quad A := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2}.$$

By  $W = \{W(t, \xi) : (t, \xi) \in \mathcal{O}\}$  we denote a two-parameter Wiener process defined on a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , i.e.  $W$  is a Gaussian process with zero mean and covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}[W(t, \xi)W(t', \xi')] = (t \wedge t')(\xi \wedge \xi'), \quad (t, \xi), (t', \xi') \in \mathcal{O}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_t$  the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random variables  $\{W(s, \xi) : (s, \xi) \in [0, t] \times [0, 1]\}$ . We also consider a linear Brownian Motion  $(B_\tau)_{\tau \in [0, 1]}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , independent of  $W$ , and a  $\mathbb{R}^\delta$ -valued BM  $(B_\delta(t))_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $\mathbb{N} \ni \delta \geq 2$ . Then we set:

- $\pi_\delta$ ,  $\delta > 1$ , is the law on  $L^2(0, 1)$  of the  $\delta$ -d Bessel Bridge between 0 and 0 on  $[0, 1]$ , i.e. the law of the unique non-negative process  $(x_\delta(\tau))_{\tau \in [0, 1]}$  solving the SDE:

$$dx_\delta = \frac{\delta - 1}{2x_\delta} d\tau - \frac{x_\delta}{1 - \tau} d\tau + dB, \quad \tau \in [0, 1[, \quad x_\delta(0) = 0. \quad (14)$$

If  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta \geq 2$ , then  $\pi_\delta$  is equal to the law of  $(|B_\delta(\tau)|)_{\tau \in [0, 1]}$ , conditioned on  $\{B_\delta(1) = 0\}$ .

- $\pi_3^r$ ,  $r \in (0, 1)$ , is the law on  $L^2(0, r)$  of the 3-d Bessel Bridge between 0 and 0 on  $[0, r]$ , i.e. the law of the unique non-negative process  $(x_3^r(\tau))_{\tau \in [0, 1]}$  solving the SDE:

$$dx_3^r = \frac{d\tau}{x_3^r} - \frac{x_3^r}{r - \tau} d\tau + dB, \quad \tau \in [0, r[, \quad x_3^r(0) = 0.$$

Moreover,  $\pi_3^r$  is the law of  $(|B_3(\tau)|)_{\tau \in [0, r]}$ , conditioned on  $\{B_3(r) = 0\}$ .

- Let  $r \in (0, 1)$ . For  $y \in C([0, r])$  and  $z \in C([0, 1 - r])$  we set:

$$y \oplus_r z \in H, \quad [y \oplus_r z](\tau) := y(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{[0, r]} + z(\tau - r) \mathbf{1}_{(r, 1]}.$$

Then we define for all  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ :

$$\int \varphi(x) \sigma_0(r, dx) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r^3(1-r)^3}} \mathbb{E} [\varphi(x_3^r \oplus_r \hat{x}_3^{1-r})] \quad (15)$$

where  $x_3^r$  and  $\hat{x}_3^r$ ,  $r \in (0, 1)$ , are independent and identically distributed.

We introduce the following function spaces:

- $C_b(H)$  is the space of all  $\varphi : H \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  being bounded and uniformly continuous in the norm of  $H$ .  $C_b^1(H)$  is the space of Fréchet differentiable  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$  with bounded and continuous gradient  $\nabla\varphi : H \mapsto H$ .
- $\text{Exp}_A(H)$  is the linear span of  $\{1, \cos(\langle \cdot, h \rangle), \sin(\langle \cdot, h \rangle) : h \in D(A)\}$ .
- $\text{Lip}(H)$  is the space of all  $\varphi : H \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{Lip}} := \sup_x |\varphi(x)| + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x - y|} < \infty.$$

$\text{Lip}(K_0)$  is the set of  $\varphi : K_0 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  such that  $H \ni x \mapsto \varphi(x^+)$  is in  $\text{Lip}(H)$ , where  $x^+(\tau) := \sup\{x(\tau), 0\}$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ .

- $C_b^1(K_0)$  is the set of all  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(K_0)$  such that there exists a bounded continuous vector field  $\nabla\varphi : H \mapsto H$ , which we call the gradient of  $\varphi$ , satisfying:

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\varphi(x + th) - \varphi(x)) = \langle \nabla\varphi(x), h \rangle, \quad \forall h \in K_0.$$

## 2 IbPF on the $\delta$ -d Bessel Bridge

The aim of this section is to prove the following

**Theorem 1** *For all  $\delta > 3$ ,  $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$  and  $h \in D(A)$ , we have*

$$\int_{K_0} \partial_h \varphi d\pi_\delta = - \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \left( \langle x, h'' \rangle + \frac{(\delta-1)(\delta-3)}{4} \langle x^{-3}, h \rangle \right) \pi_\delta(dx). \quad (16)$$

We recall the following Theorem, proved in [Za 00]:



**Theorem 2** For all  $\varphi \in C_b^1(K_0)$  and  $h \in D(A)$ , we have

$$\int_{K_0} \partial_h \varphi d\pi_3 = - \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \langle x, h'' \rangle d\pi_3 - \int_0^1 dr h(r) \int \varphi(x) \sigma_0(r, dx). \quad (17)$$

We define:

$$c(\delta) := \frac{\delta - 3}{2}, \quad \kappa(\delta) := \frac{(\delta - 1)(\delta - 3)}{4} \quad \delta > 3.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1**—We fix  $\delta > 3$ . The main idea of the proof is the following: regularizing the SDE (14) which defines  $x_\delta$ , we obtain a monotone family of processes  $(z^\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$  on  $[0, 1]$ , converging uniformly to  $x_\delta$  as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  the law of  $z^\epsilon$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\pi_3$ , with an explicit density. Using (17), we write an integration by parts formula w.r.t. the law of  $z^\epsilon$ : then we prove that, letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , the boundary term, i.e. the integral w.r.t.  $\sigma_0$ , converges to 0, and the other terms give (16). Several steps of this proof will be used also during the proof of Theorem 3 below.

We divide the proof of (16) into several steps. We can assume without loss of generality that  $h$  has compact support in  $(0, 1)$  and:

$$\varphi \geq 0, \quad h \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

**Step 1.** Let  $Q_\delta := (x_\delta)^2$ . By Itô's formula  $Q_\delta$  satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} dQ_\delta = \delta d\tau - \frac{Q_\delta}{1-\tau} d\tau + 2\sqrt{Q_\delta} dB, & \tau \in [0, 1[ \\ Q_\delta(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

We set the following SDE on  $[0, 1[$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$\begin{cases} dq^\epsilon = \left( 3 + 2c(\delta) \frac{\sqrt{|q^\epsilon|}}{\epsilon + \sqrt{|q^\epsilon|}} - \frac{q^\epsilon}{1-\tau} \right) d\tau + 2\sqrt{|q^\epsilon|} dB_\tau, \\ q^\epsilon(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Equation (20) is a one-dimensional SDE with  $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder-continuous diffusion coefficient and bounded drift on every interval  $[0, a[$ ,  $a \in [0, 1[$ . Then by

Theorem IX.3.5 of [RY 91] pathwise existence and uniqueness holds for (20). Moreover, by comparison with (19) we obtain by Theorem IX.3.7 of [RY 91] that  $Q_\delta \geq q^\epsilon \geq Q_3$ . In particular  $q^\epsilon(\tau) > 0$  for all  $\tau \in ]0, 1[$  and we can apply Itô's formula to  $z^\epsilon := \sqrt{q^\epsilon}$ , obtaining:

$$\begin{cases} dz^\epsilon = \frac{1}{z^\epsilon} d\tau + \frac{c(\delta)}{\epsilon + z^\epsilon} d\tau - \frac{z^\epsilon}{1 - \tau} d\tau + dB, & \tau \in [0, 1[ \\ z^\epsilon(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

**Step 2.** We list a few properties of  $(z^\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$ :

- A.  $]0, \infty[ \ni \epsilon \mapsto z^\epsilon$  is monotone non-increasing.
- B.  $]0, \infty[ \ni \epsilon \mapsto \epsilon + z^\epsilon$  is monotone non-decreasing.
- C.  $z^\epsilon \uparrow x_\delta$  and  $\epsilon + z^\epsilon \downarrow x_\delta$ , uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ , as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ .

**Proof**

- A. Consider  $\epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2 > 0$ , and set  $b := (z^{\epsilon_1} - z^{\epsilon_2})^+$ : then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} (b)^2 &= (z^{\epsilon_1} - z^{\epsilon_2})^+ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{z^{\epsilon_1}} - \frac{1}{z^{\epsilon_2}} \right) + \left( \frac{c(\delta)}{\epsilon_1 + z^{\epsilon_1}} - \frac{c(\delta)}{\epsilon_1 + z^{\epsilon_2}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + b c(\delta) \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_1 + z^{\epsilon_2}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_2 + z^{\epsilon_2}} \right) - \frac{b^2}{1 - \tau} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $z^{\epsilon_1} \leq z^{\epsilon_2}$ .

- B. Consider  $\epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2 > 0$ , and set  $w^\epsilon := \epsilon + z^\epsilon$ ,  $b := (w^{\epsilon_2} - w^{\epsilon_1})^+$ . Then by (A.) and  $c(\delta) \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} (b)^2 &= (w^{\epsilon_2} - w^{\epsilon_1})^+ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{z^{\epsilon_2}} - \frac{1}{z^{\epsilon_1}} \right) + c(\delta) \left( \frac{1}{w^{\epsilon_2}} - \frac{1}{w^{\epsilon_1}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad - b \frac{z^{\epsilon_2} - z^{\epsilon_1}}{1 - \tau} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $w^{\epsilon_1} \geq w^{\epsilon_2}$ .

C. By (A.),  $]0, \infty[ \ni \epsilon \mapsto q^\epsilon = (z^\epsilon)^2$  is monotone non-increasing. By Dominated Convergence Theorem, we find that  $q := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} q^\epsilon$  satisfies (19). Since pathwise uniqueness holds for (19), we find  $q = Q_\delta$  and therefore  $x_\delta = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} z^\epsilon$ . We conclude by (A.) and (B.).

**Step 3.** We prove now that for all  $\psi \in C_b(H)$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(z^\epsilon)] = \mathbb{E}[\psi(x_3) \Gamma^\epsilon], \quad \text{where :} \quad (22)$$

$$\Gamma^\epsilon := \exp \left( \int_0^1 \frac{c(\delta)}{\epsilon + x_3} dB - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{c(\delta)}{\epsilon + x_3} \right]^2 d\tau \right).$$

First notice that  $0 \leq (\epsilon + x_3)^{-1} \leq \epsilon^{-1}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[\Gamma^\epsilon] = 1$ , and the right hand side of (22) defines a probability measure on  $H$ . If we set:

$$\hat{B}(\tau) := B(\tau) - \int_0^\tau \frac{c(\delta)}{\epsilon + x_3} ds, \quad \tau \in [0, 1]$$

then by the Girsanov Theorem  $\hat{B}$  is a Brownian Motion under  $\Gamma^\epsilon d\mathbb{P}$ . Therefore  $x_3$  is, under  $\Gamma^\epsilon d\mathbb{P}$ , a weak solution to (21). By Itô's formula,  $Q_3 = (x_3)^2$  is, under  $\Gamma^\epsilon d\mathbb{P}$ , a weak solution to (19). Since pathwise uniqueness holds for (19), by Yamada-Watanabe's Theorem uniqueness in law holds for (19), i.e. the law of  $Q_3$  under  $\Gamma^\epsilon d\mathbb{P}$  is equal to the law of  $q_\epsilon$  under  $\mathbb{P}$ : see [YW 71]. Since  $C([0, 1]; [0, \infty)) \ni x \mapsto \sqrt{x} \in C([0, 1]; [0, \infty))$  is continuous, (22) is proven.

**Step 4.** We can write  $\Gamma^\epsilon$  as a function of  $x_3$  only. Indeed, by (14) with  $\delta = 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} dB &= dx_3 - \frac{1}{x_3} d\tau + \frac{x_3}{1 - \tau} d\tau, \\ d \log(\epsilon + x_3) &= \frac{dx_3}{\epsilon + x_3} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\tau}{(\epsilon + x_3)^2}, \quad \log(\epsilon + x_3(0)) = \log(\epsilon + x_3(1)), \\ \int_0^1 \frac{dB}{\epsilon + x_3} &= \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2(\epsilon + x_3)^2} - \frac{1}{x_3(\epsilon + x_3)} + \frac{1}{1 - \tau} \frac{x_3}{\epsilon + x_3} \right) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\epsilon &= \gamma^\epsilon(x_3) \\ &:= \exp \left( c(\delta) \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1 - c(\delta)}{2(\epsilon + x_3)^2} - \frac{1}{x_3(\epsilon + x_3)} + \frac{1}{1 - \tau} \frac{x_3}{\epsilon + x_3} \right) d\tau \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma^\epsilon : K_0 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is in  $L^1(\pi_3)$  and (22) becomes for all  $\psi \in C_b(H)$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(z^\epsilon)] = \mathbb{E}[\psi(x_3)\gamma^\epsilon(x_3)] = \int \psi(x)\gamma^\epsilon(x)\pi_3(dx).$$

Notice that  $\gamma^\epsilon$  is not in  $C_b^1(K_0)$ . If we set for all  $\rho > 0$ :

$$K_0 \ni x \mapsto \gamma_\rho^\epsilon(x) := \tag{23}$$

$$\exp\left(c(\delta)\int_0^1\left(\frac{1-c(\delta)}{2(\epsilon+x)^2}-\frac{1}{(\rho+x)(\epsilon+x)}+\frac{1}{1+\rho-\tau}\frac{x}{\epsilon+x}\right)d\tau\right).$$

then  $\gamma_\rho^\epsilon$  is in  $C_b^1(K_0)$  and for all  $x \in K_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \log \gamma_\rho^\epsilon(x), h \rangle &:= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\log \gamma_\rho^\epsilon(x + th) - \log \gamma_\rho^\epsilon(x)) \\ &= \int_0^1 c(\delta) \left[ \frac{c(\delta) - 1}{(\epsilon + x)^3} + \frac{1}{(\rho + x)^2(\epsilon + x)} + \frac{1}{(\rho + x)(\epsilon + x)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\epsilon}{(1 + \rho - \tau)(\epsilon + x)^2} \right] h(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By (17) in Theorem 2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_0} \partial_h \varphi \gamma_\rho^\epsilon d\pi_3 &= - \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \left[ \langle x, h'' \rangle + \langle \nabla \log \gamma_\rho^\epsilon, h \rangle \right] \gamma_\rho^\epsilon \pi_3(dx) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 dr h(r) \int \varphi(x) \gamma_\rho^\epsilon(x) \sigma_0(r, dx). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

**Step 5.** We want to let  $\rho \downarrow 0$  in (24). Notice that:

$$\gamma_\rho^\epsilon(x) \leq \gamma^\epsilon(x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{x}\right) \quad \text{for } \pi_3 - \text{a.e. } x, \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \langle h, x^{-2} \rangle \gamma^\epsilon(x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{x}\right) \pi_3(dx) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle h, (z^\epsilon)^{-2} \rangle \exp\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{z^\epsilon}\right) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle h, (x_3)^{-2} \rangle \exp\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{x_3}\right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} [\langle h, (x_3)^{-2p} \rangle]^{1/p} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{q}{\epsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{x_3}\right) \right]^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $p, q > 1$  with  $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$ . Recall that by (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{q}{\epsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{x_3} \right) \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2q}{\epsilon} \int_0^{1/2} \frac{d\tau}{x_3} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2q}{\epsilon} \left( x_3(1/2) + \int_0^{1/2} \frac{x_3}{1-\tau} d\tau - B(1/2) \right) \right) \right] < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbb{E} [\langle h, (x_3)^{-2p} \rangle] = \int_0^1 d\tau h(\tau) \int_0^\infty dy \frac{C}{\sqrt{\tau^3(1-\tau)^3}} y^{2(1-p)} e^{-y^2/2\tau(1-\tau)} < \infty$$

for  $p < 2$ , since  $h$  has compact support in  $(0, 1)$ . Then, by Dominated Convergence Theorem, we can let  $\rho \downarrow 0$  in (24) and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_0} \partial_h \varphi \gamma^\epsilon d\pi_3 &= - \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \left[ \langle x, h'' \rangle + \langle \nabla \log \gamma^\epsilon, h \rangle \right] \gamma^\epsilon(x) \pi_3(dx) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 dr h(r) \int \varphi(x) \gamma^\epsilon(x) \sigma_0(r, dx), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where for  $\pi_3$ -a.e.  $x$  we set:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h, \nabla \log \gamma^\epsilon(x) \rangle &:= \int_0^1 h(\tau) c(\delta) \left[ \frac{c(\delta) - 1}{(\epsilon + x)^3} + \frac{1}{x^2(\epsilon + x)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{x(\epsilon + x)^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{(1-\tau)(\epsilon + x)^2} \right] (\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\langle h, \nabla \log \gamma^\epsilon \rangle \in L^1(\pi_3)$ , since  $h$  has compact support in  $(0, 1)$ .

**Step 6.** Recall that  $\gamma^\epsilon d\pi_3$  is the law of  $z^\epsilon$ , so that:

$$\int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \langle \nabla \log \gamma^\epsilon(x), h \rangle \gamma^\epsilon(x) \pi_3(dx) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(z^\epsilon) \langle \nabla \log \gamma^\epsilon(z^\epsilon), h \rangle].$$

Now we prove that:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(z^\epsilon) \langle \nabla \log \gamma^\epsilon(z^\epsilon), h \rangle] = \kappa(\delta) \mathbb{E} \left[ \varphi(x_\delta) \left\langle h, \frac{1}{(x_\delta)^3} \right\rangle \right]. \quad (28)$$

We set:

$$\zeta^\epsilon := \frac{1}{(z^\epsilon)^2(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{z^\epsilon(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^2} \geq \frac{2}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3}. \quad (29)$$

Then (28) is implied by (30)-(31)-(32):

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(z^\epsilon) \langle h, \zeta^\epsilon \rangle] = \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(x_\delta) \langle h, \frac{2}{(x_\delta)^3} \rangle\right] \quad (30)$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(z^\epsilon) \langle h, \frac{c(\delta) - 1}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} \rangle\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(x_\delta) \langle h, \frac{c(\delta) - 1}{(x_\delta)^3} \rangle\right] \quad (31)$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(z^\epsilon) \langle h, \frac{\epsilon}{(1 - \tau)(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^2} \rangle\right] = 0. \quad (32)$$

First, (31) and (32) follow by (C.) in step 2 and the Dominated Convergence Theorem: indeed  $\epsilon + z^\epsilon \geq x_\delta$ , and since  $\delta > 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int \langle h, x^{-3} \rangle \pi_\delta(dx) &= \int_0^1 d\tau h(\tau) \int x^{-3}(\tau) \pi_\delta(dx) \\ &= \int_0^1 d\tau h(\tau) \int_0^\infty dy \frac{1}{y^3} \frac{C_\delta y^{\delta-1}}{[\tau(1-\tau)]^{\delta/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{2\tau(1-\tau)}\right\} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since  $h$  has compact support in  $(0, 1)$ . On the other hand, (30) is natural but not immediate: indeed, the map

$$]0, \infty[ \ni \epsilon \mapsto \frac{1}{(z^\epsilon)^2(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{z^\epsilon(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^2}$$

is not monotone a priori; moreover the easy estimate:

$$\frac{1}{(z^\epsilon)^2(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{z^\epsilon(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^2} \leq \frac{2}{(x_3)^3},$$

is not useful for a Dominated Convergence argument, since  $\mathbb{E}[\langle h, (x_3)^{-3} \rangle] = +\infty$  if  $h \geq 0$  and  $h$  is not equal to 0 a.e. In order to prove (30), we proceed in a different way. By (31):

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(z^\epsilon) \langle h, \frac{2}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} \rangle\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(x_\delta) \langle h, \frac{2}{(x_\delta)^3} \rangle\right].$$

Now by (18) and (29) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \varphi(z^\epsilon) \langle h, \zeta^\epsilon \rangle \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \varphi(z^\epsilon) \left\langle h, \frac{2}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} \right\rangle \right] \right| \\
& \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \langle h, \zeta^\epsilon - \frac{2}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} \rangle \right| \right] \\
& \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \left( \mathbb{E}[\langle h, \zeta^\epsilon \rangle] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle h, \frac{2}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} \right\rangle \right] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order to prove (30), it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle h, \zeta^\epsilon \rangle - \left\langle h, \frac{2}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} \right\rangle \right] = 0.$$

By Itô's formula we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{h}{\epsilon + z^\epsilon} \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=1} = \int_0^1 d \left( \frac{h}{\epsilon + z^\epsilon} \right) = \int_0^1 \frac{h'}{\epsilon + z^\epsilon} d\tau \\
&+ \int_0^1 \left[ -\frac{h}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^2} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{z^\epsilon} - \frac{z^\epsilon}{1-\tau} + \frac{c(\delta)}{\epsilon + z^\epsilon} \right) d\tau + dB \right] + \frac{h}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} d\tau \right]
\end{aligned}$$

so that we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h}{z^\epsilon (\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^2} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h'}{\epsilon + z^\epsilon} + \frac{h z^\epsilon}{(1-\tau)(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^2} + \frac{(1-c(\delta))h}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} \right] \\
&\rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h'}{x_\delta} + \frac{h}{(1-\tau)x_\delta} + (1-c(\delta)) \frac{h}{(x_\delta)^3} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where the convergence of each term can be justified by either Dominated or Monotone convergence. Analogously, we obtain for all  $\alpha > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h}{\alpha + z^\epsilon} \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=1} = 0 &= \int_0^1 \left( \frac{h'}{\alpha + z^\epsilon} + \frac{h}{(\alpha + z^\epsilon)^3} \right) d\tau \\
&- \int_0^1 \frac{h}{(\alpha + z^\epsilon)^2} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{z^\epsilon} - \frac{z^\epsilon}{1-\tau} + \frac{c(\delta)}{\epsilon + z^\epsilon} \right) d\tau + dB \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h}{(\alpha + z^\epsilon)^2(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{c(\delta)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h'}{\alpha + z^\epsilon} + \frac{h}{(1-\tau)z^\epsilon} + \frac{h}{(\alpha + z^\epsilon)^3} - \frac{h}{z^\epsilon(\alpha + z^\epsilon)^2} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{c(\delta)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h'}{\alpha + z^\epsilon} + \frac{h}{(1-\tau)z^\epsilon} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h}{\alpha + x_\delta} \Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=1} = 0 &= \int_0^1 \left( \frac{h'}{\alpha + x_\delta} + \frac{h}{(\alpha + x_\delta)^3} \right) d\tau \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{h}{(\alpha + x_\delta)^2} \left( \frac{c(\delta) + 1}{x_\delta} - \frac{x_\delta}{(1-\tau)} \right) d\tau + dB \right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(c(\delta) + 1)h}{x_\delta(\alpha + x_\delta)^2} - \frac{h}{(\alpha + x_\delta)^3} \right) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h'}{\alpha + x_\delta} + \frac{h x_\delta}{(1-\tau)(\alpha + x_\delta)^2} \right].$$

Letting first  $\alpha \downarrow 0$  and then  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h}{(z^\epsilon)^2(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)} \right] &\leq \frac{1}{c(\delta)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h'}{x_\delta} + \frac{h}{(1-\tau)x_\delta} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{c(\delta)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h'}{x_\delta} + \frac{h}{(1-\tau)x_\delta} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$0 \leq \limsup_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle h, \zeta^\epsilon \rangle - \langle h, \frac{2}{(\epsilon + z^\epsilon)^3} \rangle \right] \leq 0,$$

and, by (29), (30) is proved.

**Step 7.** We turn to the last term in (27). Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \varphi(x) \gamma^\epsilon(x) \sigma_0(r, dx) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r^3(1-r)^3}} \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \int \varphi(w \oplus_r z) \gamma^{\epsilon, r}(w) \gamma^{\epsilon, 1-r}(z) \mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon, r}(w) \pi_3^r(dw) \otimes \pi_3^{1-r}(dz),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma^{\epsilon, r}, \mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon, r} \in L^1(\pi_3^r)$ ,  $r \in (0, 1)$ , are defined by:

$$\gamma^{\epsilon, r}(w) := \exp \left( c(\delta) \int_0^r \left( \frac{1-c(\delta)}{2(\epsilon+w)^2} - \frac{1}{w(\epsilon+w)} + \frac{1}{r-\tau} \frac{w}{\epsilon+w} \right) d\tau \right),$$



$$\mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,r}(w) := \exp \left( c(\delta) \int_0^r \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} - \frac{1}{r-\tau} \right) \frac{w}{\epsilon+w} d\tau \right) \leq \exp \left( \frac{c(\delta)}{1-r} \right).$$

Arguing as in steps 3-4, by (14)  $\gamma^{\epsilon,r} d\pi_3^r$  is the law of  $w^{\epsilon,r}$ , where:

$$\begin{cases} dw^{\epsilon,r} = \frac{1}{w^{\epsilon,r}} d\tau + \frac{\delta-3}{2(\epsilon+w^{\epsilon,r})} d\tau - \frac{w^{\epsilon,r}}{r-\tau} d\tau + dB, & \tau \in [0, r[ \\ w^{\epsilon,r}(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $w^{\epsilon,r} \uparrow x_\delta^r$  as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . Moreover, since a.s.  $w^{1,r}(\tau) > 0$  for all  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $\mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,r}(w^{\epsilon,r}) \rightarrow 0$  a.s. as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  and by Dominated Convergence Theorem we obtain, since  $h$  has compact support in  $(0, 1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^1 dr h(r) \int \varphi(x) \gamma^\epsilon(x) \sigma_0(r, dx) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^1 dr \frac{h(r)}{\sqrt{2\pi r^3(1-r)^3}} \mathbb{E} [\varphi(w^{\epsilon,r} \oplus_r w^{\epsilon,1-r}) \mathcal{Z}^{\epsilon,r}(w^{\epsilon,r})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of (16) is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 1** *For all  $\psi \in \text{Lip}(H)$  there exists a field  $\nabla\psi \in L^\infty(K_0, \pi_\delta; H)$  such that for all  $h \in D(A)$ :*

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\psi(\cdot + th) - \psi) =: \partial_h \psi = \langle \nabla\psi, h \rangle \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\pi_\delta).$$

*We call  $\nabla\psi$  the gradient of  $\psi$ . Then, (16) holds for all  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(H)$ . Moreover, for all  $\psi \in \text{Lip}(H)$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Exp}_A(H)$ , we have:*

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla\varphi \rangle d\pi_\delta = - \int_{K_0} \psi L_\delta\varphi d\pi_\delta$$

where  $L_\delta\varphi \in L^1(\pi_\delta)$  is defined as:

$$L_\delta\varphi(x) := \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [D^2\varphi(x)] + \langle x, A\nabla\varphi(x) \rangle + \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{2} \langle x^{-3}, \nabla\varphi(x) \rangle, \quad x \in K_0.$$

**Proof**—The family  $\{(\psi(\cdot + th) - \psi))/t\}_{t>0}$  is bounded in  $L^2(\pi_\delta)$ . For all  $\varphi \in \text{Exp}_A(H)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \int_{K_0} \frac{1}{t} (\psi(\cdot + th) - \psi) \varphi d\pi_\delta \\ &= - \int_{K_0} \psi \langle \nabla \varphi, h \rangle d\pi_\delta - \int_{K_0} \varphi \psi(x) (\langle x, h'' \rangle + \kappa(\delta) \langle x^{-3}, h \rangle) \pi_\delta(dx). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Indeed, (33) holds for all  $\psi \in C_b^1(H)$ ; moreover, the family of functionals

$$C_b^1(H) \ni \psi \mapsto \int_{K_0} \frac{1}{t} (\psi(\cdot + th) - \psi) \varphi d\pi_\delta, \quad t > 0,$$

is uniformly bounded in the sup-norm, by (16). By the density of  $C_b^1(H)$  in  $C_b(H)$  in the sup-norm, we obtain (33) for all  $\psi \in C_b(H)$ . Then, (33) allows to identify all limit points of  $(\psi(\cdot + th) - \psi))/t$  in the weak topology of  $L^2(\pi_\delta)$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ . The last formula follows from (16).  $\square$

**Corollary 2** *For all  $h \in D(A)$  and  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$  we have:*

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 3} \frac{\delta - 3}{2} \int \varphi(x) \langle x^{-3}, h \rangle \pi_\delta(dx) = \int_0^1 dr h(r) \int \varphi(x) \sigma_0(r, dx)$$

### 3 SPDE generated by the $\delta$ -Bessel Bridge, $\delta > 3$

This section is devoted to the proof of the following

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\delta > 3$ .*

- (i) *For all  $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$ , there exists a unique random continuous non-negative  $u_\delta : [0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \mapsto [0, \infty)$ , such that  $(u_\delta)^{-3} \in L_{loc}^1([0, \infty) \times (0, 1))$ , solving the SPDE:*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_\delta}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u_\delta}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{(\delta - 1)(\delta - 3)}{8 (u_\delta)^3} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} \\ u_\delta(0, \cdot) = x, \quad u_\delta(t, 0) = u_\delta(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

*Moreover,  $u_\delta$  is  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -adapted. We set  $X_\delta(t, x) := u_\delta(t, \cdot) \in H, t \geq 0$ .*

(ii) The process  $X_\delta$  is symmetric with respect to its unique invariant probability measure  $\pi_\delta$ , law of the  $\delta$ -dimensional Bessel Bridge on  $[0, 1]$ . Moreover,  $X_\delta$  is Strong Feller in  $H$ : indeed, for all bounded and Borel  $\varphi : H \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  we have for all  $x, y \in K_0$ ,  $t > 0$ ,

$$|\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_\delta(t, x))] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_\delta(t, y))]| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty (1 \wedge t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|x - y\|. \quad (35)$$

(iii)  $X_\delta$  is the diffusion associated with the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{D}^\delta, W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta))$ , closure in  $L^2(\pi_\delta)$  of the symmetric bilinear form:

$$\text{Lip}(H) \ni \varphi, \psi \mapsto D^\delta(\varphi, \psi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi \rangle d\pi_\delta.$$

(iv) Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{N} \cap [4, \infty)$ . We set:  $\Phi_\delta : H^\delta \mapsto K_0$ ,  $\Phi_\delta(y)(\tau) := |y(\tau)|_{\mathbb{R}^\delta}$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}^\delta$  is the image of  $\Lambda^\delta$  under the map  $\Phi_\delta$ , i.e.

$$W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta) = \{\varphi \in L^2(\pi_\delta) : \varphi \circ \Phi_\delta \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta})\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\delta(\varphi, \psi) = \Lambda^\delta(\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta, \psi \circ \Phi_\delta), \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta).$$

Recall that for all  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta \geq 3$ , we denote by  $(\Lambda^\delta, W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta}))$  the Dirichlet Form with state space  $H^\delta = L^2(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^\delta)$ :

$$W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta}) \ni F, G \mapsto \Lambda^\delta(F, G) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{H^\delta} \langle \overline{\nabla} F, \overline{\nabla} G \rangle_{H^\delta} d\mu^{\otimes \delta}$$

where  $\mu$  is the law on  $L^2(0, 1)$  of a Brownian Bridge on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $F, G : H^\delta \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  and  $\overline{\nabla} F : H^\delta \mapsto H^\delta$  is the gradient of  $F$  in  $H^\delta$ . Since  $\mu$  is equal to the Gaussian measure  $\mathcal{N}(0, (-2A)^{-1})$ , then  $(\Lambda^\delta, W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta}))$  generates the process  $Z_\delta$ , solution of the  $\mathbb{R}^\delta$ -valued linear SPDE (3): see [Za 01] and Chap. 8 of [DPZ 96].

We first recall the definition given by Nualart and Pardoux in [NP 92] of solution of the SPDE with reflection:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} - f(\xi, u(t, \xi)) + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} + \eta(t, \xi) \\ u(0, \cdot) = x, \quad u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \geq 0 \\ u \geq 0, \quad d\eta \geq 0 \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} u d\eta = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (36)$$

with  $x : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, +\infty)$  continuous,  $x(0) = x(1) = 0$  and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  measurable. We suppose that

(H1)  $f(\xi, \cdot)$  is continuously differentiable for all  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , and for some  $c > 0$

$$|f| \leq c, \quad |\partial_y f(\xi, y)| \leq c, \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1], y \in [0, \infty).$$

(H2) There exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for all  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ :

$$\left| \int_0^t f(\xi, u) du \right| \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Following [NP 92], we set:

**Definition 1** A pair  $(u, \eta)$  is said to be a solution of the SPDE with reflection (36), also called the Nualart-Pardoux equation, and initial value  $x \in K_0 \cap C_0(0, 1)$ , if:

- $\{u(t, \xi) : (t, \xi) \in \mathcal{O}\}$  is a continuous and adapted process, i.e.  $u(t, \xi)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for all  $(t, \xi) \in \mathcal{O}$ , and a.s.  $u(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $u(t, \cdot) \in K_0 \cap C_0(0, 1)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and  $u(0, \cdot) = x$ .
- $\eta$  is a random positive measure on  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $\eta([0, T] \times [\delta, 1 - \delta]) < +\infty$  for all  $T, \delta > 0$ , and  $\eta$  is adapted, i.e.  $\eta(B)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for every Borel set  $B \subset [0, t] \times [0, 1]$ .
- For all  $t \geq 0$  and  $h \in D(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(t, \cdot), h \rangle - \int_0^t \langle u(s, \cdot), Ah \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle f(\cdot, u(s, \cdot)), h \rangle ds &= \\ = \langle x, h \rangle - \int_0^1 h'(\xi) W(t, \xi) d\xi + \int_0^t \int_0^1 h(\xi) \eta(dt, d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

- $\int_{\mathcal{O}} u d\eta = 0$ .

In [NP 92], the following theorem is proved:

**Theorem 4** Assume that  $f$  satisfies (H1), (H2). Then for all  $x \in K_0 \cap C_0(0, 1)$ , there exists a unique solution  $(u, \eta)$  of the SPDE with reflection (36).

We set:

$$F : K_0 \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \quad F(x) := \int_0^1 d\xi \int_0^{x(\xi)} f(\xi, s) ds.$$

$$\pi_3^F(dx) := \frac{1}{\pi_3(e^{-2F})} \exp(-2F(x)) \pi_3(dx)$$

The following Theorem has been proved in [Za 00] and [Za 01].

**Theorem 5** *If  $u$  is the solution of the Nualart-Pardoux SPDE (36), then the process  $x \mapsto u$  is the diffusion associated with the symmetric Dirichlet Form  $(\mathcal{E}, W^{1,2}(\pi_3^F))$ , closure in  $L^2(\pi_3^F)$  of the symmetric bilinear form:*

$$\text{Exp}_A(H) \ni \varphi, \psi \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi \rangle d\pi_3^F.$$

*In particular,  $x \mapsto u$  is symmetric with respect to  $\pi_3^F$ , and  $\pi_3^F$  is the unique invariant probability measure of  $x \mapsto u$ . Finally,  $\text{Lip}(K_0) \subset W^{1,2}(\pi_3^F)$ .*

**Remark 1** Let  $u_\delta$  be the unique solution to (34), for all  $\delta > 3$ . For all  $(t, \xi) \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $(3, \infty) \ni \delta \mapsto u_\delta(t, \xi)$  is non-decreasing, and as  $\delta \downarrow 3$ :  $u_\delta \downarrow u$  uniformly on  $[0, T] \times [0, 1]$ ,  $T \geq 0$ , and

$$\frac{\delta - 3}{4(u_\delta)^3} dt d\xi \rightarrow \eta(dt, d\xi) \quad \text{distributionally on } \mathcal{O},$$

where  $(u, \eta)$  is the solution of the SPDE with reflection (36), with  $f \equiv 0$ .

In the proof of Theorem 3 we consider solutions to SPDEs of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} - g(u) + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = b, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = x(\cdot) + b \in L^2(0, 1), \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

where  $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is measurable,  $g(u) := g(\cdot, u(\cdot, \cdot))$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in H$ .

**Lemma 1** *Let  $g_\rho : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be measurable,  $\rho > 0$ , such that  $\mathbb{R} \ni y \mapsto g_\rho(\xi, y)$  is monotone non-decreasing, Lipschitz-continuous uniformly in  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , and satisfies:*

$$|g_\rho(\xi, y)| \leq c(1 + |y|), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho > 0,$$

for some  $c \geq 0$ . For all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $u_\rho^b$  be the unique solution of the SPDE (37) with  $g := g_\rho$ . Then, a.s. we have:

- If  $\rho \mapsto g_\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  is monotone non-decreasing, then  $\rho \mapsto u_\rho^b(\cdot, \cdot)$  is monotone non-increasing for all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- If  $\rho \mapsto g_\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  is monotone non-increasing, then  $\rho \mapsto u_\rho^b(\cdot, \cdot)$  is monotone non-decreasing for all  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $b \mapsto u_\rho^b(\cdot, \cdot)$  is monotone non-decreasing for all  $\rho > 0$ .

**Proof**—We prove the first assertion: the others follow analogously. Let  $\rho_1 \geq \rho_2 > 0$  and set  $\phi := (u_{\rho_1}^b - u_{\rho_2}^b)^+$ . Then by Lemma 6.1, p. 147 in [BL 82]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\phi\|^2 &= 2 \langle \phi, A(u_{\rho_1}^b - u_{\rho_2}^b) \rangle - 2 \langle \phi, g_{\rho_1}(u_{\rho_1}^b) - g_{\rho_1}(u_{\rho_2}^b) \rangle \\ &= - \left\| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \right\|^2 - 2 \langle \phi, g_{\rho_1}(u_{\rho_1}^b) - g_{\rho_1}(u_{\rho_2}^b) \rangle - 2 \langle \phi, g_{\rho_1}(u_{\rho_2}^b) - g_{\rho_2}(u_{\rho_2}^b) \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\phi(0, \cdot)\| = 0$ , by Gronwall's Lemma  $\phi \equiv 0$ , so that  $u_{\rho_2}^b \geq u_{\rho_1}^b$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3**—We divide the proof into several steps. In steps 1-5 we prove (i) and (ii). The idea is to approximate  $u_\delta$  from below, by means of solutions  $v^\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , of Nualart-Pardoux-type equations. We choose  $v^\epsilon$  so that its invariant measure is the law of  $z^\epsilon$ , see (21), which converges to  $\pi_\delta$ . In step 6 we prove (iii) and in step 7 we prove (iv). We shall refer to several definitions and technical points of the proof of Theorem 1.

**Step 1.** Uniqueness of solutions of (34) follows from the dissipativity of the coefficients: indeed, let  $u^1$  and  $u^2$  are two non-negative continuous solutions of (34), and set for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $h_\epsilon(\xi) := [\xi(1-\xi)/\epsilon] \wedge 1$ ,  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , and  $\phi := u^1 - u^2$ . Notice that, by (34),  $h_\epsilon \cdot u_i^{-3} \in L^1([0, T] \times [0, 1])$ , for all  $T \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and by Theorem 6.4, p. 131 in [BL 82],  $\phi(t, \cdot) \in C^1([0, 1])$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\partial\phi/\partial\xi$  is in  $L_{loc}^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|h_\epsilon \cdot \phi\|^2 &= - \int_0^1 h_\epsilon \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \right)^2 d\xi - \langle h'_\epsilon \phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \rangle \\ &\quad + \kappa(\delta) \langle h_\epsilon \cdot (u^1 - u^2), \frac{1}{(u^1)^3} - \frac{1}{(u^2)^3} \rangle \leq - \langle h'_\epsilon \cdot \phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ ,  $\langle h'_\varepsilon \cdot \phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \rangle \rightarrow 0$  since  $\phi(t, 0) = \phi(t, 1) = 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , so that  $\phi \equiv 0$ .

**Step 2.** We define for  $0 < \rho \leq \varepsilon$  and  $c > 0$ :  $f_\rho^\varepsilon : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f_\rho^\varepsilon(\xi, a) := -\frac{c(\delta)}{2} \left[ \frac{c(\delta) - 1}{(\varepsilon + a)^3} + \frac{1}{(\rho + a)^2(\varepsilon + a)} + \frac{1}{(\rho + a)(\varepsilon + a)^2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 + \rho - \xi)(\varepsilon + a)^2} \right],$$

$$g_{\rho,c}^\varepsilon : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}, \quad g_{\rho,c}^\varepsilon(\xi, a) := f_\rho^\varepsilon(\xi, a^+) - \frac{a^-}{c},$$

where  $a^+ = \sup\{a, 0\}$  and  $a^- = a^+ - a$ . The definition of  $f_\rho^\varepsilon$  is suggested by (23) above. Notice that  $f_\rho^\varepsilon$  and  $[0, \infty) \ni a \mapsto -\kappa(\delta)/2(\varepsilon + a)^3$  satisfy (H1) and (H2) above. Let  $x \in K_0 \cap C_0$ . We define for all  $0 < \rho \leq \varepsilon$  and  $c > 0$ :

- $v_{\rho,c}^\varepsilon$  as the solution of the SPDE (37) with  $b = 0$  and  $g = g_{\rho,c}^\varepsilon$
- $u_c^\varepsilon$  as the solution of the SPDE (37) with  $b = 0$  and

$$g(\xi, a) = -\frac{\kappa(\delta)}{2(\varepsilon + a^+)^3} - \frac{a^-}{c} \quad (\xi, a) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$$

- $(v_\rho^\varepsilon, \eta_\rho^\varepsilon)$  as the solution of the SPDE with reflection (36) with  $f = f_\rho^\varepsilon$
- $(u^\varepsilon, \theta^\varepsilon)$  as the solution of the SPDE with reflection (36) with

$$f(\xi, a) = -\frac{\kappa(\delta)}{2(\varepsilon + a)^3} \quad (\xi, a) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \infty).$$

Notice that:

- (a1)  $a \mapsto g_{\rho,c}^\varepsilon(\xi, a)$  is non-decreasing
- (a2)  $\rho \mapsto g_{\rho,c}^\varepsilon(\xi, a)$  is non-decreasing
- (a3)  $(0, a) \ni \varepsilon \mapsto g_{\rho,c}^\varepsilon(\xi, a - \varepsilon)$  is non-increasing
- (a4)  $-f_\rho^\varepsilon(\xi, a) \geq \kappa(\delta)[2(\varepsilon + a)^3]^{-1}$ ,  $a \geq 0$ .

By the proof of Theorem 4 given in [NP 92], we obtain in particular that  $v_{\rho,c}^\varepsilon \uparrow v_\rho^\varepsilon$  and  $u_c^\varepsilon \uparrow u^\varepsilon$  uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathcal{O}$ , as  $c \downarrow 0$ . By Lemma 1 and (a1)-a(4) we obtain:

- (b1)  $\rho \mapsto v_\rho^\epsilon$  is non-increasing
- (b2)  $\epsilon \mapsto \epsilon + v_\rho^\epsilon$  is non-decreasing
- (b3)  $\epsilon \mapsto u^\epsilon$  is non-increasing,  $\epsilon \mapsto \epsilon + u^\epsilon$  is non-decreasing.
- (b4)  $v_\rho^\epsilon \geq u^\epsilon$ .

We shall prove that  $x \mapsto v_\rho^\epsilon$  converges to a process  $x \mapsto v$ , which is symmetric with respect to  $\pi_\delta$ . Then, we shall prove that  $u^\epsilon$  converges to a continuous process  $x \mapsto u_\delta$  satisfying (34), and that  $v(t, \cdot) \equiv u_\delta(t, \cdot)$  in  $L^2(0, 1)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . The proof will be based only on monotonicity arguments, on the integration by parts formula w.r.t.  $\pi_\delta$  (16) and on the explicit knowledge of the invariant measure of  $x \mapsto v_\rho^\epsilon$ , given by Theorem 5.

**Step 3.** We set for all  $\epsilon, \rho, c > 0$ :  $X_{\rho,c}^\epsilon(t, x) := v_{\rho,c}^\epsilon(t, \cdot)$ ,  $X_\rho^\epsilon(t, x) := v_\rho^\epsilon(t, \cdot)$ . We have for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x, x' \in C_0 \cap K_0$ :

$$\|X_{\rho,c}^\epsilon(t, x) - X_{\rho,c}^\epsilon(t, x')\|^2 \leq e^{-\pi^2 t} \|x - x'\|^2.$$

By Theorem 5, the process  $X_\rho^\epsilon$  is symmetric with respect to  $\gamma_\rho^\epsilon d\pi_3$ , defined in (23). By (b1) we obtain that, almost surely, there exists the limit  $v^\epsilon := \lim_{\rho \downarrow 0} v_\rho^\epsilon = \sup_{\rho > 0} v_\rho^\epsilon$ . We claim that for every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $v^\epsilon(t, \cdot) \in L^2(0, 1)$  a.s. Indeed, we have:

$$\|X_\rho^\epsilon(t, 0)\| \leq \|X_\rho^\epsilon(t, x)\| \leq \|X_\rho^\epsilon(t, 0)\| + \|x\|, \quad (38)$$

The first inequality follows from a comparison argument, and the second one from the Lipschitz continuity of  $X_\rho^\epsilon(t, \cdot)$ . Therefore, we can reduce to the case  $x \equiv 0$ . Integrating the first inequality in (38) with respect to  $(\gamma_\rho^\epsilon d\pi_3) \otimes \mathbb{P}$ , since  $\gamma_\rho^\epsilon d\pi_3$  is the invariant measure of  $X_\rho^\epsilon$ , we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|X_\rho^\epsilon(t, 0)\|] \leq \int \mathbb{E}[\|X_\rho^\epsilon(t, x)\|] \gamma_\rho^\epsilon(x) \pi_3(dx) = \int \|x\| \gamma_\rho^\epsilon(x) \pi_3(dx)$$

By Beppo-Levi's Theorem and (25)-(26), we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \lim_{\rho \downarrow 0} X_\rho^\epsilon(t, 0) \right\| \right] \leq \int \|x\| \gamma^\epsilon(x) \pi_3(dx) = \mathbb{E}[\|z^\epsilon\|] < \infty,$$

and the claim is proved. We set  $X^\epsilon(t, x) := \lim_{\rho \downarrow 0} X_\rho^\epsilon(t, x)$ . By Dominated Convergence, we obtain for all  $\psi, \varphi \in C_b(H)$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(z^\epsilon) \varphi(X^\epsilon(t, z^\epsilon))] = \mathbb{E}[\psi(X^\epsilon(t, z^\epsilon)) \varphi(z^\epsilon)]$$



i.e.  $X^\epsilon$  is symmetric w.r.t. to the law  $\gamma^\epsilon d\pi_3$  of  $z^\epsilon$ . Moreover,  $X^\epsilon(t, \cdot)$  is 1-Lipschitz. Analogously, by (b2)  $\epsilon \mapsto \epsilon + v^\epsilon$  is non-decreasing. Therefore, there exist the limits  $v := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} v^\epsilon$ ,  $H \ni X_\delta(t, x) := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} X^\epsilon(t, x)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Be the equicontinuity of  $\{X^\epsilon(t, \cdot)\}_{\epsilon > 0}$ , we have for  $\psi, \varphi \in C_b(H)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\psi(x_\delta)\varphi(X_\delta(t, x_\delta))] &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\psi(z^\epsilon)\varphi(X^\epsilon(t, z^\epsilon))] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\psi(X^\epsilon(t, z^\epsilon))\varphi(z^\epsilon)] = \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_\delta(t, x_\delta))\varphi(x_\delta)], \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $X_\delta$  is symmetric w.r.t.  $\pi_\delta$ . Moreover for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x, x' \in C_0 \cap K_0$ :

$$\|X_\delta(t, x) - X_\delta(t, x')\|^2 \leq e^{-\pi^2 t} \|x - x'\|^2. \quad (39)$$

Let now  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  be invariant probability measures for  $X_\delta$ . Then, if  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are random variable with law, respectively,  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , and independent of  $W$ , we have for all  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ :

$$|m_1(\varphi) - m_2(\varphi)| = |\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_\delta(t, q_1)) - \varphi(X_\delta(t, q_2))]| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,  $X_\delta$  is symmetric w.r.t. its unique invariant probability measure  $\pi_\delta$ .

**Step 4.** Fix  $t \geq 0$ . By Dominated Convergence, we obtain for  $h \geq 0$ :

$$\langle X^\epsilon(t, x), h \rangle - \langle x, h \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle h'', X^\epsilon(s, x) \rangle ds - \langle h, W(t) \rangle \geq \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{h \kappa(\delta)}{2(\epsilon + X^\epsilon)^3}$$

Since  $\epsilon \mapsto \epsilon + v^\epsilon$  is non-decreasing we can let  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , and obtain by Beppo-Levi's Theorem:

$$\langle X_\delta(t, x), h \rangle - \langle x, h \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle h'', X_\delta(s, x) \rangle ds - \langle h, W(t) \rangle \geq \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{h}{(X_\delta)^3}$$

Since  $\pi_\delta$  is invariant for  $X_\delta$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[ \langle X_\delta(t, x_\delta), h \rangle - \langle x_\delta, h \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle h'', X_\delta(s, x_\delta) \rangle ds - \langle h, W(t) \rangle \right] \\ &= -\frac{t}{2} \mathbb{E}[\langle h'', x_\delta \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\kappa(\delta)}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{h(\xi)}{[X_\delta(s, x_\delta)(\xi)]^3} d\xi ds \right] = t \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{h(\xi)}{[x_\delta(\xi)]^3} d\xi \right]$$

By (16) with  $\varphi \equiv 1$ , we obtain that there exists a measurable set  $G_t \subseteq H \times \Omega$ , with  $[\pi_\delta \otimes \mathbb{P}](G_t) = 1$ , such that for all  $(x, \omega) \in G_t$ :

$$\langle X_\delta(t, x), h \rangle - \langle x, h \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle h'', X_\delta(s, x) \rangle ds - \langle h, W(t) \rangle = \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{h}{(X_\delta)^3}. \quad (40)$$

**Step 5.** By (b4)  $v^\epsilon \geq u^\epsilon$ , and by (b3) there exists the limit in the uniform norm of  $u^\epsilon$  as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . Setting  $u_\delta := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} u^\epsilon$ , we have that  $u_\delta$  is a.s. continuous, and  $v \geq u_\delta$ . Setting  $Y(t, x) := u_\delta(t, \cdot)$ , we have that  $Y(t, \cdot)$  is 1-Lipschitz. Moreover, by Beppo-Levi's Theorem, for  $h \geq 0$ :

$$\langle u_\delta(t, \cdot), h \rangle \geq \langle x, h \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( \langle u_\delta(s, \cdot), h'' \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{(u_\delta(s, \cdot))^3}, h \right\rangle \right) ds + \langle W(t), h \rangle.$$

If now  $h(\xi) := \xi(1-\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , then  $h \in D(A)$ ,  $h > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$  and  $h'' \leq 0$ . By (40) for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $(x, \omega) \in G_t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v(t, \cdot), h \rangle &\geq \langle u_\delta(t, \cdot), h \rangle \\ &\geq \langle x, h \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( \langle u_\delta(s, \cdot), h'' \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{(u_\delta(s, \cdot))^3}, h \right\rangle \right) ds + \langle W(t), h \rangle \\ &\geq \langle x, h \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( \langle v(s, \cdot), h'' \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\kappa(\delta)}{(v(s, \cdot))^3}, h \right\rangle \right) ds + \langle W(t), h \rangle \\ &= \langle v(t, \cdot), h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so that for all  $(x, \omega) \in G_t$ ,  $u_\delta(t, \cdot) = v(t, \cdot)$  in  $H$ . By the Fubini-Tonelli Theorem, for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e.  $\omega$ , we have  $\pi_\delta(G_t \cap (K_0 \times \{\omega\})) = 1$ . By the continuity of  $X_\delta(t, \cdot)$  and  $Y(t, \cdot)$ , we obtain that  $u_\delta(t, \cdot) = v(t, \cdot)$  in  $H$  for all  $x \in K_0$ , a.s. Moreover, for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $h \in D(A)$ :

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_0^1 h d\theta^\epsilon = 0.$$

Therefore,  $u_\delta$  is a.s. continuous, solves (34) and is symmetric with respect to its unique invariant measure  $\pi_\delta$ . Finally, we notice that  $v_{\rho, c}^\epsilon$  satisfies a white-noise driven SPDE with dissipative non-linearity of Nemytskii type. By

Proposition 8.3.3 of [Ce 01], we have for all bounded and Borel  $\varphi : H \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x, y \in H$ ,  $t > 0$ :

$$|\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_{\rho,c}^\epsilon(t, x))] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_{\rho,c}^\epsilon(t, y))]| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty (1 \wedge t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|x - y\|,$$

and (35) follows letting  $c, \rho, \epsilon \downarrow 0$ .

**Step 6.** We prove (iii). Let  $\delta > 3$ . We set for all  $\psi \in \text{Lip}(H)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ :

$$R_\rho^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi(x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_\rho^\epsilon(t, x))] dt, \quad x \in K_0,$$

$$R^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi(x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[\psi(X^\epsilon(t, x))] dt = \lim_{\rho \downarrow 0} R_\rho^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi(x), \quad x \in K_0,$$

$$R_\delta(\lambda)\psi(x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_\delta(t, x))] dt = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} R^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi(x), \quad x \in K_0.$$

By (39),  $\{R_\rho^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi, R^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi, R_\delta(\lambda)\psi\} \subset \text{Lip}(K_0)$ , and by Theorem 5,  $R_\rho^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi \in W^{1,2}(\gamma_\rho^\epsilon d\pi_3)$ . By Theorem 5 and by (17) we have for all  $\varphi \in \text{Exp}_A(H)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_0} (\psi - \lambda R_\rho^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi) \varphi \gamma_\rho^\epsilon d\pi_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla R_\rho^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi, \nabla \varphi \rangle \gamma_\rho^\epsilon d\pi_3 \\ &= - \int_{K_0} R_\rho^\epsilon(\lambda)\psi \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [D^2 \varphi] + \langle x, A \nabla \varphi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla \log \gamma_\rho^\epsilon, \nabla \varphi \rangle \right) \gamma_\rho^\epsilon d\pi_3. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\rho \downarrow 0$  and  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  we obtain by Corollary 1:

$$\int_{K_0} (\psi - \lambda R_\delta(\lambda)\psi) \varphi d\pi_\delta = - \int_{K_0} R_\delta(\lambda)\psi L_\delta \varphi d\pi_\delta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla R_\delta(\lambda)\psi, \nabla \varphi \rangle d\pi_\delta.$$

By a standard approximation procedure, for all  $\psi \in \text{Lip}(H)$  there exists a net  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I} \subset \text{Exp}_A(H)$  such that

$$\sup_i \|\varphi_i\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq \|\psi\|_{\text{Lip}}, \quad \lim_{i \in I} \varphi_i(x) = \psi(x), \quad \forall x \in H.$$

By Corollary 1,  $\psi$  admits a generalized gradient  $\nabla \psi \in L^\infty(K_0, \pi_\delta; H)$ . We claim that  $(\nabla \varphi_i)_i$  converges to  $\nabla \psi$  weakly in  $L^2(K_0, \pi_\delta; H)$ . Indeed, let  $\mathcal{K}$

be a weak limit of  $(\nabla\varphi_i)_i$ . By Corollary 1, we have for all  $\varphi \in \text{Exp}_A(H)$  and  $h \in D(A)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_0} \langle \mathcal{K}, h \rangle \varphi d\pi_\delta &= - \int_{K_0} \psi \langle \nabla\varphi, h \rangle d\pi_\delta \\ &- \int_{K_0} \psi \varphi(x) (\langle x, h'' \rangle + \kappa(\delta) \langle x^{-3}, h \rangle) \pi_\delta(dx) = \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla\psi, h \rangle \varphi d\pi_\delta \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the claim. We obtain for all  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \text{Lip}(H)$ :

$$\int_{K_0} \lambda R_\delta(\lambda) \psi_1 \psi_2 d\pi_\delta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle \nabla R_\delta(\lambda) \psi_1, \nabla \psi_2 \rangle d\pi_\delta = \int_{K_0} \psi_1 \psi_2 d\pi_\delta. \quad (41)$$

Therefore,  $(D^\delta, \text{Lip}(H))$  is closable in  $L^2(\pi_\delta)$ , and the unique continuous extension of  $(R_\delta(\lambda))_{\lambda>0}$  to  $L^2(\pi_\delta)$  is the strongly continuous resolvent associated with the closure  $(\mathcal{D}^\delta, W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta))$ .

**Step 7.**—We prove (iv). Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{N} \cap [4, \infty)$ . Since the image measure of  $\mu^{\otimes\delta}$  under  $\Phi_\delta$  is  $\pi_\delta$ , there exists a measurable set  $\Omega_0 \subseteq H^\delta$  with  $\mu^{\otimes\delta}(\Omega_0) = 1$ , such that for all  $y \in \Omega_0$ ,  $|y| > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ , so that for all  $h \in C_0(0, 1)$  the following map is well-defined:

$$\Omega_0 \ni y \mapsto h \frac{y}{|y|} \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^\delta).$$

By Theorem 8.3.2 of [DPZ 96], for all  $G \in \text{Lip}(H^\delta)$  there exists a sequence  $\{G_n\} \subset C_b^1(H^\delta)$ , such that

$$\|G_n\|_{\text{Lip}(H^\delta)} \leq \|G\|_{\text{Lip}(H^\delta)}, \quad G_n \rightarrow G \quad \text{in } W^{1,2}(H^\delta, \mu^{\otimes\delta}).$$

Then, by a density argument, for all  $G \in \text{Lip}(H^\delta)$ :

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[ G \left( y + t h \frac{y}{|y|} \right) - G(y) \right] = \langle \bar{\nabla} G(y), h \frac{y}{|y|} \rangle_{H^\delta} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mu^{\otimes\delta}).$$

Then, for  $h \in C_0(0, 1)$  and  $G := \varphi \circ \Phi_\delta$  with  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(H)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla\varphi(|y|), h \rangle &:= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\varphi(|y| + t h) - \varphi(|y|)) \\ &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[ [\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta] \left( y + t h \frac{y}{|y|} \right) - [\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta](y) \right] \\ &= \langle \bar{\nabla} [\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta](y), h \frac{y}{|y|} \rangle_{H^\delta}, \quad \text{in } L^2(\mu^{\otimes\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

For all  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Lip}(H)$ , it follows that:

$$\mathcal{D}^\delta(\varphi, \psi) = \Lambda^\delta(\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta, \psi \circ \Phi_\delta), \quad (42)$$

and by the density of  $\text{Lip}(H)$  in  $W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta)$ , (42) holds for all  $\varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta)$ . It remains to prove that if  $\varphi \in L^2(\pi_\delta)$  satisfies  $\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes\delta})$ , then  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta)$ . By (42),  $\mathcal{Y}_\delta := \{\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta : \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta)\}$  is a closed subspace of  $W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes\delta})$ . Therefore, setting  $\Lambda_1^\delta := (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes\delta})} + \Lambda^\delta$ , for all  $G \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes\delta})$  there exists a unique  $\Gamma_\delta G \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta)$ , such that for all  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta)$ :

$$\Lambda_1^\delta(G, \varphi \circ \Phi_\delta) = \Lambda_1^\delta([\Gamma_\delta G] \circ \Phi_\delta, \varphi \circ \Phi_\delta) = \mathcal{D}_1^\delta(\Gamma_\delta G, \varphi),$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_1^\delta := (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\pi_\delta)} + \mathcal{D}^\delta$ . Moreover,  $\Gamma_\delta$  is Markovian, i.e.  $G \geq 0$  implies  $\Gamma_\delta G \geq 0$  and  $\Gamma_\delta 1 = 1$ . Therefore:

$$\|\Gamma_\delta G\|_{L^\infty(\pi_\delta)} \leq \|G\|_{L^\infty(\mu^{\otimes\delta})}, \quad \forall G \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes\delta}) \cap L^\infty(\mu^{\otimes\delta}).$$

By (i)-(ii)-(iii),  $\mathcal{D}^\delta$  is a quasi-regular symmetric Dirichlet form: see [MR 92]. Then, for all  $h \in D(A)$ ,  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta) \cap L^\infty(\pi_\delta)$ :

$$\int_{K_0} \langle \nabla \varphi, h \rangle d\pi_\delta = - \int_{K_0} \varphi^*(x) (\langle x, h'' \rangle + \kappa(\delta) \langle x^{-3}, h \rangle) \pi_\delta(dx),$$

where  $\varphi^*$  is a  $\mathcal{D}^\delta$ -quasi-continuous  $\pi_\delta$ -version of  $\varphi$ . For all  $\psi \in \text{Lip}(H)$  we have by (41):

$$\Lambda_1^\delta(G, [R_\delta(1)\psi] \circ \Phi_\delta) = \mathcal{D}_1^\delta(\Gamma_\delta G, [R_\delta(1)\psi]) = \int_{K_0} (\Gamma_\delta G)^* \psi d\pi_\delta$$

for all  $G \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes\delta}) \cap L^\infty(\mu^{\otimes\delta})$ . Then there exists  $C_\psi \geq 0$  such that:

$$|\Lambda_1^\delta(G, [R_\delta(1)\psi] \circ \Phi_\delta)| \leq C_\psi \|G\|_\infty \quad \forall G \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes\delta}) \cap L^\infty(\mu^{\otimes\delta}),$$

and by Theorem 4.2 in [Fu 99], there exists a finite signed measure  $\Sigma_\psi$  on  $H^\delta$ , charging no  $\Lambda^\delta$ -exceptional set, such that for all  $G \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes\delta}) \cap L^\infty(\mu^{\otimes\delta})$ :

$$\Lambda^\delta(G, [R_\delta(1)\psi] \circ \Phi_\delta) = - \int_{H^\delta} G^* d\Sigma_\psi,$$

where  $G^*$  is a  $\Lambda^\delta$ -quasi-continuous  $\mu^{\otimes\delta}$ -version of  $G$ , and for all  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ :

$$\int_{H^\delta} \varphi \circ \Phi_\delta d\Sigma_\psi = \int_{H^\delta} \varphi \circ \Phi_\delta \cdot \psi \circ \Phi_\delta d\mu^{\otimes\delta}.$$

Now, to complete the proof, it is enough to prove that  $\{[R_\delta(1)\psi] \circ \Phi_\delta : \psi \in \text{Lip}(H)\}$  is dense in  $\{\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta : \varphi \in L^2(\pi_\delta)\} \cap W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta})$  w.r.t.  $\Lambda_1^\delta$ . Suppose that  $\varphi \in L^2(\pi_\delta)$ ,  $\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta \in W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta})$ , and:

$$\Lambda_1^\delta(\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta, [R_\delta(1)\psi] \circ \Phi_\delta) = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in \text{Lip}(H),$$

We set  $G_m := ([\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta]^* \wedge m) \vee (-m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$G_{n,m}(y) := G_m \circ \Phi_\delta(Z_\delta(1/n, y)), \quad y \in H^\delta.$$

where  $Z_\delta$  is the solution of (3). Then  $(G_{n,m}) \subset C_b^1(H^\delta)$ ,  $|G_{n,m}| \leq m$ ,  $G_{n,m} \rightarrow \varphi_m \circ \Phi_\delta$   $\Lambda^\delta$ -quasi everywhere as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and in  $W^{1,2}(\mu^{\otimes \delta})$ . Moreover:

$$\Lambda_1^\delta(G_{n,m}, [R_\delta(1)\psi] \circ \Phi_\delta) = - \int G_{n,m} d\Sigma_\psi,$$

and passing to the limit in  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain for all  $\psi \in \text{Lip}(H)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Lambda_1^\delta(\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta, [R_\delta(1)\psi] \circ \Phi_\delta) = - \int [\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta]^* d\Sigma_\psi \\ &= - \int_{K_0} [\varphi \circ \Phi_\delta]^* \cdot \psi \circ \Phi_\delta d\mu^{\otimes \delta}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\varphi \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3** *For all  $\delta > 3$ ,  $(t, \xi) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 1)$  and  $x \in C_0 \cap K_0$ , the law of  $u_\delta(t, \xi)$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $y^{\delta-1} dy$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .*

**Proof**—This follows from (ii) in Theorem 3.  $\square$

**Corollary 4** *For all  $\delta > 3$ , the Log-Sobolev and the Poincaré inequalities hold for equation (1), i.e. for all  $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\pi_\delta)$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_0} |\varphi - \pi_\delta(\varphi)|^2 d\pi_\delta &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{K_0} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 d\pi_\delta, \\ \int_{K_0} \varphi^2 \log(\varphi^2) d\pi_\delta &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{K_0} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 d\pi_\delta + \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\pi_\delta)}^2 \log(\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\pi_\delta)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For the proof, see e.g. [St 93], [DPDG 00] and [DP 01].

## 4 SPDEs with positive unbounded drift

In this section we apply the results of the previous sections, to prove the following

### Theorem 6

- Let  $\alpha \geq 3$ ,  $C > 0$ . Then for all  $x \in C_0 \cap K_0$ , there exists a unique non-negative continuous adapted  $\hat{u}_\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ , such that  $(\hat{u}_\alpha)^{-\alpha} \in L^1_{loc}([0, \infty) \times (0, 1))$ , solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\alpha}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\alpha}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{C}{(\hat{u}_\alpha)^\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} \\ \hat{u}_\alpha(t, 0) = \hat{u}_\alpha(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \geq 0 \\ \hat{u}_\alpha(0, \cdot) = x, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

- Let  $0 < \alpha < 3$ ,  $C \geq 0$ . Then for all  $x \in C_0 \cap K_0$ , there exists a unique  $(\hat{u}_\alpha, \hat{\eta}_\alpha)$ , such that  $(\hat{u}_\alpha)^{-\alpha} \in L^1_{loc}([0, \infty) \times (0, 1))$ , solution of the following SPDE of the Nualart-Pardoux type:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\alpha}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\alpha}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{C}{(\hat{u}_\alpha)^\alpha} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial \xi} + \hat{\eta}_\alpha \\ \hat{u}_\alpha(0, \cdot) = x, \quad \hat{u}_\alpha(t, 0) = \hat{u}_\alpha(t, 1) = 0, \quad t \geq 0 \\ \hat{u}_\alpha \geq 0, \quad d\hat{\eta}_\alpha \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} \hat{u}_\alpha d\hat{\eta}_\alpha = 0 \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

Moreover  $(\hat{\eta}_\alpha)_{x \in C_0 \cap K_0}$  is not identically equal to 0.

**Proof**—Let  $\hat{f} : (0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , smooth and monotone non-decreasing, possibly unbounded in a neighbourhood of 0. We claim that there exists a unique pair  $(\hat{u}, \hat{\eta})$ , solution of the Nualart-Pardoux equation (36) with  $f = \hat{f}$ , such that  $h \cdot \hat{f}(\hat{u}) \in L^1([0, T] \times [0, 1])$  for all  $h \in D(A)$  and  $T \geq 0$ . Indeed, if we set, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $(\hat{u}^\epsilon, \hat{\eta}^\epsilon)$  as the solution of the Nualart-Pardoux (36) with  $f = \hat{f}(\cdot + \epsilon)$ , then, arguing as in step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3, we have that  $\epsilon \mapsto \hat{u}^\epsilon$  is monotone non-increasing and  $\epsilon \mapsto \epsilon + \hat{u}^\epsilon$  is monotone non-decreasing. Moreover,  $\epsilon \mapsto \hat{\eta}^\epsilon$  is monotone non-decreasing. Therefore,  $\hat{u}^\epsilon$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{O}$  to a continuous function  $\hat{u}$  and

$\hat{\eta}^\epsilon$  converges distributionally to a measure  $\hat{\eta}$ , and by Beppo-Levi's Theorem,  $(\hat{u}, \hat{\eta})$  is the wanted solution. Uniqueness follows from the proof of Theorem 4, given in [NP 92].

Therefore, for all  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $C \geq 0$ , there exists a unique pair  $(\hat{u}_\alpha, \hat{\eta}_\alpha)$ , solution of the SPDE with reflection (36) with  $f(\xi, a) = -C a^{-\alpha}$ ,  $a > 0$ . If  $\alpha = 3$  and  $C > 0$ , then we proved in Theorem 3 that  $\hat{\eta}_3 \equiv 0$ .

Let  $\alpha > 3$ ,  $C > 0$  and  $x \in C_0 \cap K_0$ . Notice that we can write:

$$\frac{1}{a^3} = \frac{1}{a^3} \vee 1 + \frac{1}{a^3} \wedge 1 - 1, \quad a > 0.$$

Consider for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , the solution  $(\hat{v}^\epsilon, \hat{\theta}^\epsilon)$  of the SPDE with reflection (36) with

$$f(\xi, a) = -C \left( \frac{1}{(\epsilon + a^+)^3} \vee 1 - 1 \right) + \frac{a^-}{c}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By Lemma 1,  $\hat{u}^\epsilon \geq \hat{v}^\epsilon$  and  $\hat{\eta}^\epsilon \leq \hat{\theta}^\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Arguing as in steps 2-5 of the proof of Theorem 3, we can prove that, letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ ,  $\hat{v}^\epsilon$  converges, uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathcal{O}$ , to a continuous  $\hat{v}$ , such that for all  $h \in D(A)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{v}(t, \cdot), h \rangle &= \langle x, h \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle h'', \hat{v}(s, \cdot) \rangle ds + \langle h, W(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 h \left( \frac{1}{(\hat{v})^3} \vee 1 - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_0^1 h d\hat{\theta}^\epsilon = 0, \quad \text{so that :} \quad \int_0^t \int_0^1 h d\hat{\eta} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_0^1 h d\hat{\eta}^\epsilon = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\eta}_\alpha = 0$  and  $\hat{u}_\alpha$  satisfies (6).

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 3)$ . By Theorem 10 in [Za 00], we have for all  $h \in D(A)$  and  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{K_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^1 h(\xi) \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \hat{\eta}_\alpha(dt, d\xi) \right] \varphi \exp(-2F_\alpha) d\pi_3 \\ &= \int_0^1 dr h(r) \int_{K_0} \varphi e^{-2F_\alpha} d\sigma_0(r, \cdot), \end{aligned}$$



$$\text{where : } F_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{C}{\alpha - 1} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{[x(\xi)]^{\alpha-1}} d\xi, & 1 < \alpha < 3 \\ C \int_0^1 \log \left[ \frac{1}{x(\xi)} \right] d\xi, & \alpha = 1 \\ -\frac{C}{1 - \alpha} \int_0^1 [x(\xi)]^{1-\alpha} d\xi, & 0 < \alpha < 1 \end{cases}$$

For all  $\alpha \in (0, 3)$ ,  $e^{-2F_\alpha}$  is in  $L^1(\pi_3)$  and not identically equal to 0. Therefore  $(\hat{\eta}_\alpha)_{x \in C_0 \cap K_0}$  is not identically 0.  $\square$

## References

- [BL 82] A. Bensoussan, J.L. Lions (1982), *APPLICATIONS OF VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES IN STOCHASTIC CONTROL*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [Ce 01] S. Cerrai (2001), *SECOND ORDER PDE'S IN FINITE AND INFINITE DIMENSION. A PROBABILISTIC APPROACH*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1762, Springer-Verlag.
- [DP 01] G. Da Prato (2001), *Some Properties of Monotone Gradient Systems*, to appear in *Dynam. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Systems*.
- [DPDG 00] G. Da Prato, A. Debussche, B. Goldys (2000), *Invariant measures of non symmetric stochastic systems*, Preprint SNS.
- [DPZ 96] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk (1996), *ERGODICITY FOR INFINITE DIMENSIONAL SYSTEMS*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, n.229, Cambridge University Press.
- [Fu 99] M. Fukushima (1999), *On semi-martingale characterizations of functionals of Symmetric Markov Processes*, *Electr. Journ. of Prob.*, Vol.4, pp. 1-32.
- [MR 92] Z. M. Ma, M. Röckner (1992), *INTRODUCTION TO THE THEORY OF (NON SYMMETRIC) DIRICHLET FORMS*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York.

- [Mu 98] C. Mueller (1998), *Long-time existence for signed solutions of the heat equation with a noise term*, Prob. Theory and Rel. Fields, Vol. 110, pp. 51-68.
- [MP 99] C. Mueller, E. Pardoux (1999), *The Critical Exponent for a Stochastic PDE to Hit Zero*, Stochastic analysis, control, optimization and applications, pp. 325-338, Systems Control Found. Appl., Birkhäuser Boston.
- [NP 92] D. Nualart, E. Pardoux (1992), *White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs with reflection*, Prob. Theory and Rel. Fields, Vol. 93, pp. 77-89.
- [RY 91] D. Revuz, M. Yor (1991), CONTINUOUS MARTINGALES AND BROWNIAN MOTION, Springer Verlag.
- [St 93] D.W. Stroock (1993), *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for Gibbs states*, Dirichlet forms (Varenna, 1992), pp. 194–228, Lecture Notes in Math. 1563, Springer, Berlin.
- [YW 71] T. Yamada, S. Watanabe (1971), *On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 11-1, pp. 155-167.
- [Za 01] L. Zambotti (2001), *A reflected stochastic heat equation as symmetric dynamics with respect to the 3-d Bessel Bridge*, Journ. of Funct. Anal., 180, pp. 195-209.
- [Za 00] L. Zambotti (2000), *Integration by parts formulae on convex sets of paths and applications to reflected stochastic heat equations*, Preprint Scuola Normale Superiore.