Quasi regular Dirichlet forms and the stochastic quantization problem

Sergio Albeverio *† Zhi Ming Ma [‡] Michael Röckner ^{†§}

Dedicated to Masatoshi Fukushima for his 80th birthday

7.4.14

Abstract

After recalling basic features of the theory of symmetric quasi regular Dirichlet forms we show how by applying it to the stochastic quantization equation, with Gaussian space-time noise, one obtains weak solutions in a large invariant set. Subsequently, we discuss non symmetric quasi regular Dirichlet forms and show in particular by two simple examples in infinite dimensions that infinitesimal invariance, does not imply global invariance. We also present a simple example of non-Markov uniqueness in infinite dimensions.

1 Introduction

The theory of symmetric Dirichlet forms is the natural extension to higher dimensional state spaces of the classical Feller theory of stochastic processes on the real line. Through ground breaking work by Beurling and Deny (1958-59), [BD58], [BD59], [Den70], [Sil74], [Sil76], and Fukushima (since 1971), [Fu71a], [Fu71b], [Fu80], [FOT11], [CF12], it has developed into a powerful method of combining analytic and functional analytic, as well as potential theoretic and probabilistic methods to handle the basic construction of stochastic processes under natural conditions about the local characteristics (e.g., drift and diffusion coefficients), avoiding unnecessary regularity conditions.

Such processes arise in a number of applied problems where coefficients can be singular, locally or at infinity. For detailed expositions of the general theory, mainly concentrated on finite dimensional state spaces, see

^{*}Inst. Appl. Mathematics and HCM, University of Bonn; CERFIM (Locarno); albeverio@uni-bonn.de $\,$

[†]BiBoS (Bielefeld, Bonn)

[‡]Inst. Appl. Math., AMSS, CAS, Beijing

[§]Mathematics Faculty, University of Bielefeld

[Fu80], [F82], [FOT11], [Ma95] and, e.g., [Alb03], [BBCK09], [E99], [Fu10], [GriH08], [Hi10], [KaYa05], [KKVW09], [KT91]. For further new developments, e.g. concerning boundary conditions, or non symmetric processes, or processes with jumps, see, e.g., [CF12], [CMR94], [AFH11], [AMU98], [AU00], [Sta99a], [Sta99b], [BiT07], [Bou03], [KS03], [LW99], [Osh04], [Jac01] [JS00], [SU07]. An extension of the theory to processes with infinite dimensional state spaces of Lusin type has been first described indirectly, by a suitable map into a larger locally compact space and consequent reductions to the finite dimensional case, by Fukushima [Fu71a], [Fu71b] and then further developed in [Fu80], [Fu92], [FH01], [FOT11], [ST92]. This has been called "regularization method" (see [Fu80], [AMR93], [ST92], [Alb03]). Another approach on Wiener space has been developed by [BH91] and on certain Banach spaces by [FeyLa91]. Mainly motivated by applications to infinite dimensional processes connected with SPDE's, like those arising in quantum field theory, see, e.g., [Gro76], [AHK74], [AHK76], [AHK77a], [AHK77b], [AHKS77], [Alb97], [N73], [PaWu81], an extension of Dirichlet form theory to infinite dimensional state spaces of more general type, including spaces of distributions, has been developed in [AHK76], [AHK77a], [AHK77b], [AM91], [AM92], [AMR92a], [AMR92b], [AMR92c], [AMR93], [AFHMR92], [AR89a], [AR90b], [Ku92], [Kus82].

This theory is now known as the theory of quasi-regular Dirichlet forms and a systematic exposition of it is in [MR92]. For newer developments see also [AFHMR92], [Aid00], [Alb03], [ARü05], [ARW01], [Fu84], [DG97], [E99], [Ma95], [Kol06].

One main example of applications of the theory has been the construction of processes which arise in certain problems of quantum mechanics with singular potentials (for which we refer to [KaStr14]) and quantum field theory (stochastic quantization equations for invariant measures and Hamiltonians for $P(\varphi)_2$, $\exp(\varphi)_2$, $\sin(\varphi)_2$, φ_3^4 and other models). Recently there arose a renewed interest in SPDE's related to such problems, particularly in connection with Hairer's theory of regularity structures [Hai14] and related work by, a.a., Guminelli, Zambotti, see references in [Hai14].

Since the strength of results obtained by the theory of (quasi-regular) Dirichlet forms in connection with (infinite dimensional) processes has often not been fully realized in the literature, one of the aims of the present paper is to both recall main results and clarify both solved and not yet solved problems.

The structure of the paper is as follows.

In Section 2 we shall recall the basic setting of the theory of symmetric quasi regular Dirichlet forms and associated processes, including invariant measures and ergodicity questions.

Section 3 recalls the setting for classical infinite dimensional Dirichlet forms given by a probability measure, and its relation to infinite dimensional diffusion processes, their generators, associated Kolmogorov equations, and invariant probability measures.

Section 4 is devoted to the study of the stochastic quantization equation for the $P(\varphi)_2$ model, with remarks on related models. We distinguish clearly between the problem in a bounded domain and the global problem. We also recall our result on the ergodicity of the solution.

Section 5 is devoted to the discussion of further developments concerning non symmetric Dirichlet forms. It is pointed out by two examples in infinite dimension that local invariance is indeed weaker than invariance of measures. On the way we also give a new presentation of an example of non-Markov uniqueness (in fact in the language of SPDE), first constructed in [E99].

2 Symmetric quasi regular Dirichlet forms

We first recall some basic notations of the theory of symmetric quasi regular Dirichlet forms, for later use.

Let E be a Hausdorff topological space, m a σ -finite measure on E, and let \mathcal{B} the smallest σ -algebra of subsets of E with respect to which all continuous functions on E are measurable.

Let \mathcal{E} be a symmetric Dirichlet form acting in the real $L^2(m)$ -space i.e. \mathcal{E} is a positive, symmetric, bilinear, closed form with domain $D(\mathcal{E})$ dense in $L^2(m)$, and such that $\mathcal{E}(\Phi(u), \Phi(u)) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$, for any $u \in D(\mathcal{E})$, where $\Phi(t) = (0 \lor t) \land 1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The latter condition is known to be equivalent with the condition that the associated C_0 -contraction semigroup T_t , $t \geq 0$, is submarkovian (i.e. $0 \leq u \leq 1$ m-a.e. implies $0 \leq T_t u \leq 1$ m-a.e., for all $u \in L^2(m)$; association means that $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(u - T_t u, v)_{L^2(m)} = \mathcal{E}(u, v)$, $\forall u, v \in D(\mathcal{E})$.

These conditions are also equivalent to other conditions expressed either in terms of \mathcal{E} or the associated infinitesimal generator L of T_t , $t \geq 0$, resp. the resolvent, see, e.g., [Alb03] (Theorem 9). One observation which is important for an analytic construction is that for having the above contraction property in terms of Φ it is enough to verify it on a domain where the form is still closable and with Φ replaced by a regularized version Φ_{ε} of it (see, e.g., Definition 18 and Theorem 9 in [Alb03]).

A symmetric Dirichlet form is called quasi-regular if the following holds:

1. There exists a sequence $(F_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact subsets of E such that $\bigcup_k D(\mathcal{E})_{F_k}$ is $\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -dense in $D(\mathcal{E})$ (where $D(\mathcal{E})_{F_k} := \{u \in D(\mathcal{E}) | u = 0 \text{ } m\text{-a.e.}$ on $E - F_k\}$; $\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the norm given by the scalar product in $L^2(m)$ defined by \mathcal{E}_1 , where

$$\mathcal{E}_1(u,v) := \mathcal{E}(u,v) + (u,v)_{L^2(m)},$$

 $(,)_{L^2(m)}$ being the scalar product in $L^2(m)$. Such a sequence $(F_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called an \mathcal{E} -nest;

- 2. there exists an $\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -dense subset of $D(\mathcal{E})$ whose elements have \mathcal{E} -quasieverywhere m-versions (where "quasi" is relative to the potential theory associated with \mathcal{E} , i.e. quasi-everywhere (noted q.e.) means with the possible exception of some \mathcal{E} -exceptional subset of E, i.e. a subset $N \subset \bigcap_k (E - F_k)$ for some sequence F_k of the above type; this is equivalent with N having \mathcal{E}_1 -capacity 0, see [Alb03], [MR92]);
- 3. there exists $u_n \in D(\mathcal{E})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with \mathcal{E} -quasi continuous m-versions \tilde{u}_n and there exists an \mathcal{E} -exceptional subset N of E s.t. $\{\tilde{u}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ separates the points of E-N (a real function u on E is called quasi continuous when there exists an \mathcal{E} -nest s.t. u is continuous on each F_k , and is defined on a domain in E containing $\bigcup_k F_k$; for this it is sufficient that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an U_{ε} open in E, s.t. cap $U_{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon$ and u is continuous on $E U_{\varepsilon}$ ([MR92])).

If E is a locally compact separable metric space then \mathcal{E} regular implies \mathcal{E} quasi-regular (but not viceversa, in general).

The relation between these analytic notions and the probabilistic notions goes as follows. A submarkovian semigroup p_t acting in $\mathcal{B}_b(E) \cap L^2(m)$ is associated with a symmetric Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on $L^2(m)$ if $p_t u$ is an m-version of $T_t u$, where T_t , $t \geq 0$ is the C_0 -contraction semigroup associated with $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$.

A stochastic sub-Markov process $\mathbf{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (P_z)_{z\in E})$ with state space E and transition semigroup p_t , in the sense that $(p_t u)(z) = E^z u(X_t)$, $\forall z \in E, t \geq 0, u \in \mathcal{B}_b(E) \cap L^2(m)$, is said to be associated with \mathcal{E} or $(T_t, t \geq 0)$ if p_t is associated with \mathcal{E} . p_t is m-symmetric (i.e. a symmetric semigroup in $L^2(m)$) and, in the Markov case, i.e. when $(P_t 1)(z) = 1$, has m has an invariant measure. If $p_t u$ is \mathcal{E} -quasi-continuous for all t > 0 then \mathbf{M} is said to be properly associated with \mathcal{E} (this is a substitute of the strong Feller property in the present case, where E is non necessarily locally compact). It turns out that

- 1. \mathcal{E} is quasi-regular iff M is an m-tight special standard process (in the sense of, e.g., [MR92]);
- 2. \mathcal{E} is a local (in the sense that $\mathcal{E}(u,v)=0$ for all $u,v\in D(\mathcal{E})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(|u|\cdot m)\cap\operatorname{supp}(|v|\cdot m)=\varnothing$ and $\operatorname{supp}(|u|\cdot m),\operatorname{supp}(|v|\cdot m)$ compact)¹ and quasi regular iff M is an m-tight special standard process and it is a diffusion i.e. $P_z\{t\to X_t \text{ continuous on } [0,\zeta)\}=1$ for all $z\in E$ (for some random variable ζ , with values in $[0,+\infty]$, the life time of X).

¹These supports are well defined since E can be assumed, without loss of generality, to be a Lusin space, see [MR92] (p. 101).

A rough description of m-tight special standard processes is that they are right processes "concentrated on compacts", with almost-sure left limits and are almost-surely quasi left continuous. Right processes are adapted, strong Markov, normal (i.e. $P_z(X_0 = z) = 1$, for all $z = E \cup \{\Delta\}$, $\{\Delta\}$ being the 1-point set describing the "cemetery" for the process), and have right continuous paths (see [MR92], [Alb03] for details).

The connection of quasi regular Dirichlet forms with stochastic analysis goes beyond potential theory inasmuch as it permits an extension to the infinite dimensional case of Fukushima's decomposition.

Theorem 2.1. Let M be a right process associated with a quasi regular symmetric Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on a Hausdorff topological space E. If $u \in D(\mathcal{E})$ then there exists a martingale additive functional of finite energy $M^{[u]}$ and a continuous additive functional of zero energy N s.t. for any quasi-continuous version \tilde{u} of u we have

$$\tilde{u}(X_t) = \tilde{u}(X_0) + M_t^{[u]} + N_t^{[u]}.$$

For the proof of this theorem see [Fu80], [FOT11] in the locally compact case and [AMR92c], [MR92] (Chapter VI, Theorem 2.5) in the general case. For the notions of martingale resp. continuous zero-energy additive functional see [MR92], [Alb03] (roughly $E^z\left(\left(M_t^{[u]}\right)^2\right)<\infty,\ E^z\left(M_t^{[u]}\right)=0,$ \$\mathcal{E}\$-q.e. $z\in E,\ \forall t\geq 0;\ M_t^{[u]}$ is a martingale under P_x for any $x\in E-N$, with N a properly exceptional set; $\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{2t}E^m\left(\left(N_t^{[u]}\right)^2\right)=0,\ E^z\left(\left|N_t^{[u]}\right|\right)<\infty$ q.e. $z\in E$).

Let us conclude this section with a short discussion of problems of stochastic dynamics.

Given a probability measure μ on some space E one can ask the question whether there exists a Markov process M with Markov transition semi-group p_t , $t \geq 0$, μ -symmetric (in the sense that the adjoint p_t^* of p_t in $L^2(\mu)$ coincides with p_t , for all $t \geq 0$). In particular, then μ is p_t invariant i.e.

$$\int p_t u \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int u \, \mathrm{d}\mu, \quad \forall u \in L^2(\mu). \tag{2.1}$$

One calls then p_t the "stochastic dynamics" associated with μ .

A probability measure μ is said to be infinitesimal invariant under a C_0 semigroup T_t , $t \geq 0$, if $\int Lu \, d\mu = 0$ for all u in a subset of the domain D(L)of L, which is dense in $L^2(E;\mu)$, where L is the infinitesimal generator of T_t .

In general, however, infinitesimal invariance of μ does not imply its invariance for the corresponding semigroup, i.e. does not imply (2.1). There are many counterexamples known (see e.g. [BRSt00] or [BKRS14]). In Section 5 we shall give an explicit (Gaussian) counterexample with state space

being a Hilbert space, in which even the underlying martingale problem is well-posed.

For references on invariant and infinitesimally invariant measures see, e.g., [ABR99], [AFe04], [AFe08], [AKR97a], [AKR97b], [AKR98], [AKR02], [ARW01], [ARü02], [MR10], [MZ10], [ARZ93b], [BoRöZh00], [DPZ92], [E99], [BKRS14]. To the above "inverse problem" there exists a direct problem: given a (Markov) stochastic process \boldsymbol{M} , find (if possible) a probability measure $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ s.t. $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is is an invariant measure for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ in the sense that (2.1) holds.

We shall see in Section 4 how these problems are solved in the case of the processes associated with a special class of Dirichlet forms, namely classical Dirichlet forms (in the sense of [AR90a], [MR92], [Alb03], [AKR02]).

A further general question related with stochastic dynamics concerns the uniqueness of the invariant measure for a given stochastic process M, see, e.g., [DPZ92], [PeZ07], [Eche82] for results on this problem.

Let us now briefly recally the relation of Dirichlet forms with martingale problems, related uniqueness problems, and large time asymptotic behaviour of associated semigroups.

Let us consider a topological space E, a set \mathcal{A} of real-valued functions on E and a linear operator L defined on \mathcal{A} . A probability measure P on a probability space Ω consisting of continuous paths on E with possible finite life time is said to be a solution of the martingale problem for (L, \mathcal{A}) relative to a coordinate stochastic process $X_t, t \geq 0$ on $E \cup \{\Delta\}$ (Δ being the terminal point and $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t), \ \omega \in \Omega$, with some initial distribution μ) if for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $t \geq 0$ we have that $f(X_t)$ and $Lf(X_t)$ are in $L^1(P)$, the function $(\omega, s) \to (Lf)(X_s(\omega))$ is in $L^1(P \otimes ds)$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t$, and

$$M_t^{[f]} := f(X_t) - \int_0^t (Lf)(X_s) ds$$

is a martingale with the given initial probability measure μ with respect to the filtration generated by X_s , for all $0 \le t < \infty$.

For a general discussion of martingale problems see [StV06], [EK86], [MR92], [AR89a], [AR90b], [ARZ93b], [E99], [BhK93].

The Markov uniqueness problem concerns the question whether in the class of Markov processes X_t , $t \geq 0$ the solution of the martingale problem with generator L acting in $L^2(\mu)$ is already determined by restricting the generator to a subset \mathcal{A} strictly contained in the $L^2(\mu)$ -domain of L, but still dense in $L^2(\mu)$. See [AHK82], [E99], [AR90b], [BK95], [AuR02], [SS03], [Tak92]. An example that Markov uniqueness can fail to hold is given in Section 5, which is originally from [E99], but which is presented here in an updated form.

The strong uniqueness problem concerns the question whether L is already essentially self-adjoint on \mathcal{A} in $L^2(\mu)$, see [AKR02], [AKR92], [AKR93], [LR98].

The large time asymptotics of processes associated with Dirichlet forms can

be discussed in terms of properties of the associated Dirichlet forms. We recall some basic results in this direction.

Let (T_t) , $t \ge 0$, be a submarkovian C_0 -contraction semigroup in $L^2(m)$. T_t is called irreducible if $T_t(uf) = uT_tf$, for all $f \in L^{\infty}(m)$ and all t > 0 implies u = constant m-a.e.. A submarkovian C_0 -contraction semigroup T_t in $L^2(m)$ is called $L^2(m)$ -ergodic if $T_tu \to \int u \, dm$ as $t \to \infty$ in $L^2(m)$, for all $u \in L^2(m)$.

Let \mathcal{E} be a symmetric Dirichlet form associated with an m-symmetric submarkovian C_0 -contraction semigroup T_t in $L^2(m)$. Then the statement that T_t is $L^2(m)$ -ergodic is equivalent with T_t being irreducible and is also equivalent with \mathcal{E} irreducible. These properties are also equivalent with the statement that $u \in D(L)$ and Lu = 0 imply u = const m-a.e., where L is the infinitesimal generator of T_t .

For the proof of these results see [AKR97a] (and also [AHK76], [AKR97b]). For the connection of the above properties with properties of the right process associated to T_t resp. \mathcal{E} via Dirichlet forms theory see Section 4.3 (and, for related problems, in the case of non local Dirichlet forms, e.g., [ARü02], [ARü05]).

3 Classical Dirichlet forms on Banach spaces and weak solutions to SDE

Let E be a separable real Banach space with dual E' and dualization $E' \setminus \{ \}_E$. Let $\mathcal{B}(E)$ denote its Borel σ -algebra and let μ be a finite positive measure on $(E,\mathcal{B}(E))$ with $\mathrm{supp}[\mu]=E$. Define for $K\subset E'$ the linear spaces

$$\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K) := \{ f(l_1, \dots, l_m) | m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m), l_1, \dots, l_m \in K \}.$$
 (3.1)

Set $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}:=\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(E')$. Compared with the finite dimensional case we have that $E,\ \mu,\ \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ replace $\mathbb{R}^d,\ dx,\ C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ respectively, where dx= Lebesgue measure. We want to define a gradient $\nabla.$ To this end fix $u=f(l_1,\ldots,l_m)\in\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty},z\in E$ and define for $k\in E,s\in\mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial k}(z) := \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} u(z + sk)_{s=0} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(l_1(z), \dots, l_m(z)) \underset{E'}{} \langle l_i, k \rangle_E.$$
 (3.2)

Furthermore, we assume that we are given a "tangent space" H to E at each point, in the sense that H is a separable real Hilbert space such that $H \subset E$ continuously and densely. Thus, identifying H with its dual H' we have

$$E' \subset H \subset E$$
 continuously and densely. (3.3)

We define $\nabla u(z)$ to be the unique element in H such that

$$\langle \nabla u(z), h \rangle_H = \frac{\partial u}{\partial h}(z) \text{ for all } h \in H(\subset E).$$

Now it is possible to define a positive definite symmetric bilinear form (henceforth briefly called form) on (real) $L^2(E; \mu)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}^{0}_{\mu}(u,v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{E} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{H} d\mu; \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty}, \tag{3.4}$$

which is densely defined, since $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}$ is dense in $L^2(E;\mu)$ (cf. [MR92, Chap. 4, Sect.b)]). An element $k \in E$ is called well- μ -admissible if there exists $\beta_k^{\mu} \in L^2(E;\mu)$ such that

$$\int_{E} u \, \mathrm{d}\mu = -\int_{E} u \, \beta_{k}^{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}\mu \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty}. \tag{3.5}$$

Assume:

There exists a linear subspace K of $E'(\subset H \subset E)$, (3.6) point separating on E and consisting of well- μ -admissible elements in E.

which we fix from now on in this section. Then it is easy to see that the form $(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{0}, \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty})$ defined in (3.4) is closable on $L^{2}(E; \mu)$ and that its closure $(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}))$ is a Dirichlet form on $L^{2}(E; \mu)$ (cf. [AR90a], [MR92, Chap.II, Subsection 3b]). We also denote the closure of ∇ with domain $D(\mathcal{E}_{\mu})$ by ∇ , hence

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{F} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{H} \, d\mu; \ u, v \in D(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}). \tag{3.7}$$

The Dirichlet form in (3.7) is called classical (gradient) Dirichlet form given by μ (see [AR90a], [MR92]). Let L_{μ} with domain $D(L_{\mu})$ be its generator (i.e. $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(u,v)=(u,(-L_{\mu})v)$, with (,) the scalar product in $L^{2}(E;\mu)$, $u\in D(\mathcal{E}_{\mu})$, $v\in D(L_{\mu})$ (cf. [MR92, Chap. I]). $(L_{\mu},D(L_{\mu}))$ is a Dirichlet operator, i.e., $(e^{tL_{\mu}})_{t\geq 0}$ is sub-Markovian. It is immediate that if $u=f(l_{1},\ldots,l_{m})\in \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty}(K)$ and $K_{0}\subset K$ is an orthonormal basis of H having l_{1},\ldots,l_{m} in its linear span, then $u\in D(L_{\mu})$ and

$$L_{\mu}u = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in K_0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial k} \right) + \beta_k^{\mu} \frac{\partial u}{\partial k} \right). \tag{3.8}$$

Theorem 3.1. The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}))$ defined in (3.7) is local and quasi-regular.

Proof. See [MR92, Chap. 5, Example 1.12 (ii)] for the locality and for the quasi-regularity see [RS92] and also [MR92, Chap. 4, Sect. 4b]. \Box

Hence by Section 2 there exists a (Markov) diffusion process $\mathbf{M}_{\mu} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), (X_t), (P_z)_{z \in E})$ properly associated with the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\mu})$, i.e., for all $u \in L^2(E; \mu), t > 0$,

$$\int u(X_t) dP_z = T_t u(z) \text{ for } \mu - \text{a.e. } z \in E$$
(3.9)

where $T_t u := \exp(tL_{\mu})u$, and the function on the left hand side of (3.9) has an \mathcal{E}_{μ} -quasi continuous version. \mathbf{M}_{μ} is easily seen to be conservative (i.e., has infinite lifetime) and to have μ as an invariant measure. By [MR92, Chap. 4, Sect. 4b] it follows that there is a point separating countable \mathbb{Q} -vector space $D \subset \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$. It is easy to see that there exists an ONB $K_0 \subset K$ of H such that the linear span of K_0 separates the points in E. Let us make for what follows a fix choice of such sets K_0 and D. Then as an immediate consequence of (a special case of) the Fukushima decomposition (see Sect.2) (in particular we use the version of [MR92], Chapter VI, Theorem 2.5), we obtain the following result concerning the martingale problem for (L_{μ}, D) :

Theorem 3.2. There exists a set $S \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ such that $E \setminus S$ is **properly** \mathcal{E}_{μ} -exceptional, i.e. $\mu(E \setminus S) = 0$ and $P_z[X_t \in S \ \forall t \geq 0] = 1$ for all $z \in S$, and for all $u \in D$ (see above)

$$u(X_t) - \int_0^t L_{\mu} u(X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s, \ t \ge 0,$$

is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -martingale under P_z for all $z \in S$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2 and the results in [AR91] we obtain that \mathbf{M}_{μ} yields weak solutions to the corresponding stochastic differential equation (SDE) on E. More precisely, we have:

Theorem 3.3. Let S be as in Theorem 3.2 and assume that there exists a $\mathcal{B}(E)/\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable map $\beta^{\mu}: E \longrightarrow E$ such that

- $(i) \ _{E'}\langle k,\beta^{\mu}\rangle_E=\beta_k^{\mu} \ \mu\text{-a.e for each } k\in K;$
- (ii) $\int_E ||\beta^{\mu}||_E^2 d\mu < \infty$.

Then there exists an E-valued $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ -Wiener process W_t , $t\geq 0$, on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that $(E' \langle k, W_t \rangle_E)_{k\in K_0}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in H and for every $z\in S$

$$X_t = z + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \beta^{\mu}(X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s + W_t, \ t \ge 0,$$

 P_z -a.s. (where the integral is an E-valued Bochner integral).

Proof. [AR91, Theorem 6.10].

4 Applications to stochastic quantization in finite and infinite volume

Quantum field theory has its origin in physics (by work by Born, Dirac, Heisenberg, Jordan, Pauli, a.a., see, e.g., [Jo95]) as an attempt to quantize the classical theory of relativistic fields in a similar way as non relativistic quantum mechanics is the quantization of classical (non relativistic) field theory, see, e.g., [ASe14].

In contrast to non relativistic quantum mechanics, a mathematical sound construction of the dynamics encountered difficulties which are still not overcome. A systematic attempt to perform such a construction was initiated in the late 60's and culminated in the early 70's with the construction of models describing interactions, in the case of an idealized d-dimensional space-time world (for d=1,2,3), see [Si74], [GJ81], [AFHKL86]. For the physically most interesting case d=4 only partial results have been obtained, see [AGW97], [AGY05].

In these approaches a non Gaussian probability measure μ on a distributional space (e.g. $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$) is constructed having the heuristic expression:

$$\mu^*(\mathrm{d}\varphi) = Z^{-1}e^{-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}v(\varphi(x))\mathrm{d}x}\mu_0^*(\mathrm{d}\varphi), \quad \text{with } Z = \int_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)}e^{-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}v(\varphi(x))\mathrm{d}x}\mu_0^*(\mathrm{d}\varphi),$$

$$(4.1)$$

 $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ being a symbol for a space-time process connected with the physical quantum field in d-space-time dimensions. v is a real-valued function, describing the interaction. μ_0^* is a Gaussian random field, with mean 0 and covariance $E(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)) = (-\Delta + m^2)^{-1}(x,y)$, the fundamental solution or Green function of $-\Delta + m^2$, m being a positive constant (in physical terms m is the mass of particles described by the free field, below we shall always take for simplicity m = 1).

For $v \equiv 0$ we have heuristically $\mu^* = \mu_0^*$. A particularly well studied case for $v \not\equiv 0$ is where v(y) = P(y), $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with P a polynomial with a positive even highest order term. μ^* is then called "Euclidean measure for the $P(\varphi)_d$ -field" (for more general v μ^* yields the "Euclidean measure for the $v(\varphi)_d$ -field").

The rigorous construction of μ^* uses tools of probability theory and statistical mechanics, see [Si74], [GRS75], [GJ81], [AFHKL86].

The analogy of the heuristic formula (4.1) with the (canonical, Gibbs) equilibrium measure in statistical mechanics makes it natural to ask, both from physics and mathematics, whether it is possible to find a Markov diffusion process $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ such that (4.1) appears as equilibrium measure (invariant measure) for this process. A formal computation can be performed to see that a solution X_t of the following heuristic stochastic quantization equation

$$dX_t = (\Delta - 1)X_t dt - v'(X_t)dt + dW_t, \ t \ge 0,$$
(4.2)

would have μ^* as an (heuristic) invariant measure, where dW_t stands for Gaussian white noise in all variables $(t,x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In the physical interpretation, t here is a "computer time", whereas the Euclidean space-timevariables $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ appear in $X_t = X_t(x)$. Heuristically, $X_0(x) = \varphi(x)$, with φ as in (4.1). $\Delta = \Delta_x$ is the Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^d . The physical reason for asking the above question is to exploit the dynamics of solutions of such an equation to perform Monte-Carlo simulations of physically relevant averages with respect to the equilibrium measure μ^* (see [PaWu81], and, e.g., [Mi89]). The mathematical interest arises because of the "typicality" of an equation of the form (4.2) for handling SPDE's with singular noise. Due to the singular character of the measure μ^* (whenever it exists!) one expects (for $d \geq 2$) troubles in giving a meaning to the term $v'(X_t)$ in (4.2). In this section we shall see that for d=2 this programme can be rigorously achieved (the case d=1 is simpler, since for $d=1, x \to X_t(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$, is continuous). For our discussion we shall separate 2 cases: the "finite volume case" (Section 4.1), where \mathbb{R}^2 is replaced by a rectangle Λ and the "infinite volume case" (Section 4.2), where the "space cut-off" Λ is eliminated and the problem is considered in the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 .

4.1 Finite volume

Let Λ be an open rectangle in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $(-\Delta + 1)_N$ be the generator of the following quadratic form on $L^2(\Lambda; dx) : (u, v) \longrightarrow \int_{\Lambda} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx + \int_{\Lambda} u \ v \ dx$ with $u, v \in \{g \in L^2(\Lambda; dx) | \nabla g \in L^2(\Lambda; dx) \}$ (where ∇ is in the sense of distributions, N reminds us to "Neumann boundary conditions"). Let $\{e_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset C^{\infty}(\bar{\Lambda})$ be the (orthonormal) eigenbasis of $(-\Delta + 1)_N$ and $\{\lambda_n | \in \mathbb{N} \ (\subset]0, \infty[)$ the corresponding eigenvalues (cf. [RS75, p. 266]). Define for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$H_{\alpha} := \{ u \in L^{2}(\Lambda; dx) | \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{\alpha} \langle u, e_{n} \rangle_{L^{2}(\Lambda; dx)}^{2} < \infty \}, \tag{4.1}$$

equipped with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H_{\alpha}} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\alpha} \langle u, e_n \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda; dx)} \langle v, e_n \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda; dx)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Clearly, we have that

$$H_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \text{completion of } C^{\infty}(\bar{\Lambda}) \text{ w.r.t. } || ||_{H^{\alpha}} \text{ if } \alpha < 0 \\ \text{completion of } C_{0}^{\infty}(\Lambda) \text{ w.r.t. } || ||_{H_{\alpha}} \text{ if } \alpha \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(4.3)$$

(cf. [LM72, p. 79] for the latter).

To get into the framework of Section 3 we chose

$$H := L^2(\Lambda; dx), E := H_{-\delta}, \delta > 0.$$

Then

$$E'(=H_{\delta}) \subset H \subset E. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.1. In (4.4) we have realized the dual of $H_{-\delta}$ as H_{δ} using as usual the chain

$$H_{\alpha} \subset L^2(\Lambda; dx) \subset H_{-\alpha}, \ \alpha \ge 0.$$

Fix $\delta>0$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n^{-1-\delta}<\infty$ (cf. [RS75]), we have, applying [Y89, Theorem 3.2] (i.e., the Gross-Minlos-Sazonov theorem) with $H:=L^2(\Lambda;\mathrm{d} x),\ ||\cdot||:=||\cdot||_{H_{-\delta}},\ A_1:=(-\Delta+1)_N^{-\frac{\delta}{2}},\ \mathrm{and}\ A_2:=(-\Delta+1)_N^{-\frac{1}{2}},$ that there exists a unique mean zero Gaussian probability measure μ on $E:=H_{-\delta}$ (called *free field* on Λ with Neumann boundary conditions; see [N73]) such that

$$\int_{E} E' \langle l, z \rangle^{2}_{E} \ \mu(\mathrm{d}z) = ||l||_{H_{-1}}^{2} \text{ for all } l \in E' = H_{\delta}. \tag{4.5}$$

Clearly, supp $\mu = E$. For $h \in H_{-1}$ we define $X_h \in L^2(E; \mu)$ by

$$X_h := \lim_{n \to \infty} {}_{E'} \langle k_n, \cdot \rangle_E \quad \text{in } L^2(E; \mu), \tag{4.6}$$

where $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is any sequence in E' such that $k_n \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} h$ in H_{-1} .

Let $h \in \text{Dom}((-\Delta + 1)_N) \subset L^2(\Lambda; dx) \subset E$, then

$$_{E'}\langle k,h\rangle_E = \langle k,h\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda,\mathrm{d}x)} = \langle k,(-\Delta+1)_Nh\rangle_{H_{-1}}$$

for each $k \in E'$. Hence by [AR90a, Proposition 5.5] (4.5), and (4.6), h is well- μ -admissible and $\beta_h^{\mu} = X_{(-\Delta+1)_Nh}$ (see (3.5)). Let K be the linear span of $\{e_n|n\in\mathbb{N}\}$. Below we shall consider the gradient Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mu}},D(\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mu}}))$ on $L^2(E;\bar{\mu})$ as introduced in Section 3, where $\bar{\mu}$ is the $P(\varphi)_2$ -quantum field measure in the finite volume Λ with P being a polynomial, see below just before Proposition 4.2, for its mathematical description.

To this end we first have to introduce the so-called Wick powers : z^n :, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which are renormalized powers of the Schwartz-Sobolev distribution $z \in E = H_{-\delta}$.

Let $h \in L^2(\Lambda; dx)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define: $z^n : (h)$ as a limit in $L^p(E; \mu)$, $p \in [1, \infty[$, as follows (cf., e.g., [GJ81, Sect. 8.5]): fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $H_n(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be the *n*th Hermite polinomial, i.e., $H_n(t) := \sum_{m=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^m \alpha_{nm} t^{n-2m}$, with $\alpha_{nm} := n!/[(n-2m)!2^m m!]$. Let $d \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $d \geq 0$, $\int d(x) dx = 1$, and d(x) = d(-x) for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Define for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_{k,x}(y) := 2^{2k} d(2^k(x-y))$; $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $z_k(x) := {}_{E'}\langle d_{k,x}, z \rangle_E$, $z \in E, x \in \Lambda$, and set

$$: z_k^n : (x) := c_k(x)^{n/2} H_n(c_k(x)^{-1/2} z_k(x)), \tag{4.7}$$

where $c_k(x) := \int z_k(x)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}z)$. Then it is known that

$$: z_k^n : (h) := \int : z_k^n : (x)h(x) dx \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} : z^n : (h)$$

both in every $L^p(E;\mu)$, $+\infty > p \ge 1$, and for μ -a.e. $z \in E$ (cf.,e.g., [R86, Sect. 3] for the latter and [Si74] for the former). The map $z \mapsto \limsup_{k \to \infty} z_k^n$: (h) is then a μ -version of : z^n : (h). From now on : z^n : (h) shall denote this particular version. Since $H_n(s+t) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} H_m(s) t^{n-m}$, $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$, we also have that if

$$z \in M := \{ z \in E | \limsup_{k \to \infty} z_k^n(h) := \lim_{k \to \infty} z_k^n : (h) (\in \mathbb{R}) \}$$

then $\mu(M) = 1$, $z + k \in M$ for all $k \in K$ and

$$: (z+k)^n : (h) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} : z^m : (k^{n-m}h)$$
 (4.8)

(cf. [R86, Sect. 3] for details).

Now fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le n \le 2N$ with $a_{2N} > 0$ and define

$$V(z) :=: P(z) : (1_{\Lambda}) := \sum_{n=0}^{2N} a_n : z^n : (1_{\Lambda}), \ z \in E,$$

where 1_{Λ} denotes the indicator function of Λ . Let

$$\varphi := \exp(-\frac{1}{2}V). \tag{4.9}$$

Then $\varphi > 0$ μ -a.e. and $\varphi \in L^p(E; \mu)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty[$ (cf., e.g., [Si74, Sect. 5.2] or [GJ81, Sect. 8.6]). Set

$$\bar{\mu} := \varphi^2 \cdot \mu.$$

Proposition 4.2. Each $k \in K$ is well- μ -admissible and

$$\beta_k^{\bar{\mu}} = -X_{[(-\Delta+1)_N k]} - \sum_{n=1}^{2N} n a_n : z^{n-1} : (k)$$
 (4.10)

(cf. (3.5) above for the definition of $\beta_k^{\bar{\mu}}$).

Proof. [RZ92, Proposition 7.2].
$$\square$$

It now follows that Theorem 3.1 applies to the corresponding classical (gradient) Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mu}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\mu}}))$ introduced in Section 3.

So, let $\mathbf{M}_{\bar{\mu}} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (P_z)_{z\in E})$ be the corresponding (Markov) diffusion process as in Section 3. Then Theorem 3.2 applies, i.e. we have solved the martingale problem (in the sense of Theorem 3.2) for the corresponding operator $L_{\bar{\mu}}$ given by (3.8) with $\beta_k^{\bar{\mu}}$ replacing β_k^{μ} . Finally, taking

 δ for $E = H_{-\delta}$ large enough, there exists $\beta^{\bar{\mu}} : E \to E$, $\mathcal{B}(E)/\mathcal{B}(E)$ measurable and satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.3 (see [AR91, Proposition 6.9]). By construction and (4.10) we have that

$$\beta^{\bar{\mu}}(z) = -(-\Delta + 1)_N \ z - : P'(z) :, \ z \in E.$$
 (4.11)

Hence Theorem 3.3 implies the existence of a set $S \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ such that $\bar{\mu}(E \backslash S) = 0$ and S is invariant under the (Markov) diffusion process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, i.e. $P_z[X_t \in S \ \forall t \geq 0] = 1$ for all $z \in S$, and for every $z \in S$

$$X_{t} = z - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left[(-\Delta + 1)_{N} X_{s} + : P'(X_{s}) : \right] ds + W_{t}, \ t \ge 0, \quad (4.12)$$

 P_z -a.e., for some $L^2(\Lambda^2; dx)$ -cylindrical (\mathcal{F}_t) -Wiener process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_z)$, i.e. we have a Markov weak solution to the SDE (4.12) ("weak" in the probabilistic sense). The solution to (4.12) is usually called *stochastic quantization* process for the $P(\varphi)_2$ -quantum field with Neumann boundary condition in the finite volume Λ (and (4.12) is a rigorous "finite volume" version of the heuristic stochastic quantization equation (4.2)).

4.2 Infinite volume

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the space S_n to be the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (= all compactly supported smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^2) with respect to the norm

$$||k||_n := \left[\sum_{|m| \le n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|^2)^n \left| \left(\frac{\partial^{m_1}}{\partial x_1^{m_1}} \frac{\partial^{m_2}}{\partial x_2^{m_2}} \right) k(x) \right|^2 dx \right],$$

where $\underline{m} := (m_1, m_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^2$. To get into the framework of Section 3 we choose

$$K := C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2), \ H := L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; dx), \ E := \mathcal{S}_{-n},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which later we shall choose large enough. So, we have

$$E^{'} \subset H \subset E$$
.

Let μ_0^* be the space time free field of mass 1 on \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e. μ_0^* is the unique centered Gaussian measure on E with covariance operator $(-\Delta+1)^{-1}$. For $h \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2; dx)$, with $\varepsilon > 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let : $z^n : (h)$ be defined analogously as in Section 3, but with μ_0^* taking the role of μ (= the free field of mass 1 on Λ with Neumann boundary condition). From now on we fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le n \le 2N$, and define for $h \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2; dx)$

$$: P(z):(h) := \sum_{n=0}^{2N} a_n : z^n : (h) \text{ with } a_{2N} > 0.$$
 (4.13)

We have that : P(z) : (h), $\exp(-: P(z) : (h)) \in L^p(E; \mu_0^*)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty[$, if $h \ge 0$ (cf. e.g.[Si74, $\S V.2$]), hence the following probability measures (called *space-time cut-off quantum fields*) are well-defined for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, Λ bounded,

$$\mu_{\Lambda}^* := \frac{\exp(-:P(z):(1_{\Lambda}))}{\int \exp(-:P(z):(1_{\Lambda}))d\mu_0^*} \cdot \mu_0^*. \tag{4.14}$$

It has been proven that the weak limit

$$\lim_{\Lambda \nearrow \mathbb{R}^2} \mu_{\Lambda}^* =: \mu^* \tag{4.15}$$

exists as a probability measure on $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ (see [GJ81] and also [R86], [R88]) having moments of all orders. Furthermore, by [AR90b, Proposition 2.7] we have that $\operatorname{supp}\mu^* = E$ (i.e. $\mu^*(U) > 0$ for each open subset U of E). It is, however, well-known that μ^* is not absolutely continuous with respect to μ_0^* (since $\mu^* \neq \mu_0^*$ is invariant under translations in the underlying \mathbb{R}^2 -space, which act ergodically, see [AHK74], [Si74], [GRS75], [Frö74], [AL08]). Now we have:

Proposition 4.3. Each $k \in K$ is well μ^* -admissible with

$$\beta_k^{\mu^*}(z) := -\sum_{n=1}^{2N} na_n : z^{n-1} : (k) - {}_{E'}\langle (-\Delta+1)k, z \rangle_E, \ z \in E.$$
 (4.16)

Proof. [AR91, Theorem 7.11].
$$\Box$$

As a consequence of Proposition 4.2, according to Section 3 we obtain the corresponding classical (gradient) Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}_{\mu^*}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\mu^*}))$, which is quasi regular by Theorem 3.1. So, let $\mathbf{M}_{\mu^*} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (P_z)_{z\in E})$ be the corresponding (Markov) diffusion process as in Section 3. Then Theorem 3.2 applies, i.e. we have solved the martingale problem (in the sense of Theorem 3.2) for the corresponding operator L_{μ^*} given by (3.8) with $\beta_k^{\mu^*}$, as given by (4.16), replacing β_k^{μ} .

Finally, taking n large enough, there exists $\beta^{\mu^*}: E \to E$, $\mathcal{B}(E)/\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable and satisfying condition (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.3 (see [AR91, Proposition 6.9]). By construction and (4.16) we have that

$$\beta^{\mu^*}(z) = (\Delta - 1)z - : P'(z) :, z \in E.$$
 (4.17)

Hence Theorem 3.3 implies the existence of a set $S \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ such that $\mu^*(E \backslash S) = 0$ and S is invariant under the (Markov) diffusion process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, i.e. $P_z[X_t \in S \ \forall t \geq 0] = 1$ for all $z \in S$, and, for every $z \in S$, X_t solves the stochastic integral equation

$$X_{t} = z + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left[(\Delta - 1)X_{s} - : P'(X_{s}) : \right] ds + W_{t}, \ t \ge 0,$$
 (4.18)

 P_z -a.s. for some $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; dx)$ -cylindrical (\mathcal{F}_t) -Wiener process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_z)$, i.e. we have a Markov weak solution to the SDE (4.18) ("weak" in the probabilistic sense). The solution to (4.18) is usually called *stochastic quantization process* for the $P(\varphi)_2$ -quantum field in infinite volume (thus, with Λ in Section 4.1 replaced by \mathbb{R}^2).

Remark 4.4. All the above results also hold for the "time zero quantum fields" associated with the $P(\varphi)_2$ -quantum field in infinite volume, first discussed in [AHK74]. For details on this we refer to [AR91, Section 7, II b)].

4.3 Ergodicity

The use of Dirichlet form techniques is not limited to settling existence questions for solutions of SDE's with very singular coefficients. Also important special properties of solutions can be deduced. As one instance we consider the situation of the previous subsection, and ask about ergodic properties of the solution.

To start, we first mention that the construction of the infinite volume $P(\varphi)_2$ -quantum field μ^* , which we took as a reference measure in the previous section, is quite specific. There is, in fact, quite a large set of possible reference measures that could replace μ^* , namely all so-called Guerra-Rosen-Simon $P(\varphi)_2$ -quantum fields (see [GRS75]), which are defined as the convex set \mathcal{G} of all Gibbs measures for a certain specification, i.e. they are defined through the classical Dobrushin-Landford-Ruelle equations appropriate to $P(\varphi)_2$ -quantum fields. We do not go into details here and do not give the precise definition of the relevant specification, but rather refer to [R86] (see also [AKR97b, Section 4.3]). We only recall from [R86] that each ν from the convex set \mathcal{G} can be represented as an integral over the set \mathcal{G}_{ex} of all extreme points of \mathcal{G} . Furthermore, we recall that by the main result in [AR89a] (see also [AKR97b]) for every $\nu \in \mathcal{G}$ the corresponding form (3.4), with ν replacing μ , is closable on $L^2(E;\nu)$, so its closure $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu},D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}))$ (see (3.7)) is a classical (gradient) Dirichlet form for which all results from Section 4.2 apply with ν replacing μ^* . Then the following is a special case of [AKR97b, Theorem 4.14].

Theorem 4.5. Suppose $\nu \in \mathcal{G}_{ex}$. Then $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}))$ is irreducible and (equivalently) the corresponding (Markov) diffusion process \mathbf{M}_{ν} is time ergodic under

 $P_{\nu} := \int_{E} P_{z} \ \nu(\mathrm{d}z).$

Remark 4.6.

(i) In fact, if we replace $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}))$ by the corresponding "maximal Dirichlet form" $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{max}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}^{max}))$ (see [AKR97b] for the definition), then the irreducibility of the latter implies that $\nu \in \mathcal{G}$ must be in \mathcal{G}_{ex} .

(ii) The equivalence of the irreducibility of $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}))$ and the time ergodicity of M_{ν} under P_{ν} follows by the general theory (see [F82]).In this case the irreducibility of $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu}))$ is also equivalent to the L^2 -ergodicity of the corresponding semigroup (T_{ν}^{t}) , $t \geq 0$, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} ||T_t^{\nu} f - f||_{L^2(E;\nu)} = 0 \text{ for all } f \in L^2(E;\nu).$$

For details we refer to [AKR97a, Proposition 2.3]. The latter paper was dedicated to Professor Masatoshi Fukushima on the occasion of his 60th birthday. A comprehensive study of the above relations between irreducibility of a Dirichlet form, the time ergodicity of the corresponding Markov process and the L²-ergodicity of the corresponding semigroup and other related properties is contained in the forthcoming paper [BCR14] in a much more general context, including non-symmetric coercive and generalized Dirichlet forms.

(iii) Theorem 4.5, stated above for Guerra-Rosen-Simon Gibbs states of P(φ)₂-Euclidean quantum field theory, is valid for many other Gibbs states of both lattice and continuum systems from statistical mechanics. We refer to [AKR97a, Section 5], [AKKR09] for lattice systems and to [AKR98, Section 7] for continuum systems.

4.4 Additional remarks

1. Above we discussed the stochastic quantization equation as it was first proposed in [PaWu81], where the driving noise is dW_t i.e. a Gaussian space-time white noise. The same invariant measure can also be obtained by considering an SPDE with a space-regularized noise, in which case the drift term has to be modified accordingly. E.g., in the φ_2^4 -case the regularized stochastic quantization equation has been discussed in the finite volume case, with various types of regularizations, in [DPT00], [HK98], [JLM85], [LR98], [GaGo96], [DPD03].

In particular in this finite volume case a proof of Markov uniqueness in $L^p(\mu)$, $1 \le p < \infty$ has been achieved in [RZ92]. Strong solutions have been constructed in suitable Besov spaces [DPD03], and essential self-adjointness of generators has been proven in [LR98] and [DPT00]. The invalidity of a Girsanov formula has been shown in [MiRo99]. The discussion of uniqueness and ergodicity questions we sketched in Section 4.3 carry over to this case too.

The only papers, where the infinite volume processes which solve the stochastic quantization equation, in their original or regularized version, have been discussed, seem to be [AR89b], [AR91], [BCM88]. The uniqueness results which hold in the finite volume case do not carry over to the infinite volume case, where the question of uniqueness of

generators is still open. See, however, [ARZ93a], [AR89a] for some partial results.

2. The regularized stochastic quantization equation has also been discussed for other 2 space-time dimensional models. The starting point for the construction of solutions by the Dirichlet form method, namely the measure described heuristically given by (4.1) resp. its analogue in a bounded volume, has been constructed rigorously for the case, where e.g. v is an exponential function $v(y) = \exp(\alpha y)$ or a superposition of such functions, of the form $v(y) = \int \exp(\alpha y)\nu_v(\mathrm{d}y)$, with ν_v a bounded variation measure with $|\alpha| < \sqrt{4\pi}$ resp. $\sup \nu_v \in (-\sqrt{4\pi}, \sqrt{4\pi})$, in [AHK74], (see also [HK71], [Si74], [FrP77], [AGHK79], [AFHKL86], and [AHPRS90a], [AHPRS90b], [HKPS93] for an alternative construction using methods of white noise analysis).

The corresponding regularized stochastic quantization equation has been discussed in a bounded domain in [Mi06] and [AKMR]. Let us point out that models of this type are presently under intensive investigation in regard to their importance in completely different areas of research, see, e.g., [RhVa13].

For models given in terms of other functions v, e.g., superposition of trigonometric functions, like the function v appearing in the $\sin \alpha \varphi_2$ -model (the quantized version of the Sine-Gordon equation in 2 spacetime dimensions) constructions of the measure heuristically given by (4.1) as well as the definition of the dynamics in terms of Dirichlet forms have also been discussed, see [AHR01]. In this work the necessity of renormalization has been shown and strong solutions have been constructed in a suitable distributional setting See also [AHPRS90a], [AHPRS90b] for a white noise analysis approach to such v's.

3. Recent work has concerned both the stochastic quantization equation in space-time dimension d = 1 and d = 3.

For d=1 the dynamics has been constructed in the strong probabilistic sense and L^p -uniqueness of the generators has been proven, see [Iwa85], [Iwa87] resp. [KR07], for v of polynomial type, resp. [AKaRö12], for v exponential or trigonometric type, both in finite and infinite volume.

In the case d=3 an integration by parts formula has been established for the φ_3^4 -model, as well as the existence of a pre-Dirichlet form [ALZ06]. However, the (generalized) logarithmic derivative does not seem to have good enough integrability properties. Therefore, the closability of this pre-Dirichlet form is an open problem, as is the existence of a global (Markov) dynamics. This might be put in relation with a recent approach to the local stochastic dynamics developed for this model by M. Hairer [Hai14].

5 Further developments

In applying Dirichlet form techniques to SDEs the symmetry assumption is, of course, very restrictive. Unfortunately, also the sector condition imposed on the (in general non-symmetric) sectorial Dirichlet forms analyzed in [MR92] is still too restrictive to cover important classes of SDE, in particular on infinite dimensional state spaces, including stochastic partial differential equations. Therefore, a theory of "fully non-symmetric" Dirichlet forms was developed in [Sta99a]. The main feature that this "theory of generalized Dirichlet forms" has in common with the symmetric and sectorial case is that it still requires a "reference measure" μ to be given or constructed beforehand. Below, we want to briefly describe an important subclass thereof, where one is just given an operator L and a measure μ , intrinsically related to L (see Definition 5.1 below), that will serve as a "reference measure". The underlying idea has been first put forward systematically in [ABR99] and [R98], and was one motivation that has eventually led to the recent monograph [BKRS14].

Consider the situation described at the beginning of Section 3, so E is a (real) separable Banach space, H a (real) separable Hilbert space such that $H \subset E$ continuously and densely, hence

$$E' \subset (H' \equiv) H \subset E$$
,

continuously and densely. Fix an algebra $K \subset E'$, containing a countable subset, separating the points of E, thus K generates $\mathcal{B}(E)$, i.e.

$$\sigma(K) = \sigma(E') = \mathcal{B}(E). \tag{5.1}$$

Let L be a linear operator, whose domain contains $\mathcal{F}C_h^{\infty}(K)$ (cf. (3.1)).

Definition 5.1. A probability measure μ on $\mathcal{B}(E)$ is called L-infinitesimally invariant if $Lu \in L^1(E; \mu)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ and

$$\int_{E} Lu \, \mathrm{d}\mu = 0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K),$$

in short: if

$$L^*\mu = 0. (5.2)$$

We note that for μ as in Definition 5.1, because of (5.1), we have that $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ is dense in $L^p(E;\mu)$ for all $p \in [1,\infty)$. Let us assume that L is an operator of type (3.8) or more generally a Kolmogorov or a diffusion operator (in the sense of [E99]). In this case quite general theorems are known to ensure the existence of measures μ satisfying (5.2) (see [BKRS14] and the references therein). It then follows immediately, that L is dissipative on

 $L^1(E;\mu)$ (see [E99, Lemma 1.8]), hence closable on $L^1(E;\mu)$. Let us denote its closure by $(L_{\mu},D(L_{\mu}))$. It is, however, not true in general, that L_{μ} generates a C_0 -(contraction) semigroup $T_t^{\mu}=e^{tL_{\mu}}$, $t\geq 0$, on $L^1(E;\mu)$. If it does, then this semigroup is always sub-Markovian (see [E99]). In this case one can ask whether there exists a (right) continuous Markov process on E whose transition semigroup is related to T_t^{μ} , $t\geq 0$, as in (3.9). So, in summary, one can realize the "Dirichlet form approach" for such general Kolmogorov operators L to construct a corresponding (right) continuous Markov process in this "fully non-symmetric" case, if the following three problems can be solved:

- (1) Does there exist a probability measure μ on $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ having the property (5.2) in Definition 5.1?
- (2) Does $(L_{\mu}, D(L_{\mu}))$ then generate a C_0 -(contraction) semigroup T_t^{μ} , $t \ge 0$, on $L^1(E; \mu)$?
- (3) Does T_t^{μ} , $t \geq 0$, come from a transition function p_t , $t \geq 0$, of a (right) continuous Markov?

We note that if the answer to (2) is yes, then μ is also invariant for T_t^{μ} , $t \geq 0$, i.e.

$$\int_{E} T_t^{\mu} u \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{E} u \, \mathrm{d}\mu \tag{5.3}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$, equivalently for all $u \in L^1(E;\mu)$. A lot of work has been done on the three problems above in the past decade. We have already mentioned [BKRS14] as a good reference for problem (1), but also problem (2) is discussed there and further references are given in [BKRS14] concerning both (1) and (2). We only mention here that the answer "yes" to problem (2) is well-known to be equivalent to the "range condition", i.e. that $(1-L)(\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K))$ is dense in $L^1(E;\mu)$ (see e.g. [BRSt00, Proposition 2.6]). But in concrete cases, in particular in infinite dimensions, this is a very hard problem (see, however, [Sta99b] for a useful characterization of the range condition if dim $E < \infty$). Concerning (3) considerable progress has been made in [BeBoR06], [BeBoR08].

In the remainder of this subsection we want to address two important points concerning the above discussion, namely:

Questions:

(A) Suppose that all problems (1)-(3) above can be solved for some L-infinitesimally invariant measure $\mu := \mu_1$, which is hence invariant for $(p_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Is it possible that there exists some other L-infinitesimally invariant measure μ_2 which is not invariant for $(p_t)_{t\geq 0}$?

(B) Does there exist an L-infinitesimally invariant measure μ , so that the closure of $(L, \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K))$ in $L^2(E; \mu)$ does not generate a C_0 -semigroup, but there exist two different closed extentions generating two Markov C_0 -semigroups on $L^2(E; \mu)$? In short: can "Markov uniqueness" fail to hold?

Indeed, the answer to (A) is yes. There are well-known counterexamples $p_t, t \geq 0$, if $E = \mathbb{R}^d$ (see [BKRS14] and the references therein, in particular, [Sta99b]). Below we give two simple examples when E is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, μ_2 is a Gaussian measure and $(p_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is even a strong Feller transition semigroup of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, i.e. is given explicitly by a Mehler-type formula. Also the answer to Question (B) is "yes". In fact, μ can even be chosen Gaussian and so that $(L, \mathcal{F}C_b(K))$ is symmetric in $L^2(E; \mu)$ and both Markov C_0 -semigroups consist of self-adjoint operators in $L^2(E; \mu)$. We shall, however, not give all details here, but refer instead to [E99] where these can be found (see Remark 5.2 below). We rather concentrate on details for the examples to answer Question (A) by "yes".

First example:

Consider the open interval $(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and choose

$$H = E := L^2((0,1); dx),$$
 (5.4)

with the usual inner product $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ and where $\mathrm{d}x$ denotes Lebesgue measure. Define $A_1 := -\Delta_D$, where Δ_D denotes the Dirichlet Laplacian on (0,1), and $A_2 := -\Delta_{D,N}$, where $\Delta_{D,N}$ denotes the Dirichlet-Neumann-Laplacian on (0,1). More precisely, we take Dirichlet boundary condition at $\xi = 0$ and Neumann boundary condition at $\xi = 1$. Define for $t \geq 0$, $x, y \in E$,

$$p_t^{(i)}(x, dy) = N(e^{-tA_i}x, Q_t^{(i)})(dy), i = 1, 2,$$
 (5.5)

where N denotes the Gaussian measure on E with mean $e^{-tA_i}x$ and covariance operator

$$Q_t^{(i)} := \int_0^t e^{-2sA_i} \mathrm{d}s \ . \tag{5.6}$$

We note that, because we are on the one-dimensional underlying domain (0,1), each Q_t^i is indeed trace class. It is well-known that $p_t^{(i)}$, $t \geq 0$, i = 1,2, is the transition semigroup of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $(X_t^{(i)})_{t\geq 0}$ solving in the mild sense the following SDE for i=1,2 respectively

$$dX_t^{(i)} = -A_i X_t^{(i)} dt + dW_t, \ t \ge 0,$$

$$X_0 = x.$$
(5.7)

where W_t , $t \geq 0$, is an H-cylindrical Wiener process, and that

$$\mu_i := N(0, \frac{1}{2}A_i^{-1}), \ i = 1, 2,$$
 (5.8)

is its respective invariant measure. Furthermore, it is well-known (and easy to see) that, for each $i \in \{1,2\}$, $p_t^{(i)}$, $t \geq 0$, gives rise to a Markov C_0 -semigroup $T_t^{\mu_i}$, $t \geq 0$, of symmetric contractions on $L^p(E; \mu_i)$ for all $p \in [1,\infty)$. Furthermore, for every bounded $\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable function $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$

$$p_t^{(i)}f(x) := \int_E f(z)p_t^{(i)}(x, dz), \ x \in E ,$$
 (5.9)

is continuous in $x \in E$, i.e. both $p_t^{(1)}$, $t \ge 0$, and $p_t^{(2)}$, $t \ge 0$, are strong Feller (see [DPZ92]).

Let us now consider $K := C_0^2((0,1))$ and recall that as seen before, $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ is dense in $L^p(E,\mu_i)$ for all $p \in [1,\infty)$, i=1,2. Then it is easy to check that for $i=1,2,\ p_t^{(i)}, t\geq 0$, extends to a Markov C_0 -semigroup $T_t^{\mu_i}, t\geq 0$, on $L^2(E;\mu_i)$ and for the generator $(L_{\mu_i}, D(L_{\mu_i}))$ of $T_t^{\mu_i}, t\geq 0$, on $L^2(E;\mu_i)$ (but also poinwise, see [BoR95, Theorem 5.3]), we have that $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K) \subset D(L_{\mu_i})$ and for all $u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$, $u = F(\langle k_1, \cdot \rangle, \ldots, \langle k_N, \cdot \rangle)$, $F \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i=1,2,

$$L_{\mu_{i}}u(z) = \sum_{j,j'=1}^{N} \langle k_{j}, k_{j'} \rangle \partial_{jj'} F(\langle k_{1}, z \rangle, \dots, \langle k_{N}, z \rangle)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle A_{i}k_{j}, z \rangle \partial_{j} F(\langle k_{1}, z \rangle, \dots, \langle k_{N}, z \rangle),$$

$$(5.10)$$

where ∂_j , $\partial_{j,j'}$ mean partial derivatives in the j-th or in the j-th and j'-th variable respectively. Since $A_1k = A_2k$ for all $k \in K$, it follows that

$$L_{\mu_1} u = L_{\mu_2} u \tag{5.11}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$. Because of (5.11) we may define

$$Lu := L_{\mu_1} u \ (= L_{\mu_2} u), \ u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K).$$
 (5.12)

Then because $T_t^{\mu_i}$, $t \geq 0$, are symmetric in $L^2(E_i; \mu_i)$, i = 1, 2, it follows that for i = 1, 2

$$\int Lu \ v \ d\mu_i = \int u \ Lv \ d\mu_i \ \forall u, v \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K),$$
 (5.13)

hence choosing $v \equiv 1$

$$\int Lu \, \mathrm{d}\mu_i = 0 \ \forall u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K), \tag{5.14}$$

i.e. μ_1 and μ_2 are L-infinitesimally invariant (for L with domain $\mathcal{F}C_h^{\infty}(K)$).

Remark 5.2.

(i) We have just seen an infinite dimensional example where "Markov uniqueness" fails, i.e. two generators of two different Markov C_0 -(contraction) semigroups which coincide on a common domain $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ which is dense in $L^2(E;\mu_i)$, i=1,2. And both semigroups are even strong Feller in this case. We note, however, that in contrast to the "classical" Markov uniquess problem, our two C_0 -semigroups live on different L^2 -spaces, namely $L^2(E;\mu_1)$ and $L^2(E;\mu_2)$. However, one can show that if one considers the Friedrichs extension $(L_{\mu_2,F},D(L_{\mu_2,F}))$ of the (by (5.13)) symmetric operator $(L,\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K))$ on $L^2(E;\mu_2)$, then the corresponding Dirichlet form does not coincide with the Dirichlet form corresponding to the symmetric Markov C_0 -semigroup $(T_t^{\mu_2})_{t\geq 0}$ introduced above (see [E99, Chap. 5b)1)]). Hence

$$(T_t^{\mu_2})_{t>0} \neq (e^{tL_{\mu_2,F}})_{t>0},$$

and both are symmetric Markov C_0 -semigroups on $L^2(E; \mu_2)$ with generators coinciding on $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$, with L defined in (5.12). This is a "true" counter-example to Markov-uniqueness (first discorred in [E99]) even for symmetric C_0 -semigroups and (at least) one of the two semigroups is even strong Feller. We stress that $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ is, of course, not an operator core for any of the generators of $(T_t^{\mu_2})_{t\geq 0}$ and $(e^{tL_{\mu_2,F}})_{t\geq 0}$ on $L^2(E;\mu)$.

(ii) Clearly, the above only occurs, because $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ is too small to determine L_{μ_2} , since it does not capture the boundary behaviour of A_2 , since $K \subset C_0^2((0,1))$, though $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ is dense in $L^2(E;\mu_2)$. For examples of non-Markov uniqueness avoiding this, we refer to [E99, Chap. 5b)2)].

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(K)$ is an operator core for $(L_{\mu_1},D(L_{\mu_1}))$ on $L^2(E;\mu_1)$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(K)$ is dense in $D(L_{\mu_1})$ with respect to the graph norm $\|\cdot\|_1:=\|\cdot\|_{L^2(E;\mu_1)}+\|L_{\mu_1}\cdot\|_{L^2(E;\mu_1)}$. Indeed, consider the Sobolev space $H_0^1:=H_0^1\Big((0,1);\mathrm{d}x\Big)$ of order 1 in $L^2((0,1);\mathrm{d}x)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then it is obvious from (5.10) that $\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(H_0^1)$ is in the closure of $\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(K)$ with respect to the graph norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. Furthermore, it is also obvious from the definition of $p_t^{(1)}$, $t\geq 0$, in (5.5), that $p_t^{(1)}(\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(H_0^1))\subset \mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(H_0^1)$ for all $t\geq 0$, since $e^{-tA_1}(H_0^1)\subset H_0^1$ for all $t\geq 0$. Hence by a theorem of Nelson (see [RS75, Theorem X.49]) if follows that $\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(H_0^1)$, hence $\mathcal{F}C_b^\infty(K)$ is dense in $D(L_{\mu_1})$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_1$.

We recall that μ_2 is *L*-infinitesimally invariant (for the domain $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$). Now we show that μ_2 is, however, not invariant for $p_t^{(1)}$, $t \geq 0$, hence giving the desired example for Question (A). This can be proved as follows. Fix $y \in E \setminus \{0\}$ and consider the function

$$f(z) := e^{i\langle y, z \rangle}, \ z \in E. \tag{5.15}$$

Then

$$\int_{E} f(z) \ \mu_{2}(\mathrm{d}z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \frac{1}{2}A_{2}^{-1}y, y \rangle}$$

and

$$p_t^{(1)}f(z) = e^{i\langle e^{-tA_1}y, z\rangle} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_t^{(1)}y, y\rangle},$$
(5.16)

hence

$$\int_{E} p_{t}^{(1)} f(z) \ \mu_{2}(\mathrm{d}z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \frac{1}{2}A_{2}^{-1}e^{-2tA_{1}}y, y\rangle} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Q_{t}^{(1)}y, y\rangle}.$$

But $Q_t^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}A_1^{-1}(1-e^{-2tA_1})$, so in general (just choose y in (5.15) to be an eigenvector of A_1)

$$\int_{E} f(z) \,\mu_{2}(\mathrm{d}z) \neq \int_{E} p_{t}^{(1)} f(z) \,\mu_{2}(\mathrm{d}z) \tag{5.17}$$

So, we have proved that μ_2 is not invariant for $p_t^{(1)}$, $t \ge 0$.

Remark 5.3. Clearly, the martingale problem for $(L, \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K))$ is not well-posed, because the laws of the solutions $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ of (5.7) are solutions to this martingale problem, but do not coincide. The reason is explained in Remark 5.2 (ii). It is, however, interesting to note that since, as explained above, $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K)$ is an operator core for $(L_{\mu_1}, D(L_{\mu_1}))$ in $L^2(E; \mu_1)$, it can be easily shown, that there exists at most one Markov selection for the martingale problem for $(L, \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K))$ such that the corresponding transition semigroup $(p_t)_{t\geq 0}$ extends to a C_0 -semigroup on $L^2(E; \mu_1)$.

Second Example:

Define the measure μ by

$$\mu := N(1, \frac{1}{2}A_1^{-1}), \tag{5.18}$$

i.e. the image of μ_1 , defined in (5.8), under the translation

$$E \ni z \mapsto z + 1 \in E$$
.

with 1 being the constant function equal to one on (0,1), we obtain a Gaussian (not centered) measure on E such that

$$L^*\mu = 0 \tag{5.19}$$

and μ is not invariant for $p_t^{(1)}, t \geq 0$. Indeed, (5.19) follows immediately from the definition of L (by (5.12), (5.10)) since

$$\langle A_1 k, 1 \rangle = \int_0^1 -\Delta k \, \mathrm{d}x = 0 \text{ for all } k \in K.$$

On the other hand, we have for f as in (5.15)

$$\int_{E} f(z) \,\mu(\mathrm{d}z) = e^{i\langle y, 1 \rangle} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle \frac{1}{2}A_{1}^{-1}y, y \rangle} \,,$$

but by (5.16)

$$\int_{E} p_{t}^{(1)} f(z) \, \mu(\mathrm{d}z) = \int_{E} p_{t}^{(1)} f(1+z) \, \mu_{1}(\mathrm{d}z)
= e^{i\langle e^{-tA_{1}}y, 1\rangle} \int_{E} p_{t}^{(1)} f(z) \, \mu_{1}(\mathrm{d}z)
= e^{i\langle e^{-tA_{1}}y, 1\rangle} \int_{E} f(z) \, \mu_{1}(\mathrm{d}z)
= e^{i\langle y, e^{-tA_{1}}1 - 1\rangle} \int_{E} f(z) \, \mu(\mathrm{d}z).$$
(5.20)

But, since $A_1 := -\Delta_D$, we know that

$$e^{-tA_1}1 \neq 1.$$

Hence μ is not invariant for $p_t^{(1)}, t \geq 0$.

Remark 5.4. It is very easy to check that in the above example $p_t^{(1)}$, $t \ge 0$, is symmetric with respect to μ_1 and that $(L, \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K))$ is symmetric on $L^2(E, \mu)$. So, we even have

$$\int Lu \, v \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int u \, Lv \, \mathrm{d}\mu \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(K),$$

which is stronger than (5.19).

6 Acknowledgements

It is a special pleasure for us to contribute to this volume in Honour of Professor Fukushima. He has been a great mentor for us, providing us much inspiration over many years. We take the opportunity to express to him our sincere gratitude, our friendship and great admiration. We also would like to thank Zdzislaw Brzezniak for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this paper. Financial support of the DFG through SFB 701, IGK 1132, and the HCM, as well as of the NCMIS, 973 project (2011CB808000), NSFC (11021161) and NSERC (Grant No. 311945-2013) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [Aid00] S. AIDA, On the irreducibility of Dirichlet forms on domains in infinite-dimensional spaces, Osaka J. Math. **37** (2000), no.4, 953-966.
- [Alb97] S. Albeverio, Wiener and Feynman-Path integrals and their Applications, AMS, Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics **52** (1997), 163-194.
- [Alb03] S. Albeverio, Theory of Dirichlet forms and applications, In:
 P. Bernard (ed.) Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 2000), In: Lecture Notes in Math. 1816 (2003), 1-106, Springer, Berlin.
- [ABR99] S. Albeverio, V. I. Bogachev and M. Röckner, On uniqueness of invariant measures for finite and infinite dimensional diffusions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52** (1999), 325-362.
- [AFHKL86] S. Albeverio, J.E. Fenstad, R. Høegh-Krohn and T. Lindstrøm, Nonstandard methods in stochastic analysis and mathematical physics, Pure and Applied Mathematics 122 (1986), Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL. Reprint: Dover Publications, Mineola, NY (2009).
- [AFe04] S. Albeverio and B. Ferrario, Uniqueness of solutions of the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with invariant measure given by the enstrophy, Ann. Probab. **32**(2) (2004), 1632-1649.
- [AFe08] S. Albeverio and B. Ferrario, Some methods of infinite dimensional analysis in hydrodynamics: an introduction, SPDE in hydrodynamic: recent progress and prospects, Lecture Notes in Math. 1942 (2008), 1-50.
- [AFH11] S. Albeverio, R. Fan and F.S. Herzberg, *Hyperfinite Dirichlet Forms and Stochastic Processes*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana **10** (2011), 1-63.
- [AFHMR92] S. ALBEVERIO, M. FUKUSHIMA, W. HANSEN, Z.M. MA AND M. RÖCKNER, An invariance result for capacities on Wiener space, J. Funct. Anal 106 (1992), 35-49.
- [AGHK79] S. Albeverio, G. Gallavotti and R. Høegh-Krohn, Some results for the exponential interaction in two or more dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. **70** (1979), 187-192.

- [AGW97] S. Albeverio, H. Gottschalk and J.-L. Wu, Models of local relativistic quantum fields with indefinite metric (in all dimensions), Comm. Math. Phys. **184**(3) (1997), 509-531.
- [AGY05] S. Albeverio, H. Gottschalk and M.W. Yoshida, Systems of classical particles in the grand canonical ensemble, scaling limits and quantum field theory, Rev. Math. Phys. 17(2) (2005), 175-226.
- [AHR01] S. Albeverio, Z. Haba and F. Russo, A two-space dimensional semilinear heat equation perturbed by (Gaussian) white space, PTRF 121 (2001), 319-366.
- [AHK74] S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, The Wightman axioms and the mass gap for strong interactions of exponential type in two-dimensional space-time, J. Funct. Anal. 16 (1974), 39-82.
- [AHK76] S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, Quasi invariant measures, symmetric diffusion processes and quantum fields, Editions du CNRS, Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Mathematical Methods of Quantum Field Theory, Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, No. 248 (1976), 11-59.
- [AHK77a] S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, Dirichlet forms and diffusion processes on rigged Hilbert spaces, Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete 40 (1977), 1-57.
- [AHK77b] S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, Hunt processes and analytic potential theory on rigged Hilbert spaces, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré (Probability Theory) 13 (1977), 269-291.
- [AHK82] S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, *Diffusions, quantum fields and groups of mappings*, Functional analysis in Markov processes, Lecture Notes in Math. **923** (1982), 133-145.
- [AHKS77] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn and L. Streit, *Energy forms, Hamiltonians, and distorted Brownian paths*, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977), 907-917.
- [AHPRS90a] S. Albeverio, T. Hida, J. Potthoff, M. Röckner and L. Streit, *Dirichlet forms in terms of white noise analysis I* Construction and QFT examples, Rev. Math. Phys 1 (1990), 291-312.

- [AHPRS90b] S. Albeverio, T. Hida, J. Potthoff, M. Röckner and L. Streit, *Dirichlet forms in terms of white noise analysis II -Closability and Diffusion Processes*, Rev. Math. Phys **1** (1990), 313-323.
- [AKaRö12] S. Albeverio, H. Kawabi, M. Röckner, Strong uniqueness for both Dirichlet operators and stochastic dynamics for Gibbs measures on a path space with exponential interactions, JFA **262** (2012), no. 2, 602-638.
- [AKKR09] S. Albeverio, Y. Kondratiev, Y. Kozitsky and M. Röckner, Statistical Mechanics of Quantum Lattice Systems: A Path Integral Approach, EMS Tracts Math. 8 (2009), European Mathematical Society.
- [AKMR] S. Albeverio, H. Kawabi, S. Mihalache and M. Röckner, Dirichlet form approach to stochastic quantization under exponential interaction in finite volume, in preparation.
- [AKR92] S. Albeverio, Y. Kondratiev and M. Röckner, An approximative criterium of essential selfadjointness of Dirichlet operators, Potential Anal. 1(3) (1992), 307-317.
- [AKR93] S. Albeverio, Y. Kondratiev and M. Röckner, Addendum to the paper 'An approximative criterium of essential selfadjointness of Dirichlet operators', Potential Anal. 2 (1993), 195-198.
- [AKR97a] S. Albeverio, Y.G. Kondratiev and M. Röckner, Ergodicity of L^2 -semigroups and extremality of Gibbs states, J. Funct. Anal. **144** (1997), 3394-423.
- [AKR97b] S. Albeverio, Y.G. Kondratiev and M. Röckner, Ergodicity for the stochastic dynamics of quasi-invariant measures with applications to Gibbs states, J. Funct. Anal. 149 (1997), 415-469.
- [AKR98] S. Albeverio, Y.G. Kondratiev and M. Röckner, Analysis and geometry on configuration space. The Gibbsian case, J. Funct. Anal. **157** (1998), 242-291.
- [AKR02] S. Albeverio, Y.G. Kondratiev and M. Röckner, Symmetryzing Measures for Infinite Dimensional Diffusions: An Analytic Approach, in Lect. Notes Math., "Geometric Analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations", S. Hildebrandt et. al., eds, Springer, Berlin, (2003), 475-486.
- [AL08] S. Albeverio, S. Liang, A remark on the nonequivalence of the time-zero ϕ_3^4 -mesure with the free field measure, Markov Processes Rel. Fields **14** (2008), 159-164.

- [ALZ06] S. Albeverio, S. Liang and B. Zegarliński, Remark on the integration by parts formula for the φ⁴₃-quantum field model, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 9 (2006), 149-154.
- [AM91] S. Albeverio, Z.M. Ma, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of m- processes associeted with Dirichlet form, Sém. de Prob. XXV, LNM 148 5, 374-406 (1991)
- [AM92] S. Albeverio, Z.M. Ma, A general correspondence between Dirichlet form and right processes, Bull AMS **26** (1992), 245-252.
- [AMR92a] S. Albeverio, Z.M. Ma and M. Röckner, Non-symmetric Dirichlet forms and Markov processes on general state space, CRA Sci., Paris **314** (1992), 77-82.
- [AMR92b] S. Albeverio, Z.M. Ma and M. Röckner, Regularization of Dirichlet spaces and applications, CRAS, Ser. I, Paris **314**(11) (1992), 859-864.
- [AMR92c] S. Albeverio, Z.M. Ma and M. Röckner, A Beurling-Deny structure theorem for Dirichlet forms on general state space, Cambridge University Press, R. Høegh-Krohn's Memorial Volume, Eds. S. Albeverio et al., Vol 1 (1992), 115-123.
- [AMR93] S. Albeverio, Z.M. Ma and M. Röckner, Quasi-regular Dirichlet forms and Markov processes, J. Funct. Anal. 111 (1993), 118-154.
- [AMU98] S. Albeverio, L.M. Morato and S. Ugolini, Non-Symmetric Diffusions and Related Hamiltonians, Potential Analysis 8 (1998), 195-204.
- [AR89a] S. Albeverio and M. Röckner, Dirichlet forms, quantum fields and stochastic quantization, Stochastic analysis, path integration and dynamics (K.D. Elworthy and J.C. Zambrini, eds.) Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 200, Longman, Harlow, 1989, pp 1-21.
- [AR89b] S. Albeverio and M. Röckner, Classical Dirichlet forms on topological vector spaces the construction of the associated diffusion process, Prob. Theory and Rel. Fields 83(3) (1989), 405-434.
- [AR90a] S. Albeverio and M. Röckner, Classical Dirichlet forms on topological vector spaces-Closability and Cameron-Martin formula, J. Funct. Anal. 88(2) (1990), 395-436.

- [AR90b] S. Albeverio and M. Röckner, New developments in theory and applications of Dirichlet forms "Stochastic Processes, Physics and Geometry, Ascona/Locarno, Switzerland, July 4-9, 1988" pp.27-76, World Scientific, Singapore, 1990
- [AR91] S. Albeverio and M. Röckner, Stochastic differential equations in infinite dimensions: solution via Dirichlet forms, Prob. Th. Rel. Fields 89(3) (1991), 347-386.
- [ARZ93a] S. Albeverio, M. Röckner and T.S. Zhang, Girsanov transform of symmetric diffusion processes in infinite dimensional space, Ann. of Prob. 21 (1993), 961-978.
- [ARZ93b] S. Albeverio, M. Röckner and T.S. Zhang, Markov uniqueness and its applications to martingale problems, stochastic differential equation and stochastic quantization, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada XV, no. 1, 1-6.
- [ARW01] S. Albeverio, B. Rüdiger and J.-L. Wu, Analytic and probabilistic aspects of Lévy processes and fields in quantum theory, Lévy processes (2001), 187-224, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston.
- [ARü02] S. Albeverio and B. Rüdiger, Infinite dimensional Stochastic Differential Equations obtained by subordination and related Dirichlet forms, J. Funct. Anal. **204**(1) (2003), 122-156.
- [ARü05] S. Albeverio and B. Rüdiger, Subordination of symmetric quasi-regular Dirichlet forms, Random Oper. Stochastic Equations 13(1) (2005), 17-38.
- [ASe14] S. Albeverio and A. Sengupta, From classical to quantum fields A mathematical approach, book in preparation.
- [AU00] S. Albeverio and S. Ugolini, Complex Dirichlet Forms: Non Symmetric Diffusion Processes and Schrödinger Operators, Potential Analysis 12 (2000), 403-417.
- [BBCK09] M.T. BARLOW, R.F. BASS, Z.Q. CHEN AND KASSMANN, Non-local Dirichlet forms and symmetric jump processes, TAMS **361** (2009), 1963-1999.
- [BK95] Y.M. BEREZANSKY AND Y.G. KONDRATIEV, Spectral Methods in Infinite-Dimensional Analysis, Kluwer, Vol 1,2 (1995), first issued in URSS 1988.
- [BD58] A. BEURLING AND J. DENY, Espaces de Dirichlet, Acta Math. 99 (1958), 203-224.

- [BD59] A. BEURLING AND J. DENY, *Dirichlet spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **45** (1959), 208-215.
- [BeBoR06] L. Beznea, N. Boboc and M. Röckner, Markov processes associated with L^p-resolvents and applications to stochastic differential equations on Hilbert space, J. Evol. Equ. 6 (2006), no.4, 754-772.
- [BeBoR08] L. Beznea, N. Boboc and M. Röckner, Markov processes associated with L^p-resolvents, applications to quasi-regular Dirichlet forms and stochastic differential equations, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **346**(5-6) (2008), 323-328.
- [BCR14] L. Beznea, J. Cimpian and M. Röckner, Irreducible recurrence, ergodicity and extremality of invariant measures for L^p -resolvents, pp.25, Preprint 2014.
- [BhK93] A.G. Bhatt and R.L. Karandikar, Invariant measures and evolution equations for Markov processes characterized via martingale problems, Ann. Prob. 21 (1993), 2246-2268.
- [BiT07] M. BIROLI AND N. TCHOU, Γ-convergence for strongly local Dirichlet forms in perforated domain with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 17 (2007), 149-179.
- [BKRS14] V.I. BOGACHEV, N.V. KRYLOV, M. RÖCKNER AND S. SHA-POSHNIKOV, *Fokker-Planck Kolmogorov equations*, Monograph, pp. 490, publication in preparation.
- [BoR95] V.I. Bogachev and M. Röckner, Mehler formula and capacities for infinite dimensional OrnsteinUhlenbeck processes with general linear drift, Osaka J. Math. 32 (1995), 237-274.
- [BoRöZh00] V. Bogachev, M. Röckner and T.S. Zhang, Existence and uniqueness of invariant measures: an approach via sectorial forms, Appl. Math. Optim. 41(1) (2000), 87-109.
- [BRSt00] V.I. BOGACHEV, M. RÖCKNER AND W. STANNAT, Uniqueness of invariant measures and maximal dissipativity of diffusion operators on L¹, Infinite dimensional Stochastic Analysis (P. Clément et al., eds.), Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, Amsterdam, 2000, pp. 39-54.
- [BCM88] V.S. BORKAR, R.T. CHARI AND S.K. MITTER, Stochastic quantization of field theory in finite and infinite volume, J. Funct. Anal. 81(1) (1988), 184-206.

- [Bou03] N. Bouleau, Error calculus for finance and physics: the language of Dirichlet form, de Gruyter, Berlin (2003).
- [BH91] N. BOULEAU AND F. HIRSCH, Dirichlet Forms and Analysis on Wiener Space, deGruyter, Studies in Mathematics 14 (1991).
- [CF12] Z.Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, Symmetric Markov Processes, Time change, and Boundary Theory, Princeton University Press, 2012.
- [CMR94] Z.Q. Chen, Z.M. Ma and M.Röckner, Quasihomeomorphisms of Dirichlet forms, Nagoja J.Math. 136 (1994), 1-15.
- [DPD03] G. DA PRATO AND A. DEBUSSCHE, Strong solutions to the stochastic quantization equations, Ann. Probab. **31**(4) (2003), 1900-1916.
- [DPZ92] G. DA PRATO AND J. ZABCZYK, Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).
- [DPT00] G. DA PRATO AND L. TUBARO, Self-adjointness of some infinite dimensional elliptic operators and applications to stochastic quantization, Prob. Theory Related Fields 118(1) (2000), 131-145.
- [Den70] J. Deny, Méthodes hilbertiennes en théorie du potentiel, In: Potential Theory (C.I.M.E., I Ciclo, Stresa, 1969) (1970), 121-201, Edizioni Cremonese, Rome.
- [DG97] Z. Dong and F.Z. Gong, A necessary and sufficient condition for quasiregularity of Dirichlet forms corresponding to resolvent kernels, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica **20**(3) (1997), 378-385.
- [E99] A. EBERLE, Uniqueness and Non-Uniqueness of Semigroups generated by Singular Diffusion Operators, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1718, Springer, Berlin 1999.
- [Eche82] P.E. Echeverria, A criterium for invariant measures of Markov processes, W. Th. Vens. Geb. **61** (1982), 1-16.
- [EK86] S.N. ETHIER AND T.G. KURTZ, Markov Processes. Characterization and Convergence, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics (1986).
- [FeyLa91] D. FEYEL AND A. DE LA PRADELLE, Capacités gaussiennes, Ann. Inst. Fourier 41 (1991), 49-76.

- [Frö74] J. Fröhlich, Verification of axioms for Euklidean and relativistic fields and Haag's theorem in a class of $P(\varphi)_2$ models, Ann. I. H. Poincaré **21** (1974), 271-317.
- [FrP77] J. FRÖHLICH AND T.M. PARK, Remarks on exponential interactions and the quantum sine-Gordon equation in two space-time dimensions, HPA **50** (1977), 315-329.
- [Fu71a] M. Fukushima, Regular representations of Dirichlet spaces, TAMS **155** (1971), 455-473.
- [Fu71b] M. Fukushima, Dirichlet spaces and strong Markov processes, TAMS **162** (1971), 185-224.
- [Fu80] M. Fukushima, Dirichlet Forms and Markov processes, North Holland (1980), Amsterdam.
- [F82] M. FUKUSHIMA, A note on irreducibility and ergodicity of symmetric Markov processes, in "Proceedings Marseille", Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 173, pp. 200-207, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [Fu84] M. Fukushima, A Dirichlet form on the Wiener space and properties of Brownian motion, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1096, 290-300, Springer, 1984.
- [Fu92] M. Fukushima, (r, p) capacities and Hunt processes in infinite dimensions, in A.N. Shiryaev et al., eds, 96-103, Probability, Theory and Mathematical Statistics (1992), World Scient, Singapore.
- [Fu10] M. Fukushima, From one dimensional diffusions to symmetric Markov processes, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **120** (2010), 590-604.
- [FH01] M. Fukushima and M. Hino, On the space of BV functions and a related stochastic calculus in infinite dimensions, J. Funct. Anal. 183 (2001), 245-268.
- [FOT11] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet forms* and symmetric Markov processes, 2nd revised and extended ed., de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **19** (2011), Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin.
- [GaGo96] D. GATAREK AND B. GOLDYS, Existence, uniqueness and ergodicity for the stochastic quantization equation, Studia Math. 119 (1996), 179-193.
- [GJ81] J. GLIMM AND A. JAFFE, Quantum Physics, Springer, New York, 1981; 2nd ed., 1986.

- [GriH08] A. GRIGORÝAN AND J. Hu, Off-diagonal upper estimates for the heat normal of the Dirichlet forms on metric spaces, Inv. Math. 174 (2008), 81-126.
- [Gro76] L. Gross, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, Amer. J. Math. 97 (1976), 1061-1083.
- [GRS75] F. GUERRA, J. ROSEN AND B. SIMON, The $P(\Phi)_2$ -Euclidean quantum field theory as classical statistical mechanics, Ann. Math. **101** (1975), 111-259.
- [Hai14] M. Hairer, A theory of regularity structures, ArXive-prints (2013), arXiv: 1303.51113.
- [HKPS93] T. HIDA, H.-H. KUO, J. POTTHOFF AND L. STREIT, White Noise: An Infinite Dimensional Calculus, Math. Appl. 253 (1993), Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht.
- [Hi10] M. Hino, Energy measures and indices of Dirichlet forms, with applications to derivatives on some fractals, Proc. Lond. Math. foc. **100** (2010), 269-302.
- [HK71] R. HØEGH-KROHN, A general class of quantum fields without cut-offs in two space-time dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. 21 (1971), 244-255.
- [HK98] Y. Hu and G. Kallianpur, Exponential Integrability and Application to Stochastic Quantization, Appl. Math. Optim. 37 (1998), 295-353.
- [Iwa85] K. IWATA, Reversible measures of a $P(\phi)_1$ -time evolution, Probabilistic methods in mathematical physics (1985), 195-209.
- [Iwa87] K. IWATA, An infinite dimensional stochastic differential equation with state space $C(\mathbb{R})$, Probab. Theory Related Fields **74** (1987), 141-159.
- [Jac01] N. Jacob, Pseudo-Differential Operators and Markov Processes, Vol. I. Fourier analysis and semigroups (2001), Imperial College Press, London.
- [JS00] N. JACOB AND R.L. SCHILLING, Fractional derivatives, nonsymmetric and time-dependent Dirichlet forms and the drift form, Z. Anal. Anwendungen 19(3) (2000), 801-830.
- [JLM85] G. Jona-Lasinio and P.K. Mitter, On the stochastic quantization of field theory, Comm. Math. Phys. **101** (1985), 409-436.

- [Jo95] R. Jost, Foundations of Quantum Field Theory, pp. 153-169 in
 R. Jost, Das Märchen vom Elfenbeinernen Turm, Hrsg. K. Hepp
 et al., Springer, Berlin (1995).
- [KaYa05] H. Kaneko, K. Yasuda, Capacities associated with Dirichlet space on an infinite extension of a local field, Forum Math. 17 (2005), 1011-1032.
- [KKVW09] V. KANT, T. KLAUSS, J. VOIGT, M. WEBER, Dirichlet forms for singular one-dimensional operators and on graphs, J. Evol. Equ. 9 (2009), 637-659.
- [KaStr14] W. Karwowski and L. Streit, contribution to this volume, .
- [KR07] H. KAWABI AND M. RÖCKNER, Essential self-adjointness of Dirichlet operators on a path space with Gibbs measures via an SPDE approach, J. Funct. Anal 242 (2007), 486-518.
- [Kol06] A.V. Kolesnikov, Mosco convergence of Dirichlet forms in infinite dimensions with changing reference measures, JFA 230 (2006), 382-418.
- [KT91] Y. KONDRATIEV AND T.V. TSYCALENKO, Dirichlet operators and associated differential equations, Selecta Math. Sovietica 10(4) (1991), 345-397.
- [Ku92] S. Kusuoka, Høegh-Krohn's model of quantum fields and the absolute continuity of measures, pp. 405-424 in S. Albeverio, J.E. Fenstad, H. Holden, T. Lindstrøm, eds., Ideas and Methods in Mathematical Analysis, stochastics, and Applications, Cambridge Univ. Press (1992).
- [Kus82] S. Kusuoka, Dirichlet forms and diffusion processes on Banach spaces, J. Fac. Sc. Univ. Tokyo 29 (1982), 79-95.
- [KS03] K. Kuwae and T. Shioya, Sobolev and Dirichlet spaces over maps between metric spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. 555 (2003), 39-75.
- [LW99] A. LAPTEV AND T. WEIDL, Hardy inequalities for magnetic Dirichlet forms, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 108 (1999), 299-305.
- [LM72] J.L. LIONS AND E. MAGENES, Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [LR98] V. LISKEVICH AND M. RÖCKNER, Strong Uniqueness for Certain Infinite Dimensional Dirichlet Operators and Applications

- to Stochastic Quantization, Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa **27**(1) (1998), 69-91.
- [Ma95] Z.M. MA, Quasiregular Dirichlet forms and applications, Proc. ICM 1994, Zürich, Switzerland, 1994, Birkhäuser, Basel (1995).
- [MR92] Z.M. MA AND M. RÖCKNER, Introduction to the theory of (non-symmetric) Dirichlet forms, Springer, Berlin, 1992
- [MR10] C. Marinelli and M. Röckner, On uniqueness of mild solutions for dissipative stochastic evolution equations, IDAQP 13 (2010), no. 3, 363-376.
- [MZ10] C. Marinelli and G. Ziglio, Ergodicity for nonlinear stochastic evolution equations with multiplicative Poisson noise, Dyn. PDE 7 (2010), 1-23.
- [Mi06] S. MIHALACHE, Stochastische Quantisierung bei exponentieller Wechselwirkung, Diploma Thesis, Bonn (2006).
- [MiRo99] R. MIKULEVICIUS AND B. ROZOVSKII, Martingale problems for stochastic PDE's, pp. 243-325 in Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: Six Perspectives, R. Carmona and B. Rozovskii, eds., AMS (1999).
- [Mi89] S. MITTER, Markov random fields, stochastic quantization and image analysis, Math. Appl. **56** (1989), 101-109.
- [N73] E. NELSON, The free Markov field J. Funct. Anal. 12 (1973), 211-227
- [Osh04] Y. Oshima, Time-dependent Dirichlet forms and related stochastic calculus, IDAQP 7 (2004), 281-316.
- [PaWu81] G. Parisi and Y.S. Wu, Perturbation theory without gauge fixing, Sci. Sinica 24 (1981), 483-496.
- [PeZ07] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk, Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise. An evolution equation approach, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 113, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007).
- [RS75] M. REED AND B. SIMON, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1975
- [RhVa13] R. Rhodes, V. Vargas, Gaussian multiplicative chaos and applications, a seriens arXiv (2013)

- [R86] M. RÖCKNER, Specifications and Martin boundaries for $P(\Phi)_2$ random fields, Commun. Math. Phys. **106** (1986), 105-135.
- [R88] M. RÖCKNER, Traces of harmonic functions and a new path space for the free quantum field, J. Funct. Anal. **79** (1988), 211-249.
- [R98] M. RÖCKNER, L^p-analysis of finite and infinite dimensional diffusion operators, Stochastic PDE's and Kolmogorov's equations in infinite dimensions (Giuseppe Da Prato, ed.), Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1715, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 401-408.
- [RS92] M. RÖCKNER AND B. SCHMULAND, Tightness of general $C_{1,p}$ capacities on Banach space, J. Funct. Anal. 108 (1992), 1-12
- [RZ92] M. RÖCKNER AND T.S. ZHANG, Uniqueness of generalized Schrödinger operators and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 105 (1992), 187-231
- [SU07] R.L. SCHILLING AND T. UEMURA, On the Feller property of Dirichlet forms generated by pseudo differential operators, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **59**(3) (2007), 401-422.
- [SS03] B. Schmuland and W. Sun, The maximum Markovian selfadjoint extensions of Dirichlet operators for interacting particle systems, Forum Math. 15(4) (2003), 615-638.
- [ST92] I. SHIGEKAWA AND S. TANIGUCHI, Dirichlet forms on separable metric spaces, Ed. A.N. Shiryaev et al., World Scient. Singapore, Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics (1992), 324-353.
- [Sil74] M.L. SILVERSTEIN, Symmetric Markov Processes, Lect. Notes in Maths. **426** (1974), Springer, Berlin.
- [Sil76] M.L. Silverstein, Boundary Theory for Symmetric Markov Processes, Lect. Notes in Maths. **516** (1976), Springer, Berlin.
- [Si74] B. SIMON, The $P(\Phi)_2$ -Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974
- [Sta99a] W. Stannat, Theory of generalized Dirichlet forms and its applications in analysis and stochastics, Am. Math. Soc. **142**(678) (1999), 1-101.
- [Sta99b] W. Stannat, (Nonsymmetric) Dirichlet operators on L¹: existence, uniqueness and associated Markov processes, Annali. Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa Cl. Sci (4) 28 (1999), 99-140.

- [StV06] D.W. STROOCK AND S.R.S. VARADHAN, Multidimensional diffusion processes, Reprint of the 1997 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin (2006).
- [Tak92] M. TAKEDA, The maximum Markovian self-adjoint extensions of generalized Schrödinger operators, J. Math. Soc. Jap. 44 (1992), 113-130.
- [Y89] J. A. Yan Generalizations of Gross' and Minlos' theorems, In: Azema, J., Meyer, P.A., Yor, M. (eds.) Séminaire de Probabilités. XXII(Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1372, pp. 395-404) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1989