

HARNACK INEQUALITY AND APPLICATIONS FOR STOCHASTIC GENERALIZED POROUS MEDIA EQUATIONS *

BY FENG-YU WANG

Beijing Normal University

By using coupling and Girsanov transformations, the dimension-free Harnack inequality and the strong Feller property are proved for transition semigroups of solutions to a class of stochastic generalized porous media equations. As applications, explicit upper bounds of the L^p -norm of the density as well as hypercontractivity, ultracontractivity and compactness of the corresponding semigroup are derived.

1. Introduction. The dimension-free Harnack inequality, first introduced by the author in [19] for diffusions on Riemannian manifolds, has been applied and extended intensively in the study of finite- and infinite-dimensional diffusion semigroups, see e.g. [16, 17, 20, 22] for applications to contractivity properties and functional inequalities, [1, 2, 11] for applications to short time behaviors of infinite-dimensional diffusions, and [7, 8] for applications to the transportation-cost inequality and heat kernel estimates.

To establish the dimension-free Harnack inequality, the gradient estimate of the type $|\nabla P_t f| \leq e^{Kt} P_t |\nabla f|$ has played a key role in the above mentioned references, where the gradient is induced by the underlying diffusion coefficient. On the other hand, however, in many cases the semigroup is not regular enough to satisfy this gradient estimate; indeed, this gradient estimate is equivalent to Bakry-Emery's curvature condition for a very general framework as in [5]. To establish the dimension-free Harnack inequality on manifolds with unbounded below curvatures, a new approach is developed in the recent work [3] by using coupling and Girsanov transformations.

In this paper, we intend to study the transition semigroup for solutions to a class of stochastic generalized porous media equations, for which the semigroup is merely known to be Lipschitzian in the natural norm rather than in the intrinsic distance (cf. [6]). So, we are not able to prove the Harnack inequality by using intrinsic gradient estimates. On the other hand,

*Supported in part by NNSFC(10121101) and RFDP(20040027009).

AMS 2000 subject classifications: Primary 60H15; secondary 76S05

Keywords and phrases: Harnack inequality, stochastic generalized porous medium equation, ultracontractivity

since the intrinsic distance is usually too big to be exponential integrable w.r.t. the underlying reference measure, we prefer to establish a Harnack inequality depending only on the natural norm. Such a stronger inequality will provide more information including the strong Feller property and the ultracontractivity of the semigroup. To modify the argument in [3], we shall construct a new coupling which only depends on the natural distance rather than the intrinsic one between the marginal processes (see Section 2 below).

Strong solutions of the stochastic generalized porous medium equation have been studied intensively in recent years: see [6] for the existence, uniqueness and long-time behavior of some stochastic generalized porous media equations with finite reference measures; see [12] for the stochastic porous media equation on \mathbf{R}^d where the reference (Lebesgue) measure is infinite; and see [18] for large deviation principles. Recently, a general result concerning existence and uniqueness is presented in [15] for strong solutions of stochastic generalized porous media and fast diffusion equations.

Let $(E, \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{m})$ be a separable probability space and $(L, \mathcal{D}(L))$ a negative definite self-adjoint linear operator on $L^2(\mathbf{m})$ having discrete spectrum. Let

$$(0 <) \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

be all eigenvalues of $-L$ with unit eigenfunctions $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$.

To state our equation, we first introduce the state space of the solutions. Let H be the completion of $L^2(\mathbf{m})$ under the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_H := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle y, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product in $L^2(\mathbf{m})$. It is well-known that H is the dual space of the Sobolev space $H^1 := \mathcal{D}((-L)^{1/2})$ and hence, is often denoted by H^{-1} in the literature. Let \mathcal{L}_{HS} denote the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $L^2(\mathbf{m})$ to H . Let W_t be the cylindrical Brownian motion on $L^2(\mathbf{m})$ w.r.t. a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$; that is, $W_t := \{B_t^i e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ for a sequence of independent one-dimensional \mathcal{F}_t -Brownian motions $\{B_t^i\}$. Let

$$\Psi, \Phi : [0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

be progressively measurable and continuous in the second variable, and let

$$Q : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{HS}$$

be progressively measurable such that

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \|Q_t\|_{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}^2 dt < \infty, \quad T > 0.$$

We consider the equation

$$(1.2) \quad dX_t = \{L\Psi(t, X_t) + \Phi(t, X_t)\}dt + Q_t dW_t.$$

In particular, if $\Phi = 0, Q = 0$ and $\Psi(t, s) := |s|^{r-1}s$ for some $r > 1$, then (1.2) reduces back to the classical porous medium equation (see e.g. [4]).

In general, for a fixed number $r \geq 1$, we assume that there exist functions $\delta, \eta, \gamma, \sigma \in C([0, \infty))$ with $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\Psi(t, s)| + |\Phi(t, s) - \sigma_t s| \leq \eta_t(1 + |s|^r), \quad s \in \mathbf{R}, t \geq 0, \\ & 2\langle \Psi(t, x) - \Psi(t, y), y - x \rangle - 2\langle \Phi(t, x) - \Phi(t, y), L^{-1}(x - y) \rangle \\ & \leq -\delta_t^2 \|x - y\|_{r+1}^{r+1} + \gamma_t \|x - y\|_H^2, \quad x, y \in L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m}), \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where and in the sequel, $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the norm in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ for $p \geq 1$. A very simple example satisfying (1.3) is that $\Psi(t, s) := |s|^{r-1}s$ and $\Phi(t, s) := \gamma_t s$.

By the first inequality in (1.3), the first term in the left hand side of the second inequality makes sense for any $x, y \in L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m})$. Since L^{-1} is bounded in $L^2(\mathbf{m})$, if $|\Phi(t, s)| \leq \sigma_t(1 + |s|^{(r+1)/2})$ for some positive $\sigma \in C([0, \infty))$, then the another term $\langle \Phi(t, x) - \Phi(t, y), L^{-1}(x - y) \rangle$ makes sense too. Otherwise, since the first condition in (1.3) only implies $|\Phi(t, s)| \leq \eta_t(1 + |s|^r)$, in general, to make the second condition in (1.3) meaningful, we should and do assume that L^{-1} is bounded in $L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m})$. In particular, this assumption holds automatically if L is a Dirichlet operator (cf. e.g. [14]).

Recall that an adapted continuous process X_t is called a solution to (1.2) if (cf. [6])

$$\mathbf{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{r+1}^{r+1} dt < \infty, \quad T > 0$$

and for any $f \in L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X_t, f \rangle_H &= \langle X_0, f \rangle_H - \int_0^t \mathbf{m}(f\Psi(s, X_s) + \Phi(s, X_s)L^{-1}f) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle Q(s, X_s) dW_s, f \rangle_H, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (1.1), (1.3) and [13, Theorems II.2.1 and II.2.2], for any $X_0 \in L^2(\Omega \rightarrow H; \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbf{P})$ the equation (1.2) has a unique solution (cf. Theorem

A.2 below). For any $x \in H$, let $X_t(x)$ be the unique solution to (1.2) with $X_0 = x$. Define

$$P_t F(x) := \mathbf{E}F(X_t(x)), \quad x \in H$$

for any bounded measurable function F on H .

We first study Harnack inequalities for P_t . To this end, we assume that $Q_t(\omega)$ is non-degenerate for $t > 0$ and $\omega \in \Omega$; that is, $Q_t(\omega)x = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Let

$$\|x\|_{Q_t} := \begin{cases} \|y\|_2, & \text{if } y \in L^2(\mathbf{m}), Q_t y = x, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call $\|\cdot\|_{Q_t}$ the intrinsic distance induced by Q_t .

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume (1.1) and (1.3). If there exists a nonnegative constant $\theta \in (r-3, r-1]$ such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \|x\|_{r+1}^{r+1} \geq \xi_t^2 \|x\|_{Q_t}^{2+\theta} \|x\|_H^{r-1-\theta}, \quad x \in L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m}), t \geq 0$$

holds on Ω for some strictly positive function $\xi \in C([0, \infty))$, then for any $t > 0$, P_t is strong Feller and for any positive bounded measurable function F on H , any $\alpha > 1$, and any $x, y \in H$,

$$(1.5) \quad (P_t F)^\alpha(y) \leq (P_t F^\alpha(x)) \exp \left[\frac{\alpha c(\theta, t) \|x - y\|_H^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}}{(\alpha - 1)} \right],$$

where

$$c(\theta, t) := \frac{2(4+\theta)^{(6+2\theta)/(2+\theta)} \left(\int_0^t \delta_s^2 \xi_s^2 \exp \left[-\frac{3-r+\theta}{4+\theta} \int_0^s \gamma_u du \right] ds \right)^{\theta/(2+\theta)}}{(3-r+\theta)^{(6+2\theta)/(2+\theta)} \left(\int_0^t \delta_s \xi_s \exp \left[-\frac{3-r+\theta}{4+\theta} \int_0^s \gamma_u du \right] ds \right)^2}.$$

Unlike known Harnack inequalities established in [1, 2, 11] where the involved distance is almost surely infinite, (1.5) only includes the usual norm on the state space H . This enables one to derive stronger regularity properties of the semigroup, such as the strong Feller property of P_t and estimates of its transition density $p_t(x, y)$. Moreover, as have done in [16, 19, 20] that this inequality can also be applied to derive the hypercontractivity and ultracontractivity of the semigroup (cf. Theorem 1.2 below).

To apply Theorem 1.1 to contractivity properties of P_t , we consider the following time-homogenous case.

THEOREM 1.2. *Assume (1.1), (1.3) with $\gamma \leq 0$, and (1.4) for some nonnegative constant $\theta > r-3$. Furthermore, let Ψ, Φ and Q be deterministic and time-free such that $\xi, \delta > 0$ and γ are constant with $\gamma 1_{\{r=1\}} < \delta^2 \lambda_1$.*

- (1) *The Markov semigroup P_t has an invariant probability measure μ with full support on H and $\mu(e^{\varepsilon_0 \|\cdot\|_H^{r+1}} + \|\cdot\|_{r+1}^{r+1}) < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. If in addition $\gamma \leq 0$ then the invariant probability measure is unique.*
- (2) *For any $x \in H$, any $t > 0$ and any $\alpha > 1$, the transition density $p_t(x, y)$ of P_t w.r.t. μ satisfies*

(1.6)

$$\|p_t(x, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq \left\{ \int_H \exp \left[- \frac{\alpha c(\theta) \|x - y\|_H^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}}{\left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} (1 - \exp[-\frac{3-r+\theta}{4+\theta} \gamma t]) \right\}^{(4+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} \right] \mu(dy) \right\}^{-(\alpha-1)/\alpha},$$

where $c(\theta) := 2(4+\theta)/(3-r+\theta)(\xi\delta)^{4/(4+\theta)}$ and when $\gamma = 0$, the right hand side means its limit as $\gamma \downarrow 0$.

- (3) *If $r = 1$ then P_t is hyperbounded (i.e. $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)} < \infty$) and compact on $L^2(\mu)$ for some $t > 0$. If moreover $\gamma \leq 0$ then P_t is hypercontractive, i.e. $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)} \leq 1$ for large $t > 0$.*
- (4) *If $r > 1$ then P_t is ultracontractive and compact on $L^2(\mu)$ for any $t > 0$. More precisely, there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$(1.7) \quad \|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)} \leq \exp [c(1 + t^{-(1+r)/(r-1)})], \quad t > 0.$$

To apply Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, one has to verify condition (1.4). To this end, we present below some simple sufficient conditions for (1.4) to hold.

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let $Qe_i := q_i e_i$ for $i \geq 1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_i^2}{\lambda_i} < \infty$, so that Q is Hilbert-Schmidt from $L^2(\mathbf{m})$ to H . If $\inf_i q_i^2 > 0$ then (1.4) holds for any nonnegative constant $\theta \in (r-3, r-1]$ and a constant function $\xi > 0$. Consequently, if moreover Ψ and Φ are deterministic and time-free such that (1.3) holds with $\gamma 1_{\{r=1\}} < \lambda_1 \delta^2$, then all assertions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold for $\theta \in (r-3, r-1] \cap [0, \infty)$.*

PROOF. Simply note that $\|\cdot\|_{r+1}^2 \geq \|\cdot\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{\inf_i q_i^2} \|\cdot\|_Q^2$. □

Remark 1.1. In Corollary 1.3 there are two conditions on q_i , where $\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{q_i^2}{\lambda_i} < \infty$ means that $\{q_i^2\}$ should be small enough as $i \rightarrow \infty$ but the other says

that the sequence should be at least uniformly positive. In particular, such sequence exists if the spectrum of L is discrete enough such that $\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} < \infty$. This is the case if e.g. $L = \Delta$ on a bounded domain in \mathbf{R} with the Dirichlet boundary condition, or more generally, L is the Laplace operator on a post critical finite self-similar fractal with $s > 0$ the Hausdorff dimension of the fractal in the effective resistance metric. In the first case it is well-known that $\lambda_i \geq ci^2$ for some $c > 0$ and all $i \geq 1$, while according to [10, Theorem 2.11] for the second case one has $\lambda_i \geq ci^{(s+1)/s}$ for some $c > 0$ and all $i \geq 1$. See Section 3 below for more examples of L in an abstract framework including high order elliptic differential operators on \mathbf{R}^d .

Complete proofs of the above two theorems will be presented in Section 2. Assertions in Theorem 1.2 are direct consequences of Theorem 1.1 as soon as the desired concentration of μ is confirmed. To prove the first theorem, we adopt the coupling method and Girsanov transformations as in [3]. Comparing to the argument developed in [19], this method enables one to avoid verifying (intrinsic) gradient estimates of the semigroup.

In section 3, concrete sufficient conditions for Corollary 1.3 to hold are provided in Section 3 for a large class of linear operators L in a rather abstract framework. Finally, in Appendix we confirm the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1.2) as well as the existence and uniqueness of our coupling constructed below (cf. (2.2)).

2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

2.1. *The main idea.* To make the proofs easy to follow, let us first briefly explain the main idea to obtain a Harnack inequality using coupling. Let $x \neq y$ be two fixed points in H , and let $T > 0$ be a fixed time. Let $X_t(x)$ and $X_t(y)$ be the solutions to (1.2) with initial data x and y respectively. If

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(x, y) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t(x) = X_t(y)\} \leq T, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Then by the uniqueness of the solution, we have $X_T(x) = X_T(y)$ a.s. Thus, for any nonnegative measurable function F on H ,

$$P_T f(x) := \mathbf{E}F(X_T(x)) = \mathbf{E}F(X_T(y)) = P_T F(y).$$

This is much more than the Harnack inequality we wanted. Of course, in general (2.1) is wrong since it is so strong that P_T maps any bounded function to constant. What we can hope is that $\tau(x, y) \leq T$ happens in a high

probability (for x and y close enough). This is however not sufficient to imply the Harnack inequality.

To ensure that $\tau(x, y) \leq T$ happens in probability 1, we shall add a strong enough drift term which forces $X_t(y)$ to move to $X_t(x)$. To this end, let us take a constant $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$ and a reference function $\beta \in C([0, \infty); \mathbf{R}_+)$, and consider the modified equation

$$(2.2) \quad dY_t = \left\{ L\Psi(t, Y_t) + \Phi(t, Y_t) + \frac{\beta_t(X_t - Y_t)}{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^\varepsilon} 1_{\{t < \tau\}} \right\} dt + Q_t dW_t, \quad Y_0 = y,$$

where $X_t := X_t(x)$ and $\tau := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = Y_t\}$.

By Theorem A.2 below, (2.2) has a unique solution. Moreover, by the uniqueness, we have $X_t = Y_t$ for $t \geq \tau$.

Now, to derive the desired Harnack inequality, we need only to find out $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonnegative function β_t such that

- (i) $\tau \leq T$ a.s.
- (ii) $\mathbf{E} \exp \left[\int_0^T \frac{\beta_t^2}{2} \|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{-2\varepsilon} \|X_t - Y_t\|_{Q_t}^2 dt \right] < \infty$.

Let

$$\zeta_t := \frac{\beta_t Q_t^{-1}(X_t - Y_t)}{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^\varepsilon} 1_{\{t < \tau\}}.$$

Once (i) and (ii) are confirmed, we may rewrite (2.2) as

$$dY_t = (L\Psi(t, Y_t) + \Phi(t, Y_t))dt + Q_t d\tilde{W}_t, \quad Y_0 = y,$$

where

$$\tilde{W}_t := W_t + \int_0^t \zeta_s ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By (ii) and Girsanov's theorem, it is easy to see that $\{\tilde{W}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a cylindrical Brownian motion on $L^2(\mathbf{m})$ under the weighted probability measure $R\mathbf{P}$, where

$$R := \exp \left[\int_0^T \langle dW_t, \zeta_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\zeta_t\|_2^2 dt \right].$$

Thus, by the uniqueness of the solution, the distribution of $\{Y_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ under $R\mathbf{P}$ coincides with that of $\{X_t(y)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ under \mathbf{P} . Therefore, combining this with (i) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
P_T F(y) &= \mathbf{E} R F(Y_T) = \mathbf{E} R F(X_T) \\
(2.3) \quad &\leq (\mathbf{E} R^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)})^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha} (\mathbf{E} F(X_T)^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} \\
&= (\mathbf{E} R^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)})^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha} (P_T F^\alpha(x))^{1/\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the desired Harnack inequality follows by estimating the moments of R .

2.2. *Proofs.* We first study (i). By (1.3) and the Itô formula due to [13, Theorem I.3.2], we have

$$d\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^2 \leq (-\delta_t^2 \|X_t - Y_t\|_{r+1}^{r+1} + \gamma_t \|X_t - Y_t\|_H^2 - \beta_t \|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{2-\varepsilon}) dt, \quad t \leq T.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad &d\{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^2 e^{-\int_0^t \gamma_s ds}\} \\
&\leq -(\delta_t^2 \|X_t - Y_t\|_{r+1}^{r+1} + \beta_t \|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{2-\varepsilon}) e^{-\int_0^t \gamma_s ds} dt, \quad t \leq T.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.1. *If β satisfies*

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^T \exp\left[-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \gamma_s ds\right] \beta_t dt \geq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|x - y\|_H^\varepsilon,$$

then $X_T = Y_T$.

PROOF. By (2.4),

$$\frac{2}{\varepsilon} d\{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^2 e^{-\int_0^t \gamma_s ds}\}^{\varepsilon/2} \leq -\beta_t e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \gamma_s ds} dt, \quad t \leq \tau.$$

If $T < \tau$ then it follows from this and (2.5) that

$$\{\|X_T - Y_T\|_H^2 e^{-\int_0^T \gamma_s ds}\}^{\varepsilon/2} - \|x - y\|_H^\varepsilon \leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^T \beta_t e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \gamma_s ds} dt \leq -\|x - y\|_H^\varepsilon.$$

This implies $X_T = Y_T$ and hence, is contradictory to $T < \tau$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By (2.4), (1.4) and letting $\varepsilon := (3 - r + \theta)/(4 + \theta)$ which is in $(0, 1/2]$ since $\theta \in [0, r - 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^2 e^{-\int_0^t \gamma_s ds}\}^\varepsilon \\
& \leq -\varepsilon \delta_t^2 \|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{2(\varepsilon-1)} e^{-\varepsilon \int_0^t \gamma_s ds} \|X_t - Y_t\|_{r+1}^{r+1} dt \\
(2.6) \quad & \leq -\varepsilon \delta_t^2 \xi_t^2 \|X_t - Y_t\|_{Q_t}^{2+\theta} e^{-\varepsilon \int_0^t \gamma_s ds} \|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{2(\varepsilon-1)+r-1-\theta} dt \\
& = -\varepsilon \delta_t^2 \xi_t^2 e^{-\varepsilon \int_0^t \gamma_s ds} \frac{\|X_t - Y_t\|_{Q_t}^{2+\theta}}{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{(2+\theta)\varepsilon}} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(2.7) \quad \beta_t^2 := c^2 \delta_t^2 \xi_t^2 e^{-\varepsilon \int_0^t \gamma_s ds}, \quad c := \frac{2\|x - y\|_H^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon \int_0^T \delta_t \xi_t \exp[-\varepsilon \int_0^t \gamma_s ds] ds}.$$

Then (2.5) holds so that $X_T = Y_T$ according to Lemma 2.1. So, (2.6) implies

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \int_0^T \frac{\beta_t^2 \|X_t - Y_t\|_{Q_t}^{2+\theta}}{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{(2+\theta)\varepsilon}} dt \leq \|x - y\|_H^{2\varepsilon}.$$

By this and the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \frac{\beta_t^2 \|X_t - Y_t\|_{Q_t}^2}{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{2\varepsilon}} dt \\
(2.8) \quad & \leq \left(\int_0^T \frac{\beta_t^2 \|X_t - Y_t\|_{Q_t}^{2+\theta}}{\|X_t - Y_t\|_H^{(2+\theta)\varepsilon}} dt \right)^{2/(2+\theta)} \left(\int_0^T \beta_t^2 dt \right)^{\theta/(2+\theta)} \\
& \leq (\varepsilon^{-1} c^2 \|x - y\|_H^{2\varepsilon})^{2/(2+\theta)} \left(\int_0^T \beta_t^2 dt \right)^{\theta/(2+\theta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies, for $\alpha' := \alpha/(\alpha - 1)$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}R^{\alpha'} &= \mathbf{E} \exp \left[\alpha' \int_0^T \langle dW_t, \zeta_t \rangle - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \int_0^T \|\zeta_t\|_2^2 dt \right] \\
(2.9) \quad &= \mathbf{E} \exp \left[\frac{\alpha'(\alpha' - 1)}{2} \int_0^T \|\zeta_t\|_2^2 dt \right] \\
&\leq \exp \left[\frac{\alpha'(\alpha' - 1)}{2} (\varepsilon^{-1} c^2 \|x - y\|_H^{2\varepsilon})^{2/(2+\theta)} \left(\int_0^T \beta_t^2 dt \right)^{\theta/(2+\theta)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.9) with (2.3), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & (P_T F(y))^\alpha \\ & \leq (P_T F^\alpha)(x) \exp \left[\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)} (\varepsilon^{-1} c^2 \|x-y\|_H^2)^{2/(2+\theta)} \left(\int_0^T \beta_t^2 dt \right)^{\theta/(2+\theta)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking (2.7) into account, we obtain (1.5).

We now prove the strong Feller property. Since

$$P_T F(y) = \mathbf{E} R F(Y_T) = \mathbf{E} R F(X_T),$$

we have

$$(2.10) \quad |P_T F(y) - P_T F(x)| = |\mathbf{E}(R-1)F(X_T)| \leq \|F\|_\infty \mathbf{E}|R-1|.$$

From (2.9) we know that R is uniformly integrable for bounded $\|x-y\|_H$. Therefore, by (2.8) and the dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \mathbf{E}|R-1| = \mathbf{E} \lim_{y \rightarrow x} |R-1| = 0.$$

Combining this with (2.10) we see that $P_T F \in C_b(H)$. Thus, P_T is strong Feller. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2(1). (a) The existence of μ . Let $X_t(0)$ be the solution to (1.2) with $X_0 = 0$, and let

$$\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \delta_0 P_t dt, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $\delta_0 P_t$ is the distribution of $X_t(0)$, $t \geq 0$. Since by Theorem 1.1 P_t is a (even strong) Feller Markov semigroup, to prove the existence of the invariant probability measure, we only need to verify the tightness of $\{\mu_n : n \geq 1\}$. Indeed, if $\mu_{n_k} \rightarrow \mu$ weakly for some subsequence $n_k \rightarrow \infty$, then for any $F \in C_b(H)$ one has $P_t F \in C_b(H)$ and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu P_t)(F) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n_k}(P_t F) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \int_t^{n_k+t} P_s F(0) ds \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \int_0^{n_k} P_s F(0) ds = \mu(F), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.3) with $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma 1_{\{r=1\}} < \lambda_1 \delta^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\langle \Psi(x), x \rangle - 2\langle \Phi(x), L^{-1}x \rangle \\
& \leq -\delta^2 \|x\|_{r+1}^{r+1} + 2|\Phi(0)| \|L^{-1}\|_{r+1} \|x\|_{r+1} + 2|\Psi(0)| \|x\|_{r+1} + \gamma \|x\|_H^2 \\
& \leq \theta_2 - \theta_1 \|x\|_{r+1}^{r+1}, \quad x \in L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m})
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$. Combining this with the Itô formula for the square of the norm, we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad d\|X_t\|_H^2 \leq (c - \theta \|X_t\|_{r+1}^{r+1}) dt + 2\langle QdW_t, X_t \rangle_H$$

for some $c, \theta > 0$. Then

$$\mu_n(\|\cdot\|_{r+1}^{r+1}) := \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \mathbf{E} \|X_t(0)\|_{r+1}^{r+1} dt \leq \frac{c}{\theta} - \frac{1}{n} \|X_n(0)\|_H^2 \leq \frac{c}{\theta}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Hence, to prove the tightness of $\{\mu_n\}$, it suffices to prove that $\|\cdot\|_{r+1}$ is a compact function, i.e. $K_N := \{\|\cdot\|_{r+1} \leq N\}$ is relatively compact in H for any $N > 0$. Since the embedding $L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m}) \subset H$ is continuous, it follows that $\|\cdot\|_Q$ is bounded on K_N . Moreover, since Q is Hilbert-Schmidt from $L^2(\mathbf{m})$ to H , $\|\cdot\|_Q$ is a compact function on H . Therefore, K_N is relatively compact in H .

(b) The uniqueness and full support of μ . By (1.3) with $\gamma \leq 0$ and the Itô formula, there exist $\delta, \theta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d\|X_t(x) - X_t(y)\|_H^2 & \leq -\delta^2 \|X_t(x) - X_t(y)\|_{r+1}^{r+1} dt \\
& \leq -\theta \|X_t(x) - X_t(y)\|_H^{r+1} dt, \quad x, y \in H.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|X_t(x) - X_t(y)\|_H = 0$, $x, y \in H$. This implies that μ is the unique invariant probability measure of P_t .

Next, since μ is the invariant probability measure of P_t , by (1.5) with $\alpha := 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (P_t 1_A(x))^2 \int_H e^{-2c(\theta, t) \|x-y\|_H^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} \mu(dy) \\
& \leq \int_H P_t 1_A(y) \mu(dy) = \mu(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{M}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the transition kernel $P_t(x, dy)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. μ so that it has a density $p_t(x, y)$. Thus, if $\text{supp } \mu \neq H$, then there exists $x_0 \in H$

and $r > 0$ such that $B(x_0, r) := \{y \in H : \|x_0 - y\|_H \leq r\}$ is a null set of μ . Hence, $P_t(x_0, B(x_0, r)) = 0$. Therefore, letting $X_t(x_0)$ be the solution to (1.2) with $X_0(x_0) = x_0$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}(\|X_t(x_0) - x_0\|_H \leq r) = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

Since $X_t(x_0)$ is a continuous process on H , this implies $\mathbf{P}(\|X_0(x_0) - x_0\|_H \leq r) = 0$ which is impossible. So, μ has full support on H .

(c) Concentration of μ . By (2.11), for $c' := (r+1)\varepsilon_0/2$ we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & de^{\varepsilon_0\|X_t\|_H^{r+1}} + dM_t \\ & \leq (c - \theta\|X_t\|_{r+1}^{r+1} + 2c'\|Q\|_{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}^2\|X_t\|_H^{r+1})c'\|X_t\|_H^{r-1}e^{\varepsilon_0\|X_t\|_H^{r+1}} dt \end{aligned}$$

for some local martingale M_t . Since $\|\cdot\|_{r+1} \geq c_0\|\cdot\|_H$ for some constant $c_0 > 0$, when $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is small enough there exist $c_1, \theta_1 > 0$ such that

$$de^{\varepsilon_0\|X_t\|_H^{r+1}} \leq (c_1 - \theta_1\|X_t\|_{r+1}^{r+1}e^{\varepsilon_0\|X_t\|_H^{r+1}})dt + dM_t.$$

This implies

$$\mu_n(e^{\varepsilon_0\|\cdot\|_H^{r+1}}) \leq \frac{1}{\theta_1 n} + \frac{c_1}{\theta_1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Hence, $\mu(e^{\varepsilon_0\|\cdot\|_H^{r+1}}) < \infty$ since μ is the weak limit of a subsequence of μ_n .

Finally, by (2.11) we have

$$\int_0^1 P_t\|\cdot\|_{r+1}^{r+1}(x)dt \leq c_2(1 + \|x\|_H^2), \quad x \in H$$

for some $c_2 > 0$. Thus, $\mu(\|\cdot\|_{r+1}^{r+1}) \leq c_2(1 + \mu(\|\cdot\|_H^2)) < \infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2(2). For any $p > 1$ and any nonnegative measurable function f with $\mu(f^{p/(p-1)}) \leq 1$, it follows from (1.5) with $\alpha := p/(p-1)$ that

$$(P_t f(x))^{p/(p-1)} \leq (P_t f^{p/(p-1)}(y)) \exp [pc_t \|x - y\|_H^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}], \quad x, y \in H.$$

Thus,

$$(P_t f(x))^{p/(p-1)} \int_H e^{-pc_t \|x-y\|_H^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} \mu(dy) \leq \mu(f^{p/(p-1)}) \leq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\langle p_t(x, \cdot), f \rangle_\mu = P_t f(x) \leq \left(\int_H e^{-pc_t \|x-y\|_H^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} \mu(dy) \right)^{-(p-1)/p}.$$

This implies (1.6). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2(3). Let $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $\mu(f^2) = 1$. By (1.5) with $\gamma = 0$ and constants $\xi, \delta > 0$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on r and θ such that

$$(P_t f)^2(x) \exp \left[-\frac{c \|x-y\|_H^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}}{t^{(4+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} \right] \leq P_t f^2(y), \quad x, y \in H, t > 0.$$

Taking integration for both sides w.r.t. $\mu(dy)$, we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & (P_t f)^2(x) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(0,1))} \exp \left[\frac{c(\|x\|_H + 1)^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}}{t^{(4+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} \right], \quad x \in H, t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $B(0,1) := \{y \in H : \|y\|_H \leq 1\}$ has positive mass of μ .

If $r = 1$ then by (2.13) and Theorem 1.2(1) we have

$$\int_H (P_t f)^4(x) \mu(dx) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(0,1))} \int_H \exp \left[\frac{c(\|x\|_H + 1)^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)}}{t^{(4+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} \right] \mu(dx) < \infty$$

for sufficiently big $t > 0$. Thus, P_t is hyperbounded, i.e. $\|P_t\|_{2 \rightarrow 4} < \infty$ for some $t > 0$. Since P_t has transition density w.r.t. μ , according to e.g. [23] it is compact in $L^2(\mu)$ for large $t > 0$. In particular, if $\gamma \leq 0$ then the process is ergodic so that its generator has a spectral gap. Thus, $\|P_t - \mu\|_2 \leq ce^{-\lambda t}$ for some $c > 0$ and all $t > 0$. Therefore, by a standard argument we obtain the hypercontractivity from the hyperboundedness.

If $r > 1$, then (2.12) implies

$$de^{\varepsilon_0 \|X_t\|_H^{r+1}} \leq c_2 - \theta_2 \|X_t\|_H^{2r} e^{\varepsilon_0 \|X_t\|_H^{r+1}} dt + dM_t$$

for some small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and some $c_2, \theta_2 > 0$. Thus, letting $h(t)$ solve the equation

$$(2.14) \quad h'(t) = c_2 - \theta_2 \varepsilon_0^{-2r/(1+r)} h(t) \{ \log h(t) \}^{2r/(r+1)}, \quad h(0) = e^{\varepsilon_0 \|x\|_H^{r+1}},$$

we have

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbf{E} e^{\varepsilon_0 \|X_t(x)\|_H^{r+1}} \leq h(t).$$

Since $\frac{2r}{r+1} > 1$, (2.14) and (2.15) imply

$$(2.16) \quad \mathbf{E} e^{\varepsilon_0 \|X_t(x)\|_H^{r+1}} \leq \exp \left[c_3 (1 + t^{-(r+1)/(r-1)}) \right], \quad t > 0, x \in H$$

for some constant $c_3 > 0$. Next, by (2.13) we have

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_t f\|_\infty &= \|P_{t/2} P_{t/2} f\|_\infty \\ &\leq c_4 \sup_{x \in H} \mathbf{E} \exp \left[\frac{c_4}{t^{(4+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} \|X_{\frac{t}{2}}(x)\|_H^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)} \right], \quad t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_4 > 0$. Since there exists $c_5 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{c_4}{t^{(4+\theta)/(2+\theta)}} u^{2(3-r+\theta)/(2+\theta)} \leq \varepsilon_0 u^{r+1} + c_5 t^{-(r+1)/(r-1)}, \quad u, t > 0,$$

(1.7) follows immediately from (2.16) and (2.17). Finally, according to [23] (see also [8, Lemma 3.1]), the compactness of P_t follows immediately since P_t is uniform integrable in $L^2(\mu)$ and has transition density w.r.t. μ . \square

3. Examples. As explained in Remark 1.1, for $L := \Delta$ the Dirichlet Laplace operator, our results only apply to a space of dimension less than 2. The aim of this section is to show that, by means of spectral representation, we have much more choices of L to illustrate our theorems.

Let L_0 be a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbf{m})$ with discrete spectrum

$$(0 \leq) \lambda_1^{(0)} \leq \lambda_2^{(0)} \leq \dots$$

and the corresponding unit eigenfunctions $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$. As in Corollary 1.3, let $Qe_i := q_i e_i$ for a sequence $\{q_i \neq 0\}_{i \geq 1}$. Let, for simplicity, $\Phi(s) = -c_0 s$ and $\Psi \in C(\mathbf{R})$ satisfy

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Psi(s_1) - \Psi(s_2))(s_1 - s_2) &\geq \delta^2 |s_1 - s_2|^{r+1}, \\ |\Psi(s)| &\leq c(1 + |s|^r), \quad s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbf{R} \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_0 \geq 0$ and $c, \delta > 0$. For any positive and strictly increasing function φ on $[0, \infty)$, we consider the equation (1.2) for

$$L := -\varphi(-L_0) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_i^{(0)}) \langle e_i, \cdot \rangle e_i.$$

That is, consider

$$(3.2) \quad dX_t = -\{\varphi(-L_0)\Psi(X_t) + c_0 X_t\}dt + QdW_t.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $\inf_{i \geq 1} q_i^2 > 0$ and $\Phi = 0$, and let Ψ satisfy (3.1). If φ is strictly positive such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_i^2}{\varphi(\lambda_i^{(0)})} < \infty,$$

then the Markov semigroup of the solution to (3.2) satisfies all assertions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for any $\theta \in (r-3, r-1]$ and some $\xi > 0$.

PROOF. Let $L := -\varphi(-L_0)$ whose eigenvalues are $-\lambda_i := -\varphi(\lambda_i^{(0)})$, $i \geq 1$. Obviously, all conditions in Corollary 1.3 are satisfied for the present situation. Thus, the proof is completed by Corollary 1.3. \square

To conclude this paper, we present two examples where L_0 is either the Dirichlet Laplacian on a finite volume domain in \mathbf{R}^d or the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator on \mathbf{R}^d , so that L can be taken as high order differential operators on \mathbf{R}^d or on a domain.

Example 3.2. In the situation of Proposition 3.1 but simply take $q_i = 1$, $i \geq 1$.

(1) Let $L_0 := \Delta - x \cdot \nabla$ and \mathbf{m} the standard Gaussian measure on $E := \mathbf{R}^d$. It is well-known that the set of eigenvalues of $-L_0$ is \mathbf{Z}_+ , and the eigenspace of each $k \geq 0$ is

$$\text{span} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d H_{k_i}(x_i) : k_1 + \cdots + k_d = k, k_1, \dots, k_d \geq 0 \right\},$$

where $H_0 \equiv 1$ and

$$H_n(s) := \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} e^{s^2/2} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} e^{-s^2/2}, \quad s \in \mathbf{R}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Thus, there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_i^{(0)} \geq \sigma(i-1)^{1/d}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Then (3.3) holds for $\varphi(s) := (\varepsilon + s)^q$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $q > d$, so that all assertions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold for the solution to (3.2).

(2) Let $L_0 := \Delta$ be the Dirichlet Laplace operator on a domain $D \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ with finite volume, and let \mathbf{m} be the normalized volume measure on D . By the Sobolev inequality we have (see [21, Corollaries 1.1 and 3.1])

$$\lambda_i^{(0)} \geq \sigma i^{2/d}, \quad i \geq 1$$

for some $\sigma > 0$. Then (3.3) holds for $\varphi(s) := s^q$ for any $q > d/2$, so that all assertions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold for the solution to (3.2).

APPENDIX A: EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS

We first recall the following result due to [13], then derive the existence and the uniqueness for the solution to generalized stochastic porous media equations.

THEOREM A.1. ([13, Theorems II.2.1, II.2.2]) *Let H be a real separable Hilbert space and V and V^* two real Banach space such that the embeddings $V \subset H \subset V^*$ are dense and continuous. Let \mathcal{L}_{HS} be the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from some real separable Hilbert space G to H and W_t the cylindrical Brownian motion on G . Let $T > 0$ be fixed and*

$$A : [0, T] \times V \times \Omega \rightarrow V^* \quad \text{and} \quad Q : [0, T] \times V \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{HS}$$

be progressively measurable such that

- (A1) *Semicontinuity of A : for any $v_1, v_2, v \in V$ and any $t \in [0, T]$, $\mathbf{R} \ni \lambda \mapsto_{V^*} \langle A(t, v_1 + \lambda v_2), v \rangle_V$ is continuous, where ${}_{V^*} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ is the duality between V^* and V .*
- (A2) *Monotonicity of (A, Q) : there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & 2{}_{V^*} \langle A(t, v_1) - A(t, v_2), v_1 - v_2 \rangle_V + \|Q(t, v_1) - Q(t, v_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}^2 \\ & \leq K \|v_1 - v_2\|_H^2, \quad v_1, v_2 \in V. \end{aligned}$$

- (A3) *Coercivity of (A, Q) : there exist two constants $\alpha, K > 0$ and a positive adapted process $f \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega; dt \times \mathbf{P})$ such that*

$$2{}_{V^*} \langle A(t, v), v \rangle_V + \|Q(t, v)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}^2 + \alpha \|v\|_V^{r+1} \leq f_t + K \|v\|_H^2$$

holds for all $t \in [0, T], v \in V$.

(A4) *Boundedness of A : there exist a constant $K > 0$ and a positive adapted process $f \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega; dt \times \mathbf{P})$ such that*

$$\|A(t, v)\|_{V^*} \leq f_t^{r/(r+1)} + K\|v\|_H^r, \quad t \in [0, T], v \in V.$$

Then for any $X_0 \in L^2(\Omega \rightarrow H; \mathcal{F}_0; \mathbf{P})$, (A.1) has a unique solution $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ which is an adapted continuous process on H such that $\mathbf{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_V^{r+1} dt < \infty$ and

$$\langle X_t, v \rangle_H = \langle X_0, v \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle A(s, X_s), v \rangle_{V^*} ds + \int_0^t \langle Q(s, X_s) dW_s, v \rangle_H$$

holds for all $v \in V, t \in [0, T]$.

We now return back to the framework in Section 1 and consider the following equation which is even more general than (1.2):

$$(A.1) \quad dX_t = \{L\Psi(t, X_t) + \Phi(t, X_t)\}dt + Q(t, X_t)dW_t,$$

where

$$Q : [0, \infty) \times H \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{HS}$$

is a progressively measurable mapping such that

$$(A.2) \quad \|Q(t, x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}^2 \leq h_t(1 + \|x\|_H^2), \quad \|Q(t, x) - Q(t, y)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}^2 \leq h_t\|x - y\|_H^2$$

holds for some positive function $h \in C([0, \infty))$ and all $x, y \in H$.

THEOREM A.2. *Assume (1.3) and (A.2) for some positive function $h \in C([0, \infty))$ and all $x, y \in H$.*

- (1) (A.1) has a unique solution for any $X_0 \in L^2(\Omega \rightarrow H; \mathcal{F}_0; \mathbf{P})$.
- (2) Let X_t solve (A.1) for $X_0 = x \in H$. Then (2.2) has a unique solution.

PROOF. (1) Let

$$A(t, x) := L\Psi(t, x) + \Phi(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m}).$$

To make this quantity meaningful, let $V := L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m})$. Then the embedding $V \subset H$ is continuous. Let V^* be the dual space of V w.r.t. H . By (1.3)

and the assumption of L ; i.e. L^{-1} is bounded in $L^{r+1}(\mathbf{m})$ if $|\Phi(t, s)| \leq \sigma_t(1 + |s|^{(1+r)/2})$ does not hold for any positive $\sigma \in C([0, \infty))$, we conclude that $A(t, x)$ is well-defined as an element in V^* by letting

$$v^* \langle A(t, x), v \rangle_V := -\langle \Psi(t, x), v \rangle - \langle \Phi(t, x), L^{-1}v \rangle, \quad v \in V.$$

It is now easy to see that under (1.3), (A.2) and the continuity of $\Psi(t, s)$ and $\Phi(t, s)$ in s , all assumptions in the above theorem hold. Therefore, the proof is completed.

(2) By (1) we only have to prove (A1) – (A4) for $Q = 0$ and

$$A(t, x) := \frac{X_t - x}{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} 1_{\{X_t \neq x\}}$$

for $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Since by (1.3) and the Itô formula (see [13, Theorem I.3.2]) one has

$$d\|X_t\|_H^2 \leq 2\langle Q(t, X_t)dW_t, X_t \rangle_H - \sigma\|X_t(x)\|_{r+1}^{r+1}dt + (c + \|Q(t, X_t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{HS}}^2)dt$$

for some $c, \sigma > 0$, it follows from (A.2) that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbf{E}\|X_t\|_H^2 < \infty.$$

Thus, $A(t, x) \in H$ with

$$\|A(t, x)\|_H = \|X_t - x\|_H^{1-\varepsilon}, \quad x \in H.$$

Therefore, (A1), (A3) and (A4) hold. To verify (A2), it suffices to prove

$$(A.3) \quad \langle A(t, x) - A(t, y), x - y \rangle_H \leq 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \quad x, y \in H.$$

Without loss of generality, for a fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ we only verify (A.3) for $x, y \in H$ with

$$(A.4) \quad \|X_t - x\|_H \leq \|X_t - y\|_H.$$

We now prove (A.3) for the following two situations respectively.

(i) If $\|X_t - x\|_H \geq \|x - y\|_H$, then by (A.3), the mean valued theorem and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A(t, x) - A(t, y), x - y \rangle_H \\
&= -\frac{\|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} + \frac{\|X_t - y\|_H^\varepsilon - \|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon}{\|X_t - y\|_H^\varepsilon \|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} \langle X_t - y, x - y \rangle_H \\
&\leq -\frac{\|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon \|X_t - y\|_H^{1-\varepsilon} \|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - x\|_H} \\
&\leq -\frac{\|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon (\|X_t - x\|_H^{1-\varepsilon} + \|x - y\|_H^{1-\varepsilon}) \|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - x\|_H} \\
&\leq -\frac{(1 - 2\varepsilon) \|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\|X_t - x\|_H \leq \|x - y\|_H$, then by (A.3) and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A(t, x) - A(t, y), x - y \rangle_H \\
&= -\frac{\|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - y\|_H^\varepsilon} + \frac{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon - \|X_t - y\|_H^\varepsilon}{\|X_t - y\|_H^\varepsilon \|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} \langle X_t - x, x - y \rangle_H \\
&\leq -\frac{\|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} + \frac{\|x - y\|_H^\varepsilon \|X_t - x\|_H \|x - y\|_H}{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon \|X_t - y\|_H^\varepsilon} \\
&\leq -\frac{\|x - y\|_H^2}{\|X_t - x\|_H^\varepsilon} + \frac{\|x - y\|_H^{1+\varepsilon} \|X_t - x\|_H^{1-\varepsilon}}{\|X_t - y\|_H^\varepsilon} \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the referees for useful comments and Mr. Wei Liu for an observation extending the earlier version to $r \geq 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aida, S. and Kawabi, H. (2001), *Short time asymptotics of certain infinite dimensional diffusion process*, “Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics”, **VII** (Kusadasi,1998); in Progr. Probab. Vol. 48, 77–124.
- [2] Aida, S. and Zhang, T. (2002), *On the small time asymptotics of diffusion processes on path groups*, Pot. Anal. **16**, 67–78.
- [3] Arnaudon, M., Thalmaier, A. and Wang, F.-Y. (2005), *Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below*, Bull. Sci. Math. **130**(2006), 223–233.
- [4] Aronson, D.G. (1986), *The porous medium equation*, Lecture Notes Math. Vol. 1224, Springer, Berlin, 1–46.

- [5] Bakry, D. and Ledoux, M. (1996), *Lévy-Gromov's isoperimetric inequality for an infinite-dimensional diffusion generator*, Invent. Math. **123**, 259–281.
- [6] Da Prato, G. , Röckner, M., Rozovskii, B.L. and Wang, F.-Y.(2004), *Strong solutions to stochastic generalized porous media equations: existence, uniqueness and ergodicity*, Comm. Part. Diff. Equat. 31(2006), 277–291.
- [7] Bobkov, S. G., Gentil, I. and Ledoux, M.(2001), *Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **80:7**, 669–696.
- [8] Gong, F.-Z. and Wang, F.-Y. (2001), *Heat kernel estimates with application to compactness of manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. **52**, 171–180.
- [9] Gong, F.-Z. and Wang, F.-Y. (2002), *Functional inequalities for uniformly integrable semigroups and application to essential spectrums*, Forum Math. **14**, 293–313.
- [10] Hambly, B. M. and Kumagai, T. (1999), *Transition density estimates for diffusion processes on post critically finite self-similar fractals*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (**3**) **78**, 431–458.
- [11] Kawabi, H. (2005), *The parabolic Harnack inequality for the time dependent Ginzburg-Landau type SPDE and its application*, Potential Analysis **22**, 61–84.
- [12] Kim, J. U. (2006), *On the stochastic porous medium equation*, J. Diff. Equat. **220**, 163–194.
- [13] Krylov, N.V. and Rozovskii, B.L. (1979), *Stochastic evolution equations*, Translated from Itogi Naukii Tekhniki, Seriya Sovremennye Problemy Matematiki **14**, 71–146, Plenum Publishing Corp.
- [14] Ma, Z.M. and Röckner, M. (1992), *Introduction to the theory of (non-symmetric) Dirichlet forms*, Springer-Verlag.
- [15] Ren, J., Röckner, M. and Wang, F.-Y. (2005), *Stochastic generalized porous media and fast diffusion equations*, preprint.
- [16] Röckner, M. and Wang, F.-Y. (2003), *Supercontractivity and ultracontractivity for (non-symmetric) diffusion semigroups on manifolds*, Forum Math. **15**, 893–921.
- [17] Röckner, M. and Wang, F.-Y. (2003), *Harnack and functional inequalities for generalized Mehler semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. **203**, 237–261.
- [18] Röckner, M., Wang, F.-Y. and Wu, L. (2005), *Large deviations for stochastic generalized porous media equations*, to appear in Stoch. Proc. Appl.
- [19] Wang, F.-Y. (1997), *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Probability Theory Relat. Fields **109**, 417–424.
- [20] Wang, F.-Y. (1999), *Harnack inequalities for log-Sobolev functions and estimates of log-Sobolev constants*, Ann. Probab. **27**, 653–663.
- [21] Wang, F.-Y. (2000), *Functional inequalities, semigroup properties and spectrum estimates*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics **3**, 263–295.
- [22] Wang, F.-Y. (2001), *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities: conditions and counterexamples*, J. Operator Theory **46**, 183–197.
- [23] Wu, L. (2000), *Uniformly integrable operators and large deviations for Markov processes*, J. Funct. Anal. **172**, 301–376.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, CHINA

E-MAIL: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn, <http://math.bnu.edu.cn/~wangfy/>